S6416-2-u

DEMOGRAPHIC STATE OF THE STATE

A Report to the
Governor and Legislature on
Demographic Trends for the
State of Oklahoma

Henry Bellmon
Governor

Donald D. Paulsen
Executive Director

Oklahoma Department of Commerce

July 1989

Demographic State of the State
1988

Oklahoma Department of Commerce
Research and Planning Division
Demographic and Evaluation Research
and
Oklahoma State Data Center

Principal Authors:

Mohammed Shahidullah

Karen Selland

John McCraw

The authors gratefully acknowledge the invaluable assistance of Dana Britton, a 1988 summer intern from the University of Oklahoma, for preparing the basic tables in this report; Jeff Wallace, for revising some tables; and Mona Zarecor, who edited and prepared the report for publication. Harley Lingerfelt, Research and Planning Division Director, offered valuable suggestions for the report.

This publication was printed and distributed by the Oklahoma Department of Commerce as authorized by Donald D. Paulsen, Executive Director. Seven hundred fifty copies were produced at a total cost of $2,840.15. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries. 7/89.

Contents

Preface v
List of Figures vii
List of Maps vii
List of Tables ix
Executive Summary xiii

Introduction, Data Sources, and Limitations of Data 1

Introduction 1
Data Sources 1
Census Data: 1975 - 1980 1
IRS Data: 1980 - 1986 1
Limitations of the Data 2
Census Data 2
IRS Data 3

I. Population Growth and Migraiton 7

II. State Migration 11

Inmigration 11
Outmigration 12
Net Migration 13

III. Metropolitan Statistical Area Migration 23

Introduction 23
Migration Patterns in the Enid MSA 24
Interstate Migration 24
Intrastate Migration 25

Migration Patterns in the Lawton MSA 34
Interstate Migration 34
Intrastate Migration 35

Migration Patterns in the Oklahoma City MSA 44
Interstate Migration 44
Intrastate Migration 45

Migration Patterns in the Tulsa MSA 55
Interstate Migration 55
Intrastate Migration 56

IV. Conclusion 65

Appendix Tables 67

Preface

This report examines the migration flow patterns for the state and four metropolitan statistical areas for three different time periods: 1975 to 1980, 1980 to 1983, and 1983 to 1986. The three time periods were decided on the basis of sources of data and the economic turnover.

The purposes of the report are 1) to review population growth between 1950 and 1988; 2) to estimate migration flows using administrative records; 3) to examine migration trends from 1975 to 1986; and 4) to identify major sources of origins and destinations of migrants. This report also partially fulfills the legislated responsibilities of the Oklahoma State Data Center.

Migration is a form of geographic or spatial mobility involving a person's change of usual residence between clearly defined geographic units. In reference to state-level migration, we are referring to persons moving into or out of Oklahoma. Migration, along with births and deaths, affects the growth of a place. Migration, the least predictable of the three components of population change, is heavily influenced by economic as well as social factors.

For the 1975 to 1980 period, summary statistical data from the 1980 Census are examined. For the periods 1980 to 1983 and 1983 to 1986, summary statistical data from the Internal Revenue Service (based on exemptions as reported on federal income tax returns) are examined.

In the Appendix, migration tables on regional economic development regions (REDOs) and counties are presented. Upon request, detailed data on migration flows for counties for a single year, as well as three year periods, will be made available on diskette.

List of Figures

1	Average Annual Percent Change in Population Growth for Oklahoma and the U.S.: 1950 - 1988	7
2	Mining Employment in Oklahoma: 1975 - 1988	8
3	Comparison of Oklahoma's Average Annual Net Migration Rates and Petroleum Prices: 1975 - 1988	9
4	State to State Net Migration, Oklahoma: 1975 - 1980	13
5	State to State Net Migration, Oklahoma: 1980 - 1983	13
6	State to State Net Migration, Oklahoma: 1983 - 1986	14

List of Maps

1	Oklahoma's Metropolitan Statistical Areas	23
2	Counties in Oklahoma	68
3	Regional Economic Development Organizations, Oklahoma	77

List of Tables

Text Tables

1	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by Region/State, Oklahoma: 1975 - 1980	16
2	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by Region/State, Oklahoma: 1980 - 1983	18
3	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by Region/State, Oklahoma: 1983 - 1986	20
4	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Enid MSA: 1975 - 1980	26
5	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Enid MSA: 1980 - 1983	28
6	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Enid MSA: 1983 - 1986	31
7	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Lawton MSA: 1975 - 1980	36
8	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Lawton MSA: 1980 - 1983	38
9	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Lawton MSA: 1983 - 1986	41
10	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Oklahoma City MSA: 1975 - 1980	47
11	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Oklahoma City MSA: 1980 - 1983	49
12	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Oklahoma City MSA: 1983 - 1986	52
13	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Tulsa MSA: 1975 - 1980	57
14	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Tulsa MSA: 1980 - 1983	59
15	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County/State, Tulsa MSA: 1983 - 1986	62

List of Tables (Continued)

Appendix Tables

A-1	Comparison of 1980 Household Population and IRS Exemptions, Counties in Oklahoma	69
A-2	County Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants: 1975 - 1980	71
A-3	County Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants: 1980 - 1983	73
A-4	County Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants: 1983 - 1986	75
A-5	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Central Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	79
A-6	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Central Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	81
A-7	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Central Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	83
A-8	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, East Central Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	85
A-9	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, East Central Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	87
A-10	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, East Central Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	89
A-11	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, North Central Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	91
A-12	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, North Central Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	93
A-13	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, North Central Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	95
A-14	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Northeast Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	97
A-15	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Northeast Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	99
A-16	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Northeast Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	101
A-17	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Northwest Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	103

List of Tables (Continued)

Appendix Tables

A-18	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Northwest Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	105
A-19	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Northwest Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	107
A-20	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, South Central Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	109
A-21	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, South Central Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	111
A-22	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, South Central Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	113
A-23	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Southeast Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	115
A-24	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Southeast Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	117
A-25	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Southeast Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	119
A-26	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Southwest Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	121
A-27	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Southwest Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	123
A-28	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, Southwest Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	125
A-29	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, West Central Economic Development Region: 1975 - 1980	127
A-30	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, West Central Economic Development Region: 1980 - 1983	129
A-31	Number and Percent of Inmigrants, Outmigrants, and Net Migrants by County, West Central Economic Development Region: 1983 - 1986	131

Executive Summary

This report examines migration trends and sources of migration for Oklahoma and its four metropolitan statistical areas for the period 1975 to 1986. Data from the 1980 Census and summary statistical information from the annual tax returns of the Internal Revenue Service are used for the study. The major findings are outlined below.

- Over the eleven year period from 1975 to 1986, 1.1 million persons moved into Oklahoma. The major sources of inmigration were the bordering states, primarily those in the Southern region. For every 100 inmigrants, 42 of them came from the Southern region during 1975 to 1986. Other important sources of inmigration were New York, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Arizona, and California.

- Nearly 900,000 Oklahomans left the state between 1975 and 1986. The bordering states served as the destination of the majority of outmigrants (52 percent). Other important destination states were Arizona, Washington, California, Ohio, and Illinois.

- Oklahoma had a net gain of nearly 204,000 migrants for the eleven year period. The bordering states contributed 21 percent of these net migrants.

- During 1983 to 1986, the trend of the previous eight years reversed, and Oklahoma lost approximately one-third of the net migrants it had gained over the previous three years. About 81 percent of these net migrants went to the Southern region, mostly to the state of Texas.

- Like the state–the Oklahoma City, Tulsa, Lawton, and Enid metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) gained population through interstate migration during 1975 to 1980 and 1980 to 1983; however, all of them had a net loss of migrants to other states from 1983 to 1986.

- The Oklahoma City MSA received 73,000 net interstate migrants over the eleven year period between 1975 and 1986. It had a net gain of 40,000 persons from 1975 to 1980, and 46,000 from 1980 to 1983. However, the MSA lost 12,000 net migrants from 1983 to 1986.

- Of the 46,000 interstate net migrants to the Oklahoma City MSA between 1980 and 1983, the North Central region contributed the most (50 percent), followed by the Southern region (24 percent), the Western region (15 percent), and the Northeast region (5 percent). Between 1983 and 1986, the Oklahoma City MSA lost people through net migration to every region except the North Central region, from which it gained approximately 3,000 persons. The MSA lost 8,000 net migrants to Texas between 1983 and 1986.

- During the eleven year period between 1975 and 1986, the Tulsa MSA gained 74,000 migrants from interstate migration. The MSA acquired 45,000 net interstate migrants during 1975 to 1980, and 36,000 between 1980 and 1983. This trend reversed from 1983 to 1986, when the MSA lost 7,000 net interstate migrants.

- From 1980 to 1983, the Tulsa MSA gained 61 percent of its total net interstate migrants from the North Central region. In addition, 19 percent came from the Southern region, and nearly half of these were from only four counties in Arkansas. The Tulsa MSA lost to all regions except the North Central region between 1983 and 1986.

- For the period 1975 to 1986, the Lawton MSA gained 7,000 persons through interstate net migration. It attracted 14,000 net migrants during 1975 to 1980, and 600 during 1980 to 1983; however, it lost 7,000 between 1983 and 1986.

- But for the gain of 800 net migrants from foreign countries, the Lawton MSA would have lost net migrants from 1980 to 1983. The MSA suffered a loss of net interstate migrants to the Southern region during this period, while gaining from the other regions, especially from the North Central states.

- Over the period 1975 to 1986, the Enid MSA gained 2,000 net migrants due to interstate migration. From 1975 to 1983, it had a net gain of 5,000 net migrants. Like the other MSAs, it experienced net outmigration from 1983 to 1986, losing 3,000 persons. The North Central states contributed nearly all of the net migrants during the 1980 to 1983 period. From 1983 to 1986, however, the MSA lost net migrants to all four regions of the country.

- During 1975 to 1980, all of the four MSAs lost migrant population to other counties in the state. Only the Oklahoma City MSA, between 1980 and 1983, and both the Oklahoma City and Tulsa MSAs, during 1983 to 1986, gained population through intrastate net migration.

- In terms of intrastate migration, the Oklahoma City MSA gained 4,000 persons during the period 1975 to 1986. The primary sources of migration were other metropolitan statistical areas and proximate counties.

- From 1975 to 1986 the Tulsa MSA had a net gain of 4,000 persons through intrastate migration. But from 1975 to 1983, the MSA actually lost migrants to the rest of the state. Between 1983 and 1986 the trend reversed, resulting in an intrastate gain caused partially by migration out of the western portion of the state. Major sources of intrastate migration were other metropolitan statistical areas and proximate counties.

- From 1975 to 1986, the Lawton MSA lost 6,000 net migrants due to intrastate migration, with a large number of people going to Cleveland, Oklahoma, and Stephens counties.

- From 1975 to 1986, the Enid MSA lost 3,000 persons through net outmigration to other counties of the state. The MSA's major migration streams were shared with proximate counties plus the central counties of the two largest metropolitan areas, Oklahoma and Tulsa counties.

Introduction, Data Sources, and Limitations of Data

Introduction

This report consists of four sections. Chapter I provides an overview of migration and its relationship with population growth. Chapter II discusses inmigration, outmigration and net migration at the state level. Chapter III presents origins and destinations of migration for metropolitan statistical areas, and Chapter IV contains concluding remarks. Finally, the Appendix contains tables of inmigration, outmigration, and net migration for counties and regional economic development regions.

Data Sources

Census Data: 1975 - 1980

The Census Bureau collected migration data through the use of a question on the 1980 Census short-form questionnaire that was mailed to all American households. The question asked for the residence of the respondent on April 1, 1975. Respondents whose 1975 residence differed from their April 1, 1980, residence were classified as movers. In cases of incomplete data, attempts were made to obtain the information based on that given by other members of the household. If this was impossible, respondents were allocated a value based on the previous residence of a person with similar characteristics who provided similar information (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1984). A sample of migration was obtained by encoding and analyzing one half of the responses received from the 1980 Census questionnaire. Migration was then classified on both the county and the state level.

IRS Data: 1980 - 1986

In an attempt to overcome certain limitations to census data, the Census Bureau has obtained address data from the IRS. These data, which match addresses on tax returns from year to year, attempt to resolve the two major problems with census data. First, since they are made available every year, they are a closer estimate of interim moves not counted by the census. Second, they cover the six-year period (from 1980 to 1986, for example) not addressed by the census. Finally, these are the most current data, available within 21 months of the tax year.

The IRS data are generated through a matching procedure, which works as follows: When a household files its annual tax return, the IRS matches the social security number of the primary filer on this return (usually the husband on a joint return) to the same number on the return filed the previous year. Once the match has been made, the blindness and old-age exemptions are excluded in order to get an actual count of the number of individuals in the household. Then, the addresses are compared. If the addresses differ, the household is designated as a mover. The addresses are then coded and this information is used to produce migration data for 1980 to 1981. Thus, the match between the tax years 1979 and 1980 is used to produce migration data for the period 1980 to 1981. If, for any reason, a match of social security numbers cannot be made, the return cannot be included. Data are compiled in late September, when the IRS estimates that 95 percent of all returns have been processed (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1986).

IRS data are provided in two forms. Interstate migration is enumerated in a series of state matrices. The number of persons moving to and from a particular state are noted, so the data represent a complete reporting of such migration. The county-level data are reported in a series of tables representing inmigration and outmigration for all counties for a given year. In the case of interstate migration to or from a county, the county in the particular state concerned is noted. The data are somewhat incomplete, in that flows of 10 or below in any year are not reported, nor are flows amounting to less than 0.5 percent of the migration for a given county.

Limitations of the Data

Census Data

Though it represents the most comprehensive enumeration of migration patterns available, census information is incomplete. The census does not cover the five-year period immediately following an enumeration. As a consequence, anyone migrating during this time is missed. In addition, since the census question asks about the respondent's residence in 1975 only, it misses any moves in the interim. Many other factors could affect the data, such as a respondent who moves in 1975 but who is deceased by 1980. Such a respondent would not, of course, be counted. For these reasons, the census data probably underestimate the true amount of migration.

IRS Data

Though they represent an improvement over census data, IRS data also have several limitations. The first limitation is due to the nature of the tax laws themselves. Certain individuals, such as the poor and most of the elderly, are not required to file returns and are therefore not counted. The IRS estimates the coverage of those 65 years and older at about 30 percent (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1986). In addition, certain individuals may file a return and still be counted as an exemption on another return. Before tax year 1987, it was possible for a person to file a return and still be claimed as an exemption on another person's return. Most of these cases were children who had enough income to be required to file a return but who were also eligible to be claimed as an exemption on their parent's return. There were, for example, about 24 million duplicate exemptions reported in tax year 1980.

The data are limited by the nature of filing procedures as well. The IRS, in compiling its data, uses the address reported by the taxpayer. If, for example, the return has the tax preparer's address, this is what the IRS must use. Some financial institutions provide loans based on the amount of a taxpayer's projected refund. In this case, the refund is sent directly to the bank. In either situation, the address reported is not the actual address of the taxpayer. Clearly, this could lead to excessive errors in estimates of the amount of migration, especially on the county level.

On a much smaller scale than census data, IRS data miss interim moves. If a taxpayer moves more than once between filing periods, his or her interim moves will not be counted. In this way, the data may underestimate the migration of those who move more than once in any given year.

The data are also limited as a result of the matching procedure. Only those returns for which a match of social security numbers can be made for two succeeding years are included. For many returns, this is not the case, leading to about 10 percent not being covered. For example, for the 1984 to 1985 match, there were 86,648,697 matched returns, 8,104,890 unmatched 1984 returns, and 10,407,391 unmatched 1985 returns. Returns may be unmatched for any number of reasons, including taxpayer and IRS error.

Unmatched returns also create problems. As noted previously, the IRS matches returns on the social security number of the primary filer, usually the husband on a joint return. No attempt is made to match the social security number of the secondary filer. For example, let us suppose a dual earner husband-wife couple file a joint return one year and then each files (either by choice or by divorce) a

separate return the next year. The Year 1 joint return with two exemptions is then matched to the Year 2 separate return of the primary filer with his (or her) one exemption. The Year 2 separate return for the spouse (the secondary filer in Year 1) becomes a Year 2 non-match.

The aggregation process used by the IRS also creates a problem. When IRS data are used to create tables for a range of years, the matrices for separate years are summed. As stated previously, when a flow is below 10 or less than 0.5 percent of the migration for a given county, it is not reported separately. The combination of these procedures creates the following problem: If 75 people moved from Comanche county to Oklahoma county in 1980 to 1981, the IRS would not report these specifically as migrants from Comanche county because the numbers fall below 0.5 percent for both counties. Instead, they would be lumped in the category "Same State." For the single year, this does not present a problem. But, if in 1981 to 1982, 150 people moved from Comanche county to Oklahoma county, they would be counted in their respective counties because they met the criteria. When the years are summed, the estimate of migration from Comanche to Oklahoma county would be under-counted, but total state migration would still be accurate. In this way, inconsistent aggregation of data by the IRS from year to year may create a problem when analyzing longer time periods.

Finally, differences in coverage by the IRS tend to be biased by income. The total IRS exemptions for all counties for 1980 were compared (Table A-1) to the census data from 1980 to determine the percent of coverage for the IRS data. For the state, coverage is estimated at 80 percent, ranging from 92 percent for Washington county to 60 percent for Pushmataha county. These rates of coverage correlate strongly with per capita income in the given counties (in fact, the rankings of the two correlate at the .83 level, with 1.00 indicating a perfect correlation). There may be many reasons for this correlation, but the most obvious is that many residents in counties with low per capita income had personal incomes which fell below the level at which it is required by law to file a return. Thus, they would not be covered by IRS data. The consequence of this exclusion for migration is not clear, but the data would certainly tend to underestimate migration for counties with low per capita income and underestimate overall migration as well.

Despite the above-noted limitations, the IRS data are a valuable tool. They represent the only source available on migration flows from year to year. Though they are underestimated, they overcome many of the limitations of census data and represent a fairly complete documentation of migration

patterns, even at the county level. As mentioned earlier, the IRS exemptions as a percent of household population are high. These data provide flows of migration which are important data for business and community planning and are also used in population estimates and projections for counties and states.

I

Population Growth and Migration

Although Oklahoma experienced continued population growth between 1950 and 1982, the rate of growth has fluctuated. Modest increases in the state's population between 1950 and 1970 were followed by rapid growth beginning in the late 1970s and continuing through 1982. But beginning in 1983, estimates show Oklahoma began to lose population to outmigration and continued to do so through 1988. In this section we present a brief overview of these population growth patterns occurring in Oklahoma between 1950 and 1988. Particular attention is given to shifts in the state's migration patterns and their relation to changing economic conditions.

Despite a substantial outmigration, Oklahoma's population grew from a 1950 figure of 2,233,342 to a 1960 total of 2,328,284, an average annual growth rate of 0.4 percent. This compares to the U.S. average annual growth rate of 1.9 percent for the same time period (see Figure 1). The outmigration from Oklahoma during this period was the result of a stagnant and, at times, declining state economy. Approximately 218,000 persons left during this decade to seek employment opportunities or higher wages outside the state. The outmigration, however, was offset by a particularly large natural increase which added approximately 314,000 persons during the ten year period.



Data: U.S. Census Bureau

Figure 1. Average Annual Percent Change in Population for Oklahoma and the U.S.: 1950 - 1988

The slow but steady economic growth characteristic of Oklahoma between 1960 and 1970 helped to turn this situation around and brought a small inflow of migrants to the state. The state's population grew from 2,328,284 in 1960 to 2,560,476 in 1970, a 1.0 percent average annual growth rate. Once again the U.S., with an average annual growth rate of 1.3 percent, outpaced the growth rate of Oklahoma.

In the ten years between 1970 and 1980 Oklahoma experienced an average annual growth rate of 1.8 percent, rising from 2,560,476 to 3,025,266. The U.S., on the other hand, had a 1.1 percent average annual growth rate. Net inmigration accounted for over 241,000 persons of the total population increase. By the late 1970s, the Oklahoma economy had entered a period of rapid expansion brought about by the tremendous rise in petroleum prices. As Figure 2 illustrates, thousands of jobs within the mining sector were subsequently created, touching off a large inmigration to the state. Oklahoma enjoyed continued population growth from 1980 through 1983. The mining sector remained strong and by 1982 per capita income approached the national average.



Figure 2. Mining Employment in Oklahoma: 1975 - 1988

After the economic heights reached in 1982, Oklahoma, along with other oil-producing states, entered a period of recession caused by the collapse in oil prices. Employment in the mining sector dropped 48 percent from an average annual figure of 105,100 in 1982 to 55,100 in 1986. Consequently,

from 1983 through 1988, the state consistently lost population to outmigration. Estimates indicate a corresponding decline in population from 3,312,000 in 1983 to 3,242,000 in 1988.

Looking back at the dramatic fluctuations in both Oklahoma's economy and population between 1977 and 1982, it is apparent they were not the result of the typical expansion and contraction of the normal business cycle. Rather, they were the direct result of the volatile changes occurring in the international petroleum market. As oil prices increased, opportunities in mining employment spiked and attracted a large number of migrants to the state (Figure 3). With the drop in oil prices between 1982 and 1986, mining employment declined, forcing a large outmigration from the state.



Figure 3. Comparison of Oklahoma's Average Annual Net Migration Rates and Petroleum Prices: 1975 - 1988

There is now considerable evidence suggesting that the Oklahoma economy is emerging from this aberration and is beginning to stabilize. Leading this stabilization is the gradual adjustment process occurring within the economy to lower petroleum prices. This can clearly be seen by the reduced proportion of the labor force active within the oil and gas extraction sector which has dropped from 1 in 12 nonagricultural jobs in 1982 to 1 in 25 in 1987 (Penn and Gorin, *Economic Report to the Governor*, 1988). Total personal income has also been on the rise within the state for the past eight consecutive quarters. In addition, announcements for investments of new and expanding manufacturers were particularly encouraging in 1988 with over $710 million announced investment in the state (Oklahoma Department of Commerce). This 1988 announced dollar investment represents the single largest annual total since 1970.

The economic stabilization of the state's economy leads to the reasonable expectation that outmigration from the state should slow and begin to reverse itself within the next few years. Moreover, the short-term population declines occurring between 1983 and 1988 reduced the excess supply of labor within the state. This should further aid the state's economic recovery and encourage a modest net inmigration to the state within the next few years. The long-range impact of Oklahoma's reduced dependence on oil and gas extraction and increased economic diversification should create an economic environment conducive to growth that will stimulate population growth in the coming years.

II

State Migration

In this chapter we refer to persons moving to the state as "inmigrants," persons moving out of the state as "outmigrants" and the difference between inmigrants and outmigrants as "net migrants." The period 1980 to 1983 includes calendar years 1981, 1982, and 1983. The period 1983 to 1986 covers 1984, 1985, and 1986 only.

Inmigration

Over the eleven year period from 1975 to 1986, 1.1 million persons moved into Oklahoma. During 1975 to 1980, 383,000 persons came to Oklahoma from other states (Table 1), while 429,000 persons moved into the state between 1980 and 1983 (Table 2). Another 288,000 persons came to the state from 1983 to 1986 (Table 3). The major sources of inmigration were the bordering states, primarily those in the Southern region (Tables 1, 2, and 3). For every 100 inmigrants, 42 came from the Southern region during 1975 to 1986. Other important sources of inmigration were New York, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Arizona, and California.

From 1975 to 1980, the Southern region accounted for 41 percent of inmigrants; from 1980 to 1983, 41 percent; and from 1983 to 1986, 44 percent (Tables 1, 2, and 3). The next largest contributor was the North Central region with 28 percent from 1975 to 1980, 32 percent from 1980 to 1983, and 30 percent from 1983 to 1986. The Western region contributed 25 percent, 23 percent, and 21 percent, respectively, during the three time periods, while the Northeast region contributed the smallest percentage of total inmigrants to Oklahoma–only 7 percent, 5 percent, and 5 percent, respectively.

During 1975 to 1980, the largest portion of Oklahoma's inmigrants contributed by an individual state was from Texas, about 20 percent. California was second, sending 13 percent of Oklahoma's inmigrants, followed by Kansas with 7 percent, and 5 percent each from Missouri and Arkansas.

Between 1980 and 1983, the six top-ranking states according to their contributions to inmigration in Oklahoma were Texas (19 percent), California (8 percent), Arkansas (8 percent), Kansas (8 percent), Missouri (7 percent), and Colorado (5 percent). These six states were also top contributors, along with Illinois, from 1983 to 1986.

Outmigration

Nearly 900,000 Oklahomans left the state between 1975 and 1986. From 1975 to 1980, 266,000 persons left the state and another 288,000 left Oklahoma between 1980 and 1983. During 1983 to 1986, 343,000 persons moved from Oklahoma to other states. The bordering states served as the destination of the majority of outmigrants (52 percent). For each of the three periods, the Southern region accounted for half of the total outmigration flows. Other important destination states were California, Illinois, Arizona, Ohio, Michigan, and Washington.

Outmigrants from Oklahoma did not move evenly throughout the nation. During 1975 to 1980, about half, or 135,000, migrated to the Southern region, one-fourth to the Western region, and one-fifth to the North Central region (Table 1). Only 4 percent of the state's outmigrants moved to the Northeast (fewer than 10,000). Between 1980 and 1983, 51 percent migrated to the Southern region, 22 percent to both the North Central and the Western regions, and about 4 percent to the Northeast region (Table 2). For 1983 to 1986, 50 percent migrated to the Southern region, 24 percent to the North Central region, 21 percent to the Western region, and about 5 percent to the Northeast region (Table 3).

During the three periods, there was also a large movement of Oklahomans to the bordering states and to California. Texas, the most frequent destination for Oklahoma migrants, received almost 76,000 persons, or about 29 percent of all Oklahoma outmigrants during 1975 to 1980. Kansas was the next highest recipient bordering state, receiving more than 19,000 outmigrants, followed by Arkansas with almost 17,000. California was the only non-contiguous state to which a significant number (24,000) of Oklahomans moved.

Between 1980 and 1983, 27 percent (79,000) of outmigrants moved to Texas. Second was California, a distant state, receiving 23,000 outmigrants, followed by bordering states Arkansas with 21,000, Kansas with 19,000, Missouri with 16,000, and Colorado with 11,000.

From 1983 to 1986, Texas received 92,000 outmigrants from Oklahoma, followed by California (28,000), and bordering states Kansas–(23,000), Arkansas (22,000), Missouri (19,000), and Colorado (12,000).

Net Migration

Oklahoma had a net gain of nearly 204,000 migrants for the eleven year period. The bordering states contributed 21 percent of these net migrants. For the period 1975 to 1983, Oklahoma experienced tremendous net inmigration (Figures 4 and 5). Regionally, the state experienced large inflows and outflows with the states in the Southern region, primarily Texas. These flows tended to cancel out



Figure 4. State to State Net Migration, Oklahoma: 1975 - 1980



Figure 5. State to State Net Migration, Oklahoma: 1980 - 1983



Figure 6. State to State Net Migration, Oklahoma: 1983 - 1986

one another, however, and the largest net inmigration came from the North Central region. During 1983 to 1986, the trend of the previous eight years reversed, and Oklahoma lost approximately one-third of the net migrants it had gained over the previous three years (Figure 6). About 81 percent of this loss went to the Southern region.

During 1975 to 1980, Oklahoma gained more net migrants from the North Central region (42 percent) than from any other region. That is, Oklahoma was more attractive to migrants from the North Central region than vice versa. The Western region was the next largest contributor with 27 percent, while the Southern region provided 18 percent and the Northeast 13 percent. The largest single state contributor of net migrants was California with 24,000. Two of Oklahoma's neighbors, Kansas and Missouri, contributed 9,000 and 7,000, respectively. New York was also a large contributor, sending over 7,000 net migrants. Although the largest migration flows occurred between Oklahoma and Texas (77,000 inmigrants and 76,000 outmigrants), Oklahoma gained a total of only 1,000 net migrants from Texas.

Between 1980 and 1983, Oklahoma gained a high percentage of net migrants (50 percent) from the North Central region, followed by the Western region (24 percent), the Southern region (21 percent), and the Northeast (5 percent). From individual states, Oklahoma attracted the largest number of net migrants from Missouri (15,000), followed by Kansas (13,000), California (12,000), Arkansas (11,000), Colorado and Illinois (9,000 each), and Michigan (more than 8,000).

For the period 1983 to 1986, Oklahoma lost 59,000 net migrants to three of the four regions. It lost 45,000 persons to the Southern region and 13,000 to the Western region. Within the overall loss of 1,000 net migrants to the Northeast, Oklahoma still gained about 4,000 migrants from New York, 2,000 from Pennsylvania, and more than 1,000 from Massachusetts. While gaining overall about 4,000 from the North Central region, the state lost over 4,000 persons to Missouri. Oklahoma lost the most net migrants to Texas (29,000), followed by about 4,000 each to California, Florida, Arkansas, and Missouri .

Table 1

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY REGION/STATE, OKLAHOMA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
TOTAL	382,721	100.0%	265,903	100.0%	116,818	100.0%
Northeast Region	**24,742**	**6.5%**	**9,771**	**3.7%**	**14,971**	**12.8%**
Maine	826	0.2%	580	0.2%	246	0.2%
New Hampshire	867	0.2%	419	0.2%	448	0.4%
Vermont	191	0.0%	98	0.0%	93	0.1%
Massachusetts	2,362	0.6%	954	0.4%	1,408	1.2%
Rhode Island	299	0.1%	267	0.1%	32	0.0%
Connecticut	1,492	0.4%	782	0.3%	710	0.6%
New York	9,951	2.6%	2,786	1.0%	7,165	6.1%
New Jersey	3,746	1.0%	2,046	0.8%	1,700	1.5%
Pennsylvania	5,008	1.3%	1,839	0.7%	3,169	2.7%
North Central Region	**105,612**	**27.6%**	**56,180**	**21.1%**	**49,432**	**42.3%**
Ohio	10,368	2.7%	3,535	1.3%	6,833	5.8%
Indiana	5,305	1.4%	2,333	0.9%	2,972	2.5%
Illinois	13,073	3.4%	5,503	2.1%	7,570	6.5%
Michigan	8,005	2.1%	3,067	1.2%	4,938	4.2%
Wisconsin	3,169	0.8%	1,607	0.6%	1,562	1.3%
Minnesota	4,428	1.2%	2,171	0.8%	2,257	1.9%
Iowa	4,569	1.2%	2,133	0.8%	2,436	2.1%
Missouri	20,341	5.3%	13,198	5.0%	7,143	6.1%
North Dakota	1,543	0.4%	435	0.2%	1,108	0.9%
South Dakota	1,536	0.4%	668	0.3%	868	0.7%
Nebraska	5,176	1.4%	2,126	0.8%	3,050	2.6%
Kansas	28,099	7.3%	19,404	7.3%	8,695	7.4%
Western Region	**96,255**	**25.2%**	**64,460**	**24.2%**	**31,795**	**27.2%**
Montana	994	0.3%	1,088	0.4%	-94	-0.1%
Idaho	913	0.2%	1,469	0.6%	-556	-0.5%
Wyoming	1,826	0.5%	2,604	1.0%	-778	-0.7%
Colorado	12,280	3.2%	10,696	4.0%	1,584	1.4%
New Mexico	7,504	2.0%	4,090	1.5%	3,414	2.9%
Arizona	8,179	2.1%	5,334	2.0%	2,845	2.4%
Utah	1,939	0.5%	1,540	0.6%	399	0.3%
Nevada	1,556	0.4%	1,964	0.7%	-408	-0.3%
Washington	4,756	1.2%	5,102	1.9%	-346	-0.3%
Oregon	3,119	0.8%	2,918	1.1%	201	0.2%
California	48,439	12.7%	24,405	9.2%	24,034	20.6%
Alaska	2,626	0.7%	1,709	0.6%	917	0.8%
Hawaii	2,124	0.6%	1,541	0.6%	583	0.5%

Table 1 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY REGION/STATE, OKLAHOMA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Southern Region	**156,112**	**40.8%**	**135,492**	**51.0%**	**20,620**	**17.7%**
Delaware	1,009	0.3%	212	0.1%	797	0.7%
Maryland	3,646	1.0%	1,441	0.5%	2,205	1.9%
District of Columbia	554	0.1%	163	0.1%	391	0.3%
Virginia	6,039	1.6%	4,887	1.8%	1,152	1.0%
West Virginia	1,116	0.3%	572	0.2%	544	0.5%
North Carolina	5,383	1.4%	3,142	1.2%	2,241	1.9%
South Carolina	3,226	0.8%	2,301	0.9%	925	0.8%
Georgia	5,688	1.5%	4,401	1.7%	1,287	1.1%
Florida	10,713	2.8%	6,486	2.4%	4,227	3.6%
Kentucky	3,140	0.8%	2,423	0.9%	717	0.6%
Tennessee	4,711	1.2%	3,841	1.4%	870	0.7%
Alabama	3,818	1.0%	2,993	1.1%	825	0.7%
Mississippi	3,163	0.8%	2,831	1.1%	332	0.3%
Arkansas	19,870	5.2%	16,565	6.2%	3,305	2.8%
Louisiana	7,211	1.9%	7,414	2.8%	-203	-0.2%
Texas	76,825	20.1%	75,820	28.5%	1,005	0.9%

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table 2

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY REGION/STATE, OKLAHOMA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
TOTAL	**429,360**	**100.0%**	**287,640**	**100.0%**	**141,720**	**100.0%**
Northeast Region	**19,757**	**4.6%**	**12,660**	**4.4%**	**7,097**	**5.0%**
Maine	796	0.2%	588	0.2%	208	0.1%
New Hampshire	538	0.1%	481	0.2%	57	0.0%
Vermont	257	0.1%	194	0.1%	63	0.0%
Massachusetts	1,842	0.4%	1,226	0.4%	616	0.4%
Rhode Island	398	0.1%	226	0.1%	172	0.1%
Connecticut	1,318	0.3%	962	0.3%	356	0.3%
New York	6,289	1.5%	3,870	1.3%	2,419	1.7%
New Jersey	2,866	0.7%	1,791	0.6%	1,075	0.8%
Pennsylvania	5,453	1.3%	3,322	1.2%	2,131	1.5%
North Central Region	**135,452**	**31.5%**	**64,074**	**22.3%**	**71,378**	**50.4%**
Ohio	11,715	2.7%	5,114	1.8%	6,601	4.7
Indiana	9,712	2.3%	3,498	1.2%	6,214	4.4
Illinois	15,535	3.6%	6,755	2.3%	8,780	6.2
Michigan	12,363	2.9%	4,088	1.4%	8,275	5.8
Wisconsin	4,155	1.0%	1,533	0.5%	2,622	1.9%
Minnesota	4,094	1.0%	1,965	0.7%	2,129	1.5%
Iowa	7,571	1.8%	2,367	0.8%	5,204	3.7%
Missouri	30,707	7.2%	15,681	5.5%	15,026	10.6%
North Dakota	1,311	0.3%	769	0.3%	542	0.4%
South Dakota	1,632	0.4%	774	0.3%	858	0.6%
Nebraska	4,604	1.1%	2,207	0.8%	2,397	1.7%
Kansas	32,053	7.5%	19,323	6.7%	12,730	9.0%
Western Region	**97,507**	**22.7%**	**63,680**	**22.1%**	**33,827**	**23.9%**
Montana	1,666	0.4%	1,094	0.4%	572	0.4%
Idaho	1,604	0.4%	1,034	0.4%	570	0.4%
Wyoming	3,311	0.8%	2,138	0.7%	1,173	0.8%
Colorado	20,179	4.7%	11,364	4.0%	8,815	6.2%
New Mexico	8,504	2.0%	5,921	2.1%	2,583	1.8%
Arizona	8,015	1.9%	5,874	2.0%	2,141	1.5%
Utah	2,430	0.6%	1,692	0.6%	738	0.5%
Nevada	2,373	0.6%	1,762	0.6%	611	0.4%
Washington	5,835	1.4%	3,967	1.4%	1,868	1.3%
Oregon	4,172	1.0%	2,184	0.8%	1,988	1.4%
California	34,837	8.1%	23,082	8.0%	11,755	8.3%
Alaska	2,205	0.5%	2,030	0.7%	175	0.1%
Hawaii	2,376	0.6%	1,538	0.5%	836	0.6%

Table 2 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY REGION/STATE, OKLAHOMA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Southern Region	**176,644**	**41.1%**	**147,226**	**51.2%**	**29,418**	**20.8%**
Delaware	593	0.1%	319	0.1%	274	0.2%
Maryland	2,414	0.6%	1,873	0.7%	541	0.4%
District of Columbia	342	0.1%	318	0.1%	24	0.0%
Virginia	5,284	1.2%	4,353	1.5%	931	0.7%
West Virginia	1,539	0.4%	800	0.3%	739	0.5%
North Carolina	4,799	1.1%	4,165	1.4%	634	0.4%
South Carolina	2,695	0.6%	2,235	0.8%	460	0.3%
Georgia	5,374	1.3%	4,686	1.6%	688	0.5%
Florida	9,655	2.2%	8,628	3.0%	1,027	0.7%
Kentucky	4,263	1.0%	2,594	0.9%	1,669	1.2%
Tennessee	6,546	1.5%	4,126	1.4%	2,420	1.7%
Alabama	4,527	1.1%	3,213	1.1%	1,314	0.9%
Mississippi	4,152	1.0%	2,857	1.0%	1,295	0.9%
Arkansas	32,114	7.5%	20,847	7.2%	11,267	8.0%
Louisiana	9,130	2.1%	7,640	2.7%	1,490	1.1%
Texas	83,217	19.4%	78,572	27.3%	4,645	3.3%

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly State to State Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table 3

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY REGION/STATE, OKLAHOMA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
TOTAL	287,888	100.0%	342,924	100.0%	-55,036	100.0%
Northeast Region	**14,675**	**5.1%**	**15,694**	**4.6%**	**-1,019**	**1.9%**
Maine	510	0.2%	644	0.2%	-134	0.2%
New Hampshire	462	0.2%	567	0.2%	-182	0.3%
Vermont	192	0.1%	281	0.1%	-375	0.7%
Massachusetts	1,428	0.5%	1,644	0.5%	1,147	-2.1%
Rhode Island	274	0.1%	352	0.1%	-1,370	2.5%
Connecticut	1,033	0.4%	1,092	0.3%	681	-1.2%
New York	4,718	1.6%	4,854	1.4%	3,626	-6.6%
New Jersey	2,098	0.7%	2,302	0.7%	-2,756	5.0%
Pennsylvania	3,960	1.4%	3,958	1.2%	1,658	-3.0%
North Central Region	**86,647**	**30.1%**	**82,827**	**24.2%**	**3,820**	**-6.9%**
Ohio	6,641	2.3%	7,110	2.1%	-469	0.9%
Indiana	6,514	2.3%	5,059	1.5%	1,455	-2.6%
Illinois	10,549	3.7%	8,701	2.5%	1,848	-3.4%
Michigan	6,064	2.1%	7,031	2.1%	-967	1.8%
Wisconsin	2,628	0.9%	2,467	0.7%	161	-0.3%
Minnesota	3,060	1.1%	2,741	0.8%	319	-0.6%
Iowa	4,384	1.5%	3,102	0.9%	1,282	-2.3%
Missouri	15,216	5.3%	19,471	5.7%	-4,255	7.7%
North Dakota	1,048	0.4%	724	0.2%	324	-0.6%
South Dakota	1,247	0.4%	975	0.3%	272	-0.5%
Nebraska	3,498	1.2%	2,476	0.7%	1,022	-1.9%
Kansas	25,798	9.0%	22,970	6.7%	2,828	-5.1%
Western Region	**60,003**	**20.8%**	**73,269**	**21.4%**	**-13,266**	**24.1%**
Montana	1,157	0.4%	1,181	0.3%	-24	0.0%
Idaho	995	0.3%	1,085	0.3%	-90	0.2%
Wyoming	1,915	0.7%	1,684	0.5%	231	-0.4%
Colorado	9,214	3.2%	12,182	3.6%	-2,968	5.4%
New Mexico	5,457	1.9%	6,160	1.8%	-703	1.3%
Arizona	5,192	1.8%	8,031	2.3%	-2,839	5.2%
Utah	1,973	0.7%	1,827	0.5%	146	-0.3%
Nevada	1,437	0.5%	2,083	0.6%	-646	1.2%
Washington	3,884	1.3%	4,803	1.4%	-919	1.7%
Oregon	1,731	0.6%	2,629	0.8%	-898	1.6%
California	23,178	8.1%	27,558	8.0%	-4,380	8.0%
Alaska	1,833	0.6%	2,182	0.6%	-349	0.6%
Hawaii	2,037	0.7%	1,864	0.5%	173	-0.3%

Table 3 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY REGION/STATE, OKLAHOMA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Southern Region	**126,563**	**44.0%**	**171,134**	**49.9%**	**-44,571**	**81.0%**
Delaware	479	0.2%	489	0.1%	-10	0.0%
Maryland	2,128	0.7%	2,358	0.7%	-230	0.4%
District of Columbia	178	0.1%	329	0.1%	-151	0.3%
Virginia	3,967	1.4%	5,056	1.5%	-1,089	2.0%
West Virginia	1,019	0.4%	902	0.3%	117	-0.2%
North Carolina	3,817	1.3%	4,804	1.4%	-987	1.8%
South Carolina	2,096	0.7%	2,473	0.7%	-377	0.7%
Georgia	4,244	1.5%	6,480	1.9%	-2,236	4.1%
Florida	7,043	2.4%	11,475	3.3%	-4,432	8.1%
Kentucky	2,713	0.9%	3,071	0.9%	-358	0.7%
Tennessee	4,115	1.4%	5,446	1.6%	-1,331	2.4%
Alabama	3,115	1.1%	3,871	1.1%	-756	1.4%
Mississippi	3,185	1.1%	2,883	0.8%	302	-0.5%
Arkansas	1,864	6.5%	22,387	6.5%	-3,739	6.8%
Louisiana	6,429	2.2%	6,792	2.0%	-363	0.7%
Texas	63,387	22.0%	92,318	26.9%	-28,931	52.6%

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly State to State Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

III

Metropolitan Statistical Area Migration

Introduction

In this section we discuss inmigration, outmigration and net migration for the Enid, Lawton, Oklahoma City, and Tulsa metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) for three time periods: 1975 to 1980, 1980 to 1983, and 1983 to 1986. Any movement from one county to another county within the same MSA will not be considered as migration for this section.

The general concept of a metropolitan area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities which have a high degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus (1980 Census Report PC 80-1-C38). The MSA classification is a statistical standard defined and developed by the Office of Management and Budget for use by federal agencies in the production, analysis, and publication of data on metropolitan areas. Each MSA has one or more central counties containing the area's main population concentration–an urbanized area with at least 50,000 inhabitants. An MSA may also include outlying counties which have close economic and social relationships with central counties. (See Map 1 for location of the MSAs in Oklahoma.)

Map 1. Oklahoma's Metropolitan Statistical Areas



Migration Patterns in the Enid MSA

The Enid MSA, consisting of Garfield county (with Enid as its central city), became an MSA in 1982. The population of Garfield county grew 13 percent between 1950 and 1987 compared with 47 percent for the state. For most of this period it lost population through net outmigration. During 1970 to 1980, however, the county gained 3,000 net migrants. Between the eleven year period from 1975 to 1986, the migration streams in and out of the MSA were fairly even; only 1,000 net migrants were lost over this period. Like the state, the MSA gained net migrants from 1975 to 1983 (3,000), then lost migrants from 1983 to 1986 (4,000). For the Enid MSA, migration is most likely defined by the presence of Vance Air Force Base. The presence of military population generally leads to moderate to high migration streams over time.

Interstate Migration

For the period 1975 to 1986, the Enid MSA gained 2,000 net migrants due to interstate migration. Overall, the MSA experienced a gain of 5,000 net migrants from other states from 1975 to 1983, then suffered a net loss of 3,000 from 1983 to 1986. During 1975 to 1980, the MSA had 9,000 interstate inmigrants and 7,000 interstate outmigrants for a total gain of 2,000 net migrants (Table 4). The census data used to estimate migration for this time period does not provide the origins and destinations of these migrants.

From 1980 to 1983, the Enid MSA had 13,000 interstate inmigrants (Table 5). Of these, 42 percent came from the North Central region. Nearly half of the 10,000 interstate outmigrants went to the Southern region (over 43 percent). The MSA gained the majority of its 3,000 net interstate migrants from the North Central region (over 2,000), while losing to Texas (primarily Dallas, Tarrant, and Wichita counties). Some sources of net gains were from bordering states–Arkansas (50), Kansas (100), and Missouri (300). Significant net losses from the MSA were to California (200) and to Texas (600). It appears that major cities in Texas (Dallas and Houston), California (Los Angeles), Missouri (St. Louis), and Kansas (Wichita) were major sources of migrants.

During 1983 to 1986, the MSA lost due to interstate outmigration. As in the previous period, a generous portion of the 7,000 interstate inmigrants (22 percent) came from the North Central region, but more than one-third of the 10,000 interstate outmigrants went to the Southern region (Table 6).

Large numbers of outmigrants moved to Dallas, Tarrant, and Bexar counties in Texas. The MSA lost net interstate migrants (3,000) to every region, mostly to Texas (500), California (200), Arizona (100), Arkansas (50), Missouri (50), and Kansas (50).

Intrastate Migration

For the eleven year period from 1975 to 1986, the Enid MSA lost 3,000 net migrants to other counties of the state. Between 1975 and 1980, the MSA lost nearly 600 persons due to intrastate migration. It gained net migrants from 27 counties and lost to 32 counties. A significant portion of the 6,000 inmigrants came from three proximate counties: Kay, Major, and Woods, and from the two largest metropolitan counties: Oklahoma and Tulsa (Table 4). Of all the counties, Oklahoma county contributed the largest portion with 1,000, or 21 percent. Of the 7,000 intrastate outmigrants, large numbers went to the counties with major universities (Cleveland and Payne) and major metropolitan counties (Oklahoma and Tulsa). In terms of net intrastate migrants, the MSA lost 600, with most of the loss going to Canadian, Cleveland, Payne, and Tulsa counties. But it gained from proximate counties (Kay and Woods) and two metropolitan central counties (Oklahoma and Comanche).

For the period 1980 to 1983, the MSA lost migrants to 23 counties and gained from 13 counties in the state (Table 5). Important originating counties of the 7,000 inmigrants were Kingfisher and Major (two proximate counties), and Oklahoma and Tulsa counties (two central metropolitan counties). Popular destination counties for outmigrants (8,000) were the same counties as for inmigrants. The bulk of the net loss of 1,000 migrants went to a handful of counties: 300 to Oklahoma; and 100 each to Tulsa, Grady, and Beckham counties.

Between 1983 and 1986, the Enid MSA gained 200 net migrants from 8 counties but lost 1,000 net intrastate migrants to 18 counties (Table 6). Of the 5,000 inmigrants, significant numbers came from Kingfisher and Major (proximate counties) and Oklahoma and Tulsa (metropolitan counties). Destination counties for the 6,000 outmigrants included Major, Oklahoma, Payne, and Tulsa. A large part of the net loss went to two major metropolitan counties: Oklahoma (23 percent) and Tulsa (16 percent).

Table 4

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, ENID MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	11	0.1%	0	0.0%	11	0.6%
Alfalfa	128	0.9%	110	0.8%	18	1.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	11	0.1%	10	0.1%	1	0.1%
Beckham	12	0.1%	17	0.1%	-5	-0.3%
Blaine	71	0.5%	59	0.4%	12	0.6%
Bryan	3	0.0%	27	0.2%	-24	-1.3%
Caddo	0	0.0%	43	0.3%	-43	-2.3%
Canadian	94	0.6%	197	1.5%	-103	-5.5%
Carter	18	0.1%	34	0.3%	-16	-0.9%
Cherokee	15	0.1%	53	0.4%	-38	-2.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	18	0.1%	0	0.0%	18	1.0%
Cleveland	258	1.7%	392	3.0%	-134	-7.2%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	202	1.3%	16	0.1%	186	10.0%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	12	0.1%	0	0.0%	12	0.6%
Creek	52	0.3%	28	0.2%	24	1.3%
Custer	189	1.3%	156	1.2%	33	1.8%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	20	0.1%	0	0.0%	20	1.1%
Ellis	0	0.0%	29	0.2%	-29	-1.6%
Garvin	6	0.0%	10	0.1%	-4	-0.2%
Grady	150	1.0%	36	0.3%	114	6.1%
Grant	219	1.5%	150	1.1%	69	3.7%
Greer	12	0.1%	10	0.1%	2	0.1%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	12	0.1%	31	0.2%	-19	-1.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	56	0.4%	70	0.5%	-14	-0.8%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	463	3.1%	363	2.8%	100	5.4%
Kingfisher	122	0.8%	204	1.6%	-82	-4.4%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	33	0.3%	-33	-1.8%
Latimer	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	-14	-0.8%
Le Flore	11	0.1%	8	0.1%	3	0.2%
Lincoln	64	0.4%	98	0.7%	-34	-1.8%
Logan	164	1.1%	200	1.5%	-36	-1.9%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 4 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, ENID MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	35	0.2%	52	0.4%	-17	-0.9%
McCurtain	24	0.2%	0	0.0%	24	1.3%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	345	2.3%	306	2.3%	39	2.1%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	13	0.1%	21	0.2%	-8	-0.4%
Murray	0	0.0%	39	0.3%	-39	-2.1%
Muskogee	12	0.1%	0	0.0%	12	0.6%
Noble	289	1.9%	236	1.8%	53	2.9%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	1,292	8.6%	1,189	9.1%	103	5.5%
Okmulgee	12	0.1%	29	0.2%	-17	-0.9%
Osage	70	0.5%	108	0.8%	-38	-2.0%
Ottawa	58	0.4%	37	0.3%	21	1.1%
Pawnee	90	0.6%	40	0.3%	50	2.7%
Payne	161	1.1%	643	4.9%	-482	-25.9%
Pittsburg	43	0.3%	45	0.3%	-2	-0.1%
Pontotoc	39	0.3%	46	0.4%	-7	-0.4%
Pottawatomie	13	0.1%	87	0.7%	-74	-4.0%
Pushmataha	15	0.1%	0	0.0%	15	0.8%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	53	0.4%	52	0.4%	1	0.1%
Seminole	25	0.2%	51	0.4%	-26	-1.4%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	-10	-0.5%
Stephens	0	0.0%	57	0.4%	-57	-3.1%
Texas	4	0.0%	33	0.3%	-29	-1.6%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	301	2.0%	482	3.7%	-181	-9.7%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	49	0.4%	-49	-2.6%
Washington	100	0.7%	67	0.5%	33	1.8%
Washita	42	0.3%	33	0.3%	9	0.5%
Woods	347	2.3%	161	1.2%	186	10.0%
Woodward	250	1.7%	317	2.4%	-67	-3.6%
Total in State	**6,026**	**40.2%**	**6,588**	**50.2%**	**-562**	**-30.2%**
Total out of State	**8,962**	**59.8%**	**6,541**	**49.8%**	**2,421**	**130.2%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**14,988**	**100.0%**	**13,129**	**100.0%**	**1,859**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table 5

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, ENID MSA: 1980 -1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	242	1.3%	222	1.3%	20	1.3%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	96	0.5%	-96	-6.2%
Blaine	103	0.5%	124	0.7%	-21	-1.4%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	23	0.1%	-23	-1.5%
Canadian	163	0.9%	229	1.3%	-66	-4.3%
Carter	64	0.3%	55	0.3%	9	0.6%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	238	1.2%	273	1.6%	-35	-2.3%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	146	0.8%	146	0.8%	0	0.0%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	21	0.1%	69	0.4%	-48	-3.1%
Custer	98	0.5%	159	0.9%	-61	-4.0%
Delaware	0	0.0%	25	0.1%	-25	-1.6%
Dewey	0	0.0%	29	0.2%	-29	-1.9%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garvin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grady	22	0.1%	120	0.7%	-98	-6.4%
Grant	248	1.3%	235	1.3%	13	0.8%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	28	0.1%	0	0.0%	28	1.8%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	261	1.4%	254	1.5%	7	0.5%
Kingfisher	446	2.3%	446	2.5%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	56	0.3%	37	0.2%	19	1.2%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	27	0.2%	-27	-1.8%
Logan	136	0.7%	200	1.1%	-64	-4.2%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 5 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, ENID MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	27	0.1%	0	0.0%	27	1.8%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	446	2.3%	475	2.7%	-29	-1.9%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	37	0.2%	57	0.3%	-20	-1.3%
Noble	165	0.9%	133	0.8%	32	2.1%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	945	5.0%	1,211	6.9%	-266	-17.3%
Okmulgee	22	0.1%	29	0.2%	-7	-0.5%
Osage	20	0.1%	36	0.2%	-16	-1.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	333	1.7%	383	2.2%	-50	-3.3%
Pittsburg	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	1.6%
Pontotoc	0	0.0%	50	0.3%	-50	-3.3%
Pottawatomie	27	0.1%	94	0.5%	-67	-4.4%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	64	0.3%	0	0.0%	64	4.2%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	35	0.2%	0	0.0%	35	2.3%
Stephens	67	0.4%	39	0.2%	28	1.8%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	415	2.2%	512	2.9%	-97	-6.3%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	61	0.3%	0	0.0%	61	4.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	144	0.8%	152	0.9%	-8	-0.5%
Woodward	238	1.2%	246	1.4%	-8	-0.5%
Same State*	1,201	6.3%	1,472	8.4%	-271	-17.6%
Total in State	**6,543**	**34.4%**	**7,658**	**43.7%**	**-1,115**	**-72.5%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 5 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, ENID MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Northeast Region	**443**	**2.3%**	**426**	**2.4%**	**17**	**1.1%**
North Central Region	**5,208**	**27.3%**	**2,515**	**14.4%**	**2,693**	**175.2%**
Illinois	33	0.2%	40	0.2%	-7	-0.5%
Cowley - KS	36	0.2%	0	0.0%	36	2.3%
Sedgewick - KS	268	1.4%	164	0.9%	104	6.8%
Greene - MO	110	0.6%	0	0.0%	110	7.2%
Jackson - MO	98	0.5%	0	0.0%	98	6.4%
Jasper - MO	40	0.2%	0	0.0%	40	2.6%
Other MO Counties	55	0.3%	0	0.0%	55	3.6%
Other North Cent Reg	4,568	24.0%	2,311	13.2%	2,257	146.8%
Southern Region	**3,990**	**21.0%**	**4,286**	**24.5%**	**-296**	**-19.3%**
Benton - AR	46	0.2%	0	0.0%	46	3.0%
Pulaski - AR	0	0.0%	41	0.2%	-41	-2.7%
Sebastian - AR	48	0.3%	0	0.0%	48	3.1%
Bexar - TX	168	0.9%	226	1.3%	-58	-3.8%
Dallas - TX	71	0.4%	132	0.8%	-61	-4.0%
Harris - TX	151	0.8%	175	1.0%	-24	-1.6%
Ochiltree - TX	39	0.2%	0	0.0%	39	2.5%
Tarrant - TX	113	0.6%	456	2.6%	-343	-22.3%
Wichita - TX	0	0.0%	98	0.6%	-98	-6.4%
Other TX Counties	0	0.0%	82	0.5%	-82	-5.3%
Other Southern Reg	3,354	17.6%	3,076	17.6%	278	18.1%
Western Region	2,336	12.3%	2,107	12.0%	229	14.9%
Arizona	110	0.6%	70	0.4%	40	2.6%
Los Angeles - CA	75	0.4%	105	0.6%	-30	-2.0%
San Bernardino - CA	0	0.0%	80	0.5%	-80	-5.2%
Other CA Counties	0	0.0%	131	0.7%	-131	-8.5%
Colorado	356	1.9%	95	0.5%	261	17.0%
Nevada	0	0.0%	27	0.2%	-27	-1.8%
Other Western Reg	1,795	9.4%	1,599	9.1%	196	12.8%
Foreign	525	2.8%	516	2.9%	9	0.6%
Total out of State	**12,502**	**65.6%**	**9,850**	**56.3%**	**2,652**	**172.5%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**19,045**	**100.0%**	**17,508**	**100.0%**	**1,537**	**100.0%**

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table 6

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, ENID MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	172	1.5%	142	0.9%	30	-0.7%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blaine	62	0.5%	117	0.7%	-55	1.3%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	120	1.0%	158	1.0%	-38	0.9%
Carter	21	0.2%	0	0.0%	21	-0.5%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	156	1.3%	263	1.6%	-107	2.4%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	27	0.2%	78	0.5%	-51	1.2%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	26	0.2%	66	0.4%	-40	0.9%
Custer	92	0.8%	115	0.7%	-23	0.5%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garvin	0	0.0%	27	0.2%	-27	0.6%
Grady	44	0.4%	86	0.5%	-42	1.0%
Grant	212	1.8%	256	1.6%	-44	1.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	0	0.0%	26	0.2%	-26	0.6%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	232	2.0%	213	1.3%	19	-0.4%
Kingfisher	327	2.8%	289	1.8%	38	-0.9%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	121	1.0%	160	1.0%	-39	0.9%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 6 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, ENID MSA: 1983 -1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	372	3.2%	370	2.3%	2	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	36	0.3%	56	0.3%	-20	0.5%
Noble	124	1.1%	203	1.3%	-79	1.8%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	738	6.3%	996	6.2%	-258	5.9%
Okmulgee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Osage	0	0.0%	28	0.2%	-28	0.6%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	269	2.3%	348	2.2%	-79	1.8%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pontotoc	27	0.2%	0	0.0%	27	-0.6%
Pottawatomie	27	0.2%	33	0.2%	-6	0.1%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	302	2.6%	489	3.0%	-187	4.3%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	150	1.3%	134	0.8%	16	-0.4%
Woodward	212	1.8%	152	0.9%	60	-1.4%
Same State*	1,129	9.7%	1,332	8.3%	-203	4.6%
Total in State	**4,998**	**42.8%**	**6,137**	**38.2%**	**-1,139**	**25.9%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 6 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, ENID MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Northeast Region	**202**	**1.7%**	**251**	**1.6%**	**-49**	**1.1%**
North Central Region	**1,472**	**12.6%**	**1,954**	**12.2%**	**-482**	**11.0%**
Sedgewick - KS	114	1.0%	220	1.4%	-106	2.4%
Other Kansas Counties	56	0.5%	0	0.0%	56	-1.3%
Greene - MO	0	0.0%	42	0.3%	-42	1.0%
Jackson - MO	0	0.0%	21	0.1%	-21	0.5%
Other North Cent Reg	1,302	11.1%	1,671	10.4%	-369	8.4%
Southern Region	**2,162**	**18.5%**	**3,971**	**24.7%**	**-1,809**	**41.2%**
Benton - AR	0	0.0%	32	0.2%	-32	0.7%
Pulaski - AR	0	0.0%	26	0.2%	-26	0.6%
Florida	0	0.0%	54	0.3%	-54	1.2%
Bexar - TX	145	1.2%	201	1.2%	-56	1.3%
Dallas - TX	45	0.4%	289	1.8%	-244	5.6%
Harris - TX	0	0.0%	39	0.2%	-39	0.9%
Ochiltree - TX	109	0.9%	58	0.4%	51	-1.2%
Tarrant - TX	105	0.9%	303	1.9%	-198	4.5%
Wichita - TX	0	0.0%	27	0.2%	-27	0.6
Other TX Counties	30	0.3%	59	0.4%	-29	0.7%
Other Southern Reg	1,728	14.8%	2,883	17.9%	-1,155	26.3%
Western Region	**1,194**	**10.2%**	**1,638**	**10.2%**	**-444**	**10.1%**
Arizona	55	0.5%	137	0.9%	-82	1.9%
Los Angeles - CA	67	0.6%	68	0.4%	-1	0.0%
Orange - CA	0	0.0%	51	0.3%	-51	1.2%
San Bernardino - CA	27	0.2%	81	0.5%	-54	1.2%
Other CA Counties	0	0.0%	102	0.6%	-102	2.3%
Colorado	245	2.1%	165	1.0%	80	-1.8%
Hawaii	20	0.2%	0	0.0%	20	-0.5%
Other Western Reg	780	6.7%	1,034	6.4%	-254	5.8%
Undefined Region*	**1,219**	**10.4%**	**1,610**	**10.0%**	**-391**	**8.9%**
Foreign	439	3.8%	521	3.2%	-82	1.9%
Total out of State	**6,688**	**57.2%**	**9,945**	**61.8%**	**-3,257**	**74.1%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**11,686**	**100.0%**	**16,082**	**100.0%**	**-4,396**	**100.0%**

*Undefined Region comprises the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions either singly or in combination

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Migration Patterns in the Lawton MSA

The Lawton metropolitan statistical area is comprised of Comanche county which became an MSA after the 1960 population census. The population of the county more than doubled (116 percent total growth) between 1950 and 1987. However, during the same time, the MSA lost about 25,000 persons through net migration. Like the Enid MSA, migration to and from the Lawton MSA is significantly affected by the presence of a major military base (Fort Sill). Between 1975 and 1986, the Lawton MSA had migration streams dominated by migration from other states and foreign countries. Only one in eight migrants were exchanged with counties within Oklahoma.

Interstate Migration

For the eleven year period between 1975 and 1986, the Lawton MSA gained 7,000 persons through interstate net migration. It gained 14,000 net migrants during 1975 to 1980 and 600 during 1980 to 1983; however, it lost 7,000 during 1983 to 1986.

For the period 1975 to 1980, interstate inmigration of 40,000 accounted for 88 percent of total inmigration (Table 7). Likewise, interstate outmigration of 26,000 accounted for 76 percent of total outmigration. In total, the MSA gained 14,000 migrants from other states. The specific origins and destinations of these interstate migrants are not available.

For 1980 to 1983, the MSA gained 47,000 through interstate inmigration (Table 8). Many of the interstate inmigrants came from the Southern region (33 percent) while the Southern region in turn claimed a large portion of the 46,000 interstate outmigrants (37 percent). In terms of net migration, the MSA gained 600 migrants from out-of-state migration. The highest loss went to the Southern region, with the highest net gain from the North Central region. The state of Hawaii contributed the largest number (500) of net migrants of any single state.

Between 1983 and 1986, the MSA lost a total of 7,000 net migrants to out-of-state (Table 9). There were 43,000 inmigrants, a large number of whom came from the Southern region. Not surprisingly, of the 50,000 outmigrants, a large portion went to the Southern region. For this period, also, Hawaii was the largest contributor of net migrants (200).

The presence of Fort Sill led to a high rate of interstate and foreign migration flows. There is a lack of data on origins and destinations of foreign migration during 1975 to 1980. During 1980 to 1986,

28,000 persons moved to the Lawton MSA from abroad and 29,000 persons left the MSA for foreign countries. The inflows and outflows tended to balance out one another, creating little net effect. In addition, since such migrants were almost certainly military, the rates of this type of migration were probably not affected by the economic conditions in the state.

Intrastate Migration

From 1975 to 1986, the MSA lost 6,000 net migrants due to intrastate migration, with a large number of people going to Cleveland, Oklahoma, and Stephens counties. Proximity, to some extent, was important for intrastate migration. Between 1975 and 1980, the Lawton MSA lost 3,000 persons through intrastate migration (Table 7). Inmigrants (5,000) came primarily from Caddo (a proximate county) and Oklahoma and Tulsa (major metropolitan counties). The favorite counties of the 8,000 outmigrants were Cleveland, Oklahoma, Tulsa (three major metropolitan counties) and Stephens (a bordering county). The choice counties of the net outmigrants were Oklahoma (1,000), Cleveland (900), and Cotton and Stephens (400 each). The MSA received net migrants from 26 counties and lost to 40 counties.

For the period 1980 to 1983 (Table 8), the MSA had similar sources for intrastate inmigration and outmigration as for the 1975 to 1980 period. It continued to lose intrastate net migrants. The MSA had 6,000 intrastate inmigrants and 8,000 outmigrants. The MSA received net migrants from 6 counties and lost to 20 counties.

From 1983 to 1986, the MSA continued to lose due to intrastate migration (Table 9), gaining migrants from 10 counties and losing to 12 counties. The total net loss of migrants from the MSA to other counties in the state was 1,000. Over the three periods, net loss dropped from 3,000 during 1975 to 1980, to 1,000 for 1983 to 1986, and the overall flows became smaller.

Table 7

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, LAWTON MSA: 1975-1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	9	0.0%	8	0.0%	1	0.0%
Alfalfa	19	0.0%	4	0.0%	15	0.1%
Atoka	22	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	0.2%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	15	0.0%	135	0.4%	-120	-1.1%
Blaine	15	0.0%	38	0.1%	-23	-0.2%
Bryan	78	0.2%	47	0.1%	31	0.3%
Caddo	738	1.6%	115	0.3%	623	5.9%
Canadian	123	0.3%	85	0.2%	38	0.4%
Carter	141	0.3%	92	0.3%	49	0.5%
Cherokee	10	0.0%	88	0.3%	-78	-0.7%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	55	0.2%	-55	-0.5%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	276	0.6%	1,168	3.4%	-892	-8.4%
Coal	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	-8	-0.1%
Cotton	96	0.2%	458	1.3%	-362	-3.4%
Craig	13	0.0%	41	0.1%	-28	-0.3%
Creek	36	0.1%	7	0.0%	29	0.3%
Custer	115	0.3%	172	0.5%	-57	-0.5%
Delaware	13	0.0%	24	0.1%	-11	-0.1%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	16	0.0%	202	0.6%	-186	-1.8%
Garvin	49	0.1%	52	0.2%	-3	0.0%
Grant	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	-8	-0.1%
Greer	67	0.1%	0	0.0%	67	0.6%
Harmon	19	0.0%	4	0.0%	15	0.1%
Harper	0	0.0%	9	0.0%	-9	-0.1%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	34	0.1%	-34	-0.3%
Jackson	168	0.4%	179	0.5%	-11	-0.1%
Jefferson	96	0.2%	33	0.1%	63	0.6%
Johnston	61	0.1%	20	0.1%	41	0.4%
Kay	148	0.3%	29	0.1%	119	1.1%
Kingfisher	37	0.1%	0	0.0%	37	0.3%
Kiowa	161	0.4%	108	0.3%	53	0.5%
Latimer	15	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	0.1%
Le Flore	77	0.2%	69	0.2%	8	0.1%
Lincoln	11	0.0%	36	0.1%	-25	-0.2%
Logan	0	0.0%	75	0.2%	-75	-0.7%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	76	0.2%	-76	-0.7%

Table 7 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, LAWTON MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McCurtain	73	0.2%	14	0.0%	59	0.6%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	0.2%
Marshall	0	0.0%	51	0.1%	-51	-0.5%
Mayes	0	0.0%	32	0.1%	-32	-0.3%
Murray	29	0.1%	74	0.2%	-45	-0.4%
Muskogee	57	0.1%	131	0.4%	-74	-0.7%
Noble	0	0.0%	41	0.1%	-41	-0.4%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	14	0.0%	12	0.0%	2	0.0%
Oklahoma	877	2.0%	2,099	6.1%	-1,222	-11.5%
Okmulgee	54	0.1%	70	0.2%	-16	-0.2%
Osage	26	0.1%	53	0.2%	-27	-0.3%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	35	0.1%	-35	-0.3%
Payne	118	0.3%	269	0.8%	-151	-1.4%
Pittsburg	59	0.1%	43	0.1%	16	0.2%
Pontotoc	75	0.2%	132	0.4%	-57	-0.5%
Pottawatomie	28	0.1%	111	0.3%	-83	-0.8%
Pushmataha	37	0.1%	0	0.0%	37	0.3%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	11	0.0%	-11	-0.1%
Rogers	24	0.1%	30	0.1%	-6	-0.1%
Seminole	170	0.4%	45	0.1%	125	1.2%
Sequoyah	63	0.1%	154	0.5%	-91	-0.9%
Stephens	153	0.3%	508	1.5%	-355	-3.4%
Texas	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%
Tillman	165	0.4%	166	0.5%	-1	0.0%
Tulsa	387	0.9%	406	1.2%	-19	-0.2%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	67	0.2%	-67	-0.6%
Washington	0	0.0%	54	0.2%	-54	-0.5%
Washita	0	0.0%	34	0.1%	-34	-0.3%
Woods	33	0.1%	25	0.1%	8	0.1%
Woodward	68	0.2%	37	0.1%	31	0.3%
Total in State	**5,182**	**11.6%**	**8,183**	**24.0%**	**-3,001**	**-28.3%**
Total out of State	**39,566**	**88.4%**	**25,968**	**76.0%**	**13,598**	**128.3%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**44,748**	**100.0%**	**34,151**	**100.0%**	**10,597**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table 8

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, LAWTON MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	91	0.2%	108	0.2%	-17	1.2%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	490	0.9%	598	1.1%	-108	7.7%
Canadian	47	0.1%	36	0.1%	11	-0.8%
Carter	100	0.2%	139	0.3%	-39	2.8%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	28	0.1%	-28	2.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	299	0.6%	566	1.1%	-267	19.1%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cotton	237	0.5%	264	0.5%	-27	1.9%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	132	0.3%	127	0.2%	5	-0.4%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	146	0.3%	146	0.3%	0	0.0%
Garvin	43	0.1%	0	0.0%	43	-3.1%
Grady	285	0.5%	310	0.6%	-25	1.8%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	246	0.5%	203	0.4%	43	-3.1%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	37	0.1%	-37	2.6%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	161	0.3%	150	0.3%	11	-0.8%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 8 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, LAWTON MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	23	0.0%	-23	1.6%
Muskogee	0	0.0%	45	0.1%	-45	3.2%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	1,008	1.9%	1,602	3.0%	-594	42.5%
Okmulgee	25	0.0%	83	0.2%	-58	4.1%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	102	0.2%	121	0.2%	-19	1.4%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	48	0.1%	-48	3.4%
Pontotoc	27	0.1%	25	0.0%	2	-0.1%
Pottawatomie	84	0.2%	94	0.2%	-10	0.7%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	533	1.0%	807	1.5%	-274	19.6%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	177	0.3%	208	0.4%	-31	2.2%
Tulsa	270	0.5%	475	0.9%	-205	14.7%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	30	0.1%	41	0.1%	-11	0.8%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	22	0.0%	-22	1.6%
Same State*	1,199	2.3%	1,406	2.6%	-207	14.8%
Total in State	**5,732**	**10.9%**	**7,712**	**14.3%**	**-1,980**	**141.6%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 8 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, LAWTON MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Northeast Region	**3,006**	**5.7%**	**2,721**	**5.1%**	**285**	**-20.4%**
New York	76	0.1%	0	0.0%	76	-5.4%
Other Northeast Reg	2,930	5.6%	2,721	5.1%	209	-14.9%
North Central Region	**6,866**	**13.1%**	**5,888**	**10.9%**	**978**	**-70.0%**
Illinois	99	0.2%	235	0.4%	-136	9.7%
Kansas	0	0.0%	158	0.3%	-158	11.3%
Michigan	112	0.2%	0	0.0%	112	-8.0%
Other North Cent Reg	6,655	12.7%	5,495	10.2%	1,160	-83.0%
Southern Region	**15,399**	**29.4%**	**16,991**	**31.6%**	**-1,592**	**113.9%**
Georgia	0	0.0%	228	0.4%	-228	16.3%
North Carolina	538	1.0%	558	1.0%	-20	1.4%
Bexar - TX	474	0.9%	611	1.1%	-137	9.8%
Dallas - TX	379	0.7%	583	1.1%	-204	14.6%
Harris - TX	300	0.6%	502	0.9%	-202	14.4%
Tarrant - TX	322	0.6%	431	0.8%	-109	7.8%
Wichita - TX	125	0.2%	418	0.8%	-293	21.0%
Other TX Counties	1,018	1.9%	810	1.5%	208	-14.9%
Other Southern Reg	12,243	23.4%	12,850	23.9%	-607	43.4%
Western Region	**6,788**	**12.9%**	**6,700**	**12.4%**	**88**	**-6.3%**
Alaska	220	0.4%	0	0.0%	220	-15.7%
Los Angeles - CA	374	0.7%	431	0.8%	-57	4.1%
San Diego - CA	0	0.0%	159	0.3%	-159	11.4%
Other CA Counties	234	0.4%	431	0.8%	-197	14.1%
Colorado	477	0.9%	568	1.1%	-91	6.5%
Hawaii	1,261	2.4%	744	1.4%	517	-37.0%
Washington	448	0.9%	455	0.8%	-7	0.5%
Other Western Reg	3,774	7.2%	3,912	7.3%	-138	9.9%
Foreign	14,627	27.9%	13,804	25.7%	823	-58.9%
Total out of State	**46,686**	**89.1%**	**46,104**	**85.7%**	**582**	**-41.6%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**52,418**	**100.0%**	**53,816**	**100.0%**	**-1,398**	**100.0%**

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table 9

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, LAWTON MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	22	0.0%	29	0.1%	-7	0.1%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	533	1.1%	473	0.8%	60	-0.8%
Canadian	41	0.1%	36	0.1%	5	-0.1%
Carter	95	0.2%	109	0.2%	-14	0.2%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	252	0.5%	461	0.8%	-209	2.7%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cotton	234	0.5%	300	0.5%	-66	0.8%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	65	0.1%	58	0.1%	7	-0.1%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	78	0.2%	27	0.0%	51	-0.7%
Garvin	29	0.1%	0	0.0%	29	-0.4%
Grady	244	0.5%	301	0.5%	-57	0.7%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	225	0.5%	166	0.3%	59	-0.8%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	128	0.3%	122	0.2%	6	-0.1%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 9 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, LAWTON MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	29	0.1%	55	0.1%	-26	0.3%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	820	1.7%	1,312	2.4%	-492	6.3%
Okmulgee	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	-0.3%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	83	0.2%	86	0.2%	-3	0.0%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pontotoc	27	0.1%	0	0.0%	27	-0.3%
Pottawatomie	23	0.0%	32	0.1%	-9	0.1%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	470	1.0%	662	1.2%	-192	2.4%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	189	0.4%	206	0.4%	-17	0.2%
Tulsa	326	0.7%	381	0.7%	-55	0.7%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	29	0.1%	0	0.0%	29	-0.4%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Same State*	1,186	2.5%	1,289	2.3%	-103	1.3%
Total in State	**5,152**	**10.8%**	**6,105**	**11.0%**	**-953**	**12.2%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 9 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, LAWTON MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Northeast Region	**1,913**	**4.0%**	**2,114**	**3.8%**	**-201**	**2.6%**
North Central Region	**4,109**	**8.6%**	**4,530**	**8.1%**	**-421**	**5.4%**
Illinois	73	0.2%	205	0.4%	-132	1.7%
Kansas	103	0.2%	0	0.0%	103	-1.3%
Michigan	75	0.2%	0	0.0%	75	-1.0%
Other North Cent Reg	3,858	8.1%	4,325	7.8%	-467	6.0%
Southern Region	**14,173**	**29.6%**	**17,403**	**31.2%**	**-3,230**	**41.2%**
Georgia	96	0.2%	0	0.0%	96	-1.2%
North Carolina	505	1.1%	523	0.9%	-18	0.2%
Bexar - TX	430	0.9%	528	0.9%	-98	1.3%
Dallas - TX	338	0.7%	820	1.5%	-482	6.1%
Harris - TX	253	0.5%	370	0.7%	-117	1.5%
Tarrant - TX	265	0.6%	680	1.2%	-415	5.3%
Wichita - TX	96	0.2%	370	0.7%	-274	3.5%
Other TX Counties	969	2.0%	1,039	1.9%	-70	0.9%
Other Southern Reg	11,221	23.4%	13,073	23.5%	-1,852	23.6%
Western Region	**5,186**	**10.8%**	**5,887**	**10.6%**	**-701**	**8.9%**
Alaska	93	0.2%	157	0.3%	-64	0.8%
Arizona	0	0.0%	117	0.2%	-117	1.5%
Los Angeles - CA	226	0.5%	505	0.9%	-279	3.6%
San Diego - CA	85	0.2%	0	0.0%	85	-1.1%
Other CA Counties	376	0.8%	419	0.8%	-43	0.5%
Colorado	418	0.9%	515	0.9%	-97	1.2%
Hawaii	1,043	2.2%	891	1.6%	152	-1.9%
Washington	436	0.9%	440	0.8%	-4	0.1%
Other Western Reg	2,509	5.2%	2,843	5.1%	-334	4.3%
Undefined Region*	**3,745**	**7.8%**	**4,715**	**8.5%**	**-970**	**12.4%**
Foreign	13,583	28.4%	14,947	26.8%	-1,364	17.4%
Total out of State	**42,709**	**89.2%**	**49,596**	**89.0%**	**-6,887**	**87.8%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**47,861**	**100.0%**	**55,701**	**100.0%**	**-7,840**	**100.0%**

*Undefined Region comprises the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions either singly or in combination.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Migration Patterns in the Oklahoma City MSA

The Oklahoma City MSA is the largest MSA in the state. Starting with Oklahoma county in 1950, the MSA added Canadian and Cleveland counties in 1960. In 1980 McClain and Pottawatomie counties were added, and in 1982 it became an MSA of six counties including Logan county. Based on the same six counties in 1950 as in 1982, the population of the MSA more than doubled between 1950 and 1987.

The presence of the state capital, universities, and major industries make the Oklahoma City MSA a prime target of inflows and outflows of migration. The MSA attracted large numbers of migrants over the eleven year period (1975 to 1986). The streams coming into the area numbered nearly half a million people. Nearly a third of its total migration flow was with other counties and metropolitan areas of the state. Overall, the Oklahoma City MSA garnered 77,000 net migrants, the vast majority from other states.

Interstate Migration

The Oklahoma City MSA accumulated 73,000 net interstate migrants over the eleven year period between 1975 and 1986. It had a net gain of 40,000 persons for 1975 to 1980, and 46,000 for 1980 to 1983. However, the MSA lost 12,000 net migrants during 1983 to 1986.

During 1975 to 1980, the MSA received 121,000 inmigrants from other states while 82,000 persons migrated from the MSA to other states (Table 10). During 1975 to 1980, the MSA had a net gain of 40,000 migrants from other states. The specific origins and destinations of interstate migrants to the MSA during this period are not available.

Between 1980 and 1983, 128,000 persons migrated to the Oklahoma City MSA from other states (Table 11). The Southern region contributed 39 percent of the total out-of-state inmigrants followed by the North Central region with 30 percent, the Western region with 20 percent, and the Northeast region with 5 percent. For the same period, 82,000 persons moved out of the MSA to other states. The Southern region received 48 percent, the Western region received 22 percent, the North Central region received 19 percent, and the Northeast region received 4 percent. The MSA experienced a net gain of 46,000 interstate migrants, 96 percent of all net migrants to the MSA. The North Central

region contributed the most (50 percent) followed by the Southern region (24 percent), the Western region (15 percent), and the Northeast region (5 percent).

For 1983 to 1986, 90,000 persons moved to the MSA from other states (Table 12). Of these 90,000 persons, 39 percent came from the Southern region, 19 percent from the North Central region, 14 percent from the Western region, and 3 percent from the Northeast region. About 103,000 persons from the MSA moved to other states. The Southern region received 47 percent of these outmigrants, followed by the Western region with 16 percent, the North Central region with 14 percent, and the Northeast region with 3 percent. Between 1983 and 1986, the MSA lost people through net migration to every region except the North Central region from which it gained approximately 3,000 persons. It experienced a net loss of 12,000 persons to other states. Texas alone received 8,000 of these net migrants. The MSA also gained 1,000 persons each from the "Undefined Region" and from foreign countries.

Intrastate Migration

In terms of intrastate migration, the MSA gained 4,000 persons during the period 1975 to 1986. Between 1975 and 1983, these intrastate net migrants came primarily from Comanche county, which is metropolitan and has a military base, while for 1983 to 1986 the migrants came from oil-producing counties in the west. Most of the inmigration and outmigration for the Oklahoma City MSA was concentrated in other MSA counties such as Comanche (Lawton MSA), Garfield (Enid MSA), Tulsa (Tulsa MSA), and proximate counties (Garvin, Grady, Lincoln, Payne, and Seminole).

During 1975 to 1980, the MSA received 47,000 intrastate inmigrants from other counties, with Tulsa county accounting for 14 percent of the intrastate inmigrants (Table 10). There were about 50,000 intrastate outmigrants for the same period. Most of the outmigrants from the MSA went to the same counties as the counties where the inmigrants originated. The MSA lost 3,000 net migrants to other counties in the state, particularly to Grady and Payne counties. The largest net gain was from Comanche county. The MSA received interstate net migrants from 32 counties and lost population to 39 counties.

Between 1980 and 1983, there were 49,000 intrastate inmigrants and 47,000 outmigrants (Table 11). The MSA gained 2,000 persons through intrastate net migration. Counties contributing the

largest number of net migrants were Comanche, Garfield, Tulsa, and Woodward. It gained net migrants from 45 counties and lost to 25 counties.

Even though the Oklahoma City MSA lost people through interstate migration from 1983 to 1986, it gained 5,000 net migrants from other counties in the state (Table 12). The MSA received net migrants from 47 counties and lost population to 18 counties. Tulsa county accounted for the largest percentage of net intrastate migration within the Oklahoma City MSA, with 11 percent of the MSA's inmigrants and 14 percent of its outmigrants. The migration streams to and from the metropolitan area were smaller than in previous periods.

Table 10

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	39	0.0%	79	0.1%	-40	-0.1%
Alfalfa	108	0.1%	156	0.1%	-48	-0.1%
Atoka	373	0.2%	386	0.3%	-13	0.0%
Beaver	114	0.1%	111	0.1%	3	0.0%
Beckham	561	0.3%	408	0.3%	153	0.4%
Blaine	642	0.4%	338	0.3%	304	0.8%
Bryan	717	0.4%	683	0.5%	34	0.1%
Caddo	1,246	0.7%	1,006	0.8%	240	0.6%
Carter	1,124	0.7%	1,075	0.8%	49	0.1%
Cherokee	299	0.2%	562	0.4%	-263	-0.7%
Choctaw	181	0.1%	311	0.2%	-130	-0.4%
Cimarron	105	0.1%	36	0.0%	69	0.2%
Coal	128	0.1%	54	0.0%	74	0.2%
Comanche	3,614	2.1%	1,304	1.0%	2,310	6.2%
Cotton	80	0.0%	100	0.1%	-20	-0.1%
Craig	110	0.1%	142	0.1%	-32	-0.1%
Creek	590	0.3%	638	0.5%	-48	-0.1%
Custer	1,327	0.8%	1,218	0.9%	109	0.3%
Delaware	126	0.1%	157	0.1%	-31	-0.1%
Dewey	176	0.1%	163	0.1%	13	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	110	0.1%	-110	-0.3%
Garfield	2,117	1.3%	1,856	1.4%	261	0.7%
Garvin	1,770	1.0%	1,203	0.9%	567	1.5%
Grady	1,577	0.9%	3,522	2.7%	-1,945	-5.2%
Grant	233	0.1%	71	0.1%	162	0.4%
Greer	408	0.2%	317	0.2%	91	0.2%
Harmon	154	0.1%	87	0.1%	67	0.2%
Harper	155	0.1%	106	0.1%	49	0.1%
Haskell	55	0.0%	160	0.1%	-105	-0.3%
Hughes	482	0.3%	460	0.3%	22	0.1%
Jackson	608	0.4%	315	0.2%	293	0.8%
Jefferson	164	0.1%	128	0.1%	36	0.1%
Johnston	99	0.1%	268	0.2%	-169	-0.5%
Kay	1,416	0.8%	780	0.6%	636	1.7%
Kingfisher	643	0.4%	848	0.6%	-205	-0.6%
Kiowa	169	0.1%	271	0.2%	-102	-0.3%
Latimer	434	0.3%	312	0.2%	122	0.3%
Le Flore	542	0.3%	315	0.2%	227	0.6%
Lincoln	1,715	1.0%	2,316	1.8%	-601	-1.6%
Love	84	0.0%	138	0.1%	-54	-0.1%
McCurtain	395	0.2%	401	0.3%	-6	0.0%
McIntosh	314	0.2%	811	0.6%	-497	-1.3%

Table 10 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Major	128	0.1%	173	0.1%	-45	-0.1%
Marshall	198	0.1%	628	0.5%	-430	-1.2%
Mayes	381	0.2%	305	0.2%	76	0.2%
Murray	398	0.2%	526	0.4%	-128	-0.3%
Muskogee	830	0.5%	1,231	0.9%	-401	-1.1%
Noble	123	0.1%	367	0.3%	-244	-0.7%
Nowata	70	0.0%	11	0.0%	59	0.2%
Okfuskee	509	0.3%	643	0.5%	-134	-0.4%
Okmulgee	489	0.3%	603	0.5%	-114	-0.3%
Osage	294	0.2%	475	0.4%	-181	-0.5%
Ottawa	214	0.1%	185	0.1%	29	0.1%
Pawnee	71	0.0%	212	0.2%	-141	-0.4%
Payne	2,995	1.8%	4,601	3.5%	-1,606	-4.3%
Pittsburg	1,275	0.8%	1,381	1.0%	-106	-0.3%
Pontotoc	1,516	0.9%	1,158	0.9%	358	1.0%
Pushmataha	92	0.1%	322	0.2%	-230	-0.6%
Roger Mills	71	0.0%	68	0.1%	3	0.0%
Rogers	248	0.1%	265	0.2%	-17	0.0%
Seminole	1,871	1.1%	1,835	1.4%	36	0.1%
Sequoyah	263	0.2%	371	0.3%	-108	-0.3%
Stephens	1,037	0.6%	1,388	1.1%	-351	-0.9%
Texas	559	0.3%	170	0.1%	389	1.0%
Tillman	269	0.2%	104	0.1%	165	0.4%
Tulsa	6,845	4.1%	6,957	5.3%	-112	-0.3%
Wagoner	121	0.1%	291	0.2%	-170	-0.5%
Washington	359	0.2%	581	0.4%	-222	-0.6%
Washita	114	0.1%	498	0.4%	-384	-1.0%
Woods	258	0.2%	77	0.1%	181	0.5%
Woodward	534	0.3%	683	0.5%	-149	-0.4%
Total in State	**47,326**	**28.1%**	**49,831**	**37.9%**	**-2,505**	**-6.8%**
Total out of State	**121,364**	**71.9%**	**81,796**	**62.1%**	**39,568**	**106.8%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**168,690**	**100.0%**	**131,627**	**100.0%**	**37,063**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table 11

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	19	0.0%	-19	0.0%
Alfalfa	48	0.0%	0	0.0%	48	0.1%
Atoka	175	0.1%	220	0.2%	-45	-0.1%
Beaver	0	0.0%	17	0.0%	-17	0.0%
Beckham	776	0.4%	777	0.6%	-1	0.0%
Blaine	570	0.3%	565	0.4%	5	0.0%
Bryan	333	0.2%	335	0.3%	-2	0.0%
Caddo	914	0.5%	1,076	0.8%	-162	-0.3%
Carter	1,207	0.7%	1,064	0.8%	143	0.3%
Cherokee	304	0.2%	312	0.2%	-8	0.0%
Choctaw	227	0.1%	159	0.1%	68	0.1%
Cimarron	20	0.0%	19	0.0%	1	0.0%
Coal	30	0.0%	129	0.1%	-99	-0.2%
Comanche	2,298	1.3%	1,438	1.1%	860	1.8%
Cotton	21	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	0.0%
Craig	37	0.0%	0	0.0%	37	0.1%
Creek	530	0.3%	496	0.4%	34	0.1%
Custer	1,050	0.6%	1,076	0.8%	-26	-0.1%
Delaware	86	0.0%	120	0.1%	-34	-0.1%
Dewey	119	0.1%	94	0.1%	25	0.1%
Ellis	37	0.0%	0	0.0%	37	0.1%
Garfield	2,007	1.1%	1,509	1.2%	498	1.0%
Garvin	1,782	1.0%	1,651	1.3%	131	0.3%
Grady	2,974	1.7%	3,974	3.1%	-1,000	-2.1%
Grant	43	0.0%	15	0.0%	28	0.1%
Greer	83	0.0%	129	0.1%	-46	-0.1%
Harmon	16	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0.0%
Harper	68	0.0%	0	0.0%	68	0.1%
Haskell	87	0.0%	163	0.1%	-76	-0.2%
Hughes	476	0.3%	387	0.3%	89	0.2%
Jackson	563	0.3%	320	0.2%	243	0.5%
Jefferson	20	0.0%	37	0.0%	-17	0.0%
Johnston	143	0.1%	146	0.1%	-3	0.0%
Kay	903	0.5%	769	0.6%	134	0.3%
Kingfisher	1,129	0.6%	1,133	0.9%	-4	0.0%
Kiowa	133	0.1%	168	0.1%	-35	-0.1%
Latimer	199	0.1%	191	0.1%	8	0.0%
Le Flore	445	0.3%	418	0.3%	27	0.1%
Lincoln	2,560	1.4%	3,356	2.6%	-796	-1.7%
Love	42	0.0%	39	0.0%	3	0.0%
McCurtain	416	0.2%	279	0.2%	137	0.3%
McIntosh	306	0.2%	585	0.5%	-279	-0.6%

Table 11 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Major	88	0.0%	64	0.0%	24	0.0%
Marshall	166	0.1%	310	0.2%	-144	-0.3%
Mayes	170	0.1%	127	0.1%	43	0.1%
Murray	295	0.2%	418	0.3%	-123	-0.3%
Muskogee	886	0.5%	798	0.6%	88	0.2%
Noble	306	0.2%	267	0.2%	39	0.1%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	411	0.2%	414	0.3%	-3	0.0%
Okmulgee	590	0.3%	461	0.4%	129	0.3%
Osage	223	0.1%	276	0.2%	-53	-0.1%
Ottawa	206	0.1%	120	0.1%	86	0.2%
Pawnee	132	0.1%	49	0.0%	83	0.2%
Payne	2,237	1.3%	1,988	1.5%	249	0.5%
Pittsburg	929	0.5%	886	0.7%	43	0.1%
Pontotoc	1,134	0.6%	863	0.7%	271	0.6%
Pushmataha	245	0.1%	171	0.1%	74	0.2%
Roger Mills	20	0.0%	59	0.0%	-39	-0.1%
Rogers	340	0.2%	204	0.2%	136	0.3%
Seminole	1,842	1.0%	2,076	1.6%	-234	-0.5%
Sequoyah	295	0.2%	197	0.2%	98	0.2%
Stephens	1,028	0.6%	873	0.7%	155	0.3%
Texas	181	0.1%	82	0.1%	99	0.2%
Tillman	164	0.1%	59	0.0%	105	0.2%
Tulsa	5,900	3.3%	5,334	4.1%	566	1.2%
Wagoner	260	0.1%	249	0.2%	11	0.0%
Washington	580	0.3%	364	0.3%	216	0.4%
Washita	300	0.2%	261	0.2%	39	0.1%
Woods	135	0.1%	66	0.1%	69	0.1%
Woodward	927	0.5%	515	0.4%	412	0.9%
Same State*	5,705	3.2%	6,054	4.7%	-349	-0.7%
Total in State	**48,872**	**27.6%**	**46,790**	**36.3%**	**2,082**	**4.3%**
Northeast Region	**6,033**	**3.4%**	**3,513**	**2.7%**	**2,520**	**5.2%**
North Central Region	**38,405**	**21.7%**	**15,398**	**12.0%**	**23,007**	**47.7%**
Illinois	510	0.3%	0	0.0%	510	1.1%
Indiana	468	0.3%	0	0.0%	468	1.0%
Sedgewick - KS	1,660	0.9%	770	0.6%	890	1.8%

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 11 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Other KS Counties	24	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	0.0%
Jackson - MO	302	0.2%	0	0.0%	302	0.6%
Other MO Counties	0	0.0%	34	0.0%	-34	-0.1%
Other North Cent Reg	35,441	20.0%	14,594	11.3%	20,847	43.2%
Southern Region	**50,293**	**28.4%**	**39,308**	**30.5%**	**10,985**	**22.8%**
Pulaski - AR	586	0.3%	0	0.0%	586	1.2%
Bexar - TX	587	0.3%	987	0.8%	-400	-0.8%
Dallas - TX	3,098	1.8%	3,360	2.6%	-262	-0.5%
Denton - TX	0	0.0%	21	0.0%	-21	0.0%
Harris - TX	3,201	1.8%	3,161	2.5%	40	0.1%
Tarrant - TX	1,898	1.1%	2,222	1.7%	-324	-0.7%
Wichita - TX	0	0.0%	39	0.0%	-39	-0.1%
Other TX Counties	0	0.0%	36	0.0%	-36	-0.1%
Other Southern Reg	40,923	23.1%	29,482	22.9%	11,441	23.7%
Western Region	**25,173**	**14.2%**	**18,059**	**14.0%**	**7,114**	**14.8%**
Arizona	1,017	0.6%	652	0.5%	365	0.8%
Los Angeles - CA	2,097	1.2%	1,541	1.2%	556	1.2%
Orange - CA	0	0.0%	180	0.1%	-180	-0.4%
San Bernardino - CA	16	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0.0%
San Diego - CA	580	0.3%	206	0.2%	374	0.8%
Other CA Counties	0	0.0%	25	0.0%	-25	-0.1%
Other Western Reg	21,463	12.1%	15,455	12.0%	6,008	12.5%
Undefined Region*	**2,610**	**1.5%**	**1,206**	**0.9%**	**1,404**	**2.9%**
Foreign	5,608	3.2%	4,504	3.5%	1,104	2.3%
Total out of State	**128,122**	**72.4%**	**81,988**	**63.7%**	**46,134**	**95.7%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**176,994**	**100.0%**	**128,778**	**100.0%**	**48,216**	**100.0%**

*Undefined Region comprises the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions either singly or in combination.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table 12

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	42	0.0%	20	0.0%	22	-0.3%
Alfalfa	50	0.0%	0	0.0%	50	-0.6%
Atoka	68	0.1%	131	0.1%	-63	0.8%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	950	0.7%	258	0.2%	692	-8.9%
Blaine	522	0.4%	329	0.2%	193	-2.5%
Bryan	284	0.2%	279	0.2%	5	-0.1%
Caddo	976	0.7%	828	0.6%	148	-1.9%
Carter	1,076	0.8%	888	0.6%	188	-2.4%
Cherokee	213	0.2%	196	0.1%	17	-0.2%
Choctaw	124	0.1%	124	0.1%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	17	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	-0.2%
Coal	32	0.0%	87	0.1%	-55	0.7%
Comanche	1,841	1.4%	1,136	0.8%	705	-9.1%
Cotton	21	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	-0.3%
Craig	47	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	-0.6%
Creek	537	0.4%	474	0.3%	63	-0.8%
Custer	1,223	0.9%	643	0.5%	580	-7.5%
Delaware	72	0.1%	94	0.1%	-22	0.3%
Dewey	63	0.0%	29	0.0%	34	-0.4%
Ellis	87	0.1%	0	0.0%	87	-1.1%
Garfield	1,610	1.2%	1,162	0.8%	448	-5.8%
Garvin	1,368	1.0%	1,451	1.0%	-83	1.1%
Grady	3,408	2.5%	3,937	2.8%	-529	6.8%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	90	0.1%	38	0.0%	52	-0.7%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	64	0.0%	99	0.1%	-35	0.4%
Hughes	360	0.3%	262	0.2%	98	-1.3%
Jackson	620	0.5%	319	0.2%	301	-3.9%
Jefferson	75	0.1%	41	0.0%	34	-0.4%
Johnston	93	0.1%	78	0.1%	15	-0.2%
Kay	810	0.6%	520	0.4%	290	-3.7%
Kingfisher	1,023	0.8%	911	0.6%	112	-1.4%
Kiowa	188	0.1%	72	0.1%	116	-1.5%
Latimer	125	0.1%	127	0.1%	-2	0.0%
Le Flore	359	0.3%	334	0.2%	25	-0.3%
Lincoln	2,497	1.9%	2,957	2.1%	-460	5.9%
Love	20	0.0%	37	0.0%	-17	0.2%
McCurtain	279	0.2%	214	0.2%	65	-0.8%
McIntosh	261	0.2%	455	0.3%	-194	2.5%

Table 12 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Major	60	0.0%	0	0.0%	60	-0.8%
Marshall	151	0.1%	279	0.2%	-128	1.6%
Mayes	118	0.1%	123	0.1%	-5	0.1%
Murray	240	0.2%	267	0.2%	-27	0.3%
Muskogee	774	0.6%	683	0.5%	91	-1.2%
Noble	226	0.2%	211	0.1%	15	-0.2%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	388	0.3%	389	0.3%	-1	0.0%
Okmulgee	483	0.4%	300	0.2%	183	-2.4%
Osage	253	0.2%	115	0.1%	138	-1.8%
Ottawa	214	0.2%	149	0.1%	65	-0.8%
Pawnee	90	0.1%	96	0.1%	-6	0.1%
Payne	2,246	1.7%	1,698	1.2%	548	-7.0%
Pittsburg	640	0.5%	810	0.6%	-170	2.2%
Pontotoc	938	0.7%	851	0.6%	87	-1.1%
Pushmataha	133	0.1%	122	0.1%	11	-0.1%
Roger Mills	31	0.0%	0	0.0%	31	-0.4%
Rogers	278	0.2%	234	0.2%	44	-0.6%
Seminole	1,545	1.1%	1,540	1.1%	5	-0.1%
Sequoyah	201	0.1%	245	0.2%	-44	0.6%
Stephens	910	0.7%	613	0.4%	297	-3.8%
Texas	133	0.1%	69	0.0%	64	-0.8%
Tillman	90	0.1%	72	0.1%	18	-0.2%
Tulsa	5,045	3.8%	5,579	3.9%	-534	6.9%
Wagoner	284	0.2%	257	0.2%	27	-0.3%
Washington	367	0.3%	89	0.1%	278	-3.6%
Washita	386	0.3%	108	0.1%	278	-3.6%
Woods	152	0.1%	0	0.0%	152	-2.0%
Woodward	704	0.5%	304	0.2%	400	-5.1%
Same State*	5,592	4.2%	5,865	4.1%	-273	3.5%
Total in State	**44,167**	**32.9%**	**39,598**	**27.9%**	**4,569**	**-58.7%**
Northeast Region	**2,682**	**2.0%**	**2,946**	**2.1%**	**-264**	**3.4%**
North Central Region	**17,243**	**12.8%**	**14,469**	**10.2%**	**2,774**	**-35.7%**
Illinois	265	0.2%	0	0.0%	265	-3.4%
Indiana	583	0.4%	0	0.0%	583	-7.5%
Kansas	1,535	1.1%	1,440	1.0%	95	-1.2%

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 12 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, OKLAHOMA CITY MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Missouri	234	0.2%	0	0.0%	234	-3.0%
Other North Cent Reg	14,626	10.9%	13,029	9.2%	1,597	-20.5%
Southern Region	**35,471**	**26.4%**	**48,059**	**33.8%**	**-12,588**	**161.8%**
Mississippi	414	0.3%	0	0.0%	414	-5.3%
Texas	6,494	4.8%	14,070	9.9%	-7,576	97.4%
Other Southern Reg	28,563	21.3%	33,989	23.9%	-5,426	69.8%
Western Region	**12,573**	**9.4%**	**15,939**	**11.2%**	**-3,366**	**43.3%**
Arizona	0	0.0%	1,337	0.9%	-1,337	17.2%
California	2,481	1.8%	2,854	2.0%	-373	4.8%
Nevada	0	0.0%	25	0.0%	-25	0.3%
Other Western Reg	10,092	7.5%	11,723	8.2%	-1,631	21.0%
Undefined Region*	**17,276**	**12.9%**	**16,191**	**11.4%**	**1,085**	**-13.9%**
Foreign	4,955	3.7%	4,944	3.5%	11	-0.1%
Total out of State	**90,200**	**67.1%**	**102,548**	**72.1%**	**-12,348**	**158.7%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	134,367	100.0%	142,146	100.0%	-7,779	100.0%

*Undefined Region comprises the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions either singly or in combination.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Migration Patterns in the Tulsa MSA

The Tulsa metropolitan area, the second largest MSA in the state, incorporates Creek, Osage, Rogers, Tulsa, and Wagoner counties. Tulsa county became the MSA in 1950 and has had various configurations of counties added to it since that time. Like the Oklahoma City MSA, the Tulsa MSA has large interstate and intrastate migration streams.

Interstate Migration

During the eleven year period between 1975 and 1986, the Tulsa MSA gained 74,000 migrants from interstate migration. The MSA gained 45,000 net interstate migrants during 1975 to 1980, and 36,000 between 1980 and 1983, then lost 7,000 from 1983 to 1986.

Over the period 1975 to 1980, the Tulsa MSA received 98,000 inmigrants from other states while approximately 53,000 persons from the MSA migrated to other states (Table 13) . The MSA gained 45,000 net migrants from other states. No specific source or destination data are available for this period.

Between 1980 and 1983, interstate inmigration accounted for 73 percent of total inmigration (Table 14). Equal proportions of migrants came from the Southern and the North Central regions. About 36 percent of these interstate inmigrants to the MSA came from the Southern region (primarily Texas), while 37 percent came from the North Central region (mainly Kansas and Missouri). About 60,000 persons from the MSA migrated to other states, particularly to the central counties of Texas–Dallas, Fort Worth, and Houston (7,000, or 23 percent of the total outmigrants); and to the Los Angeles and San Diego areas of California (2,000, or 20 percent). Nearly half of Tulsa's outmigrants moved to the Southern region while 23 percent and 20 percent moved to the North Central region and the Western region, respectively. During this period, the MSA gained 36,000 total interstate net migrants, with 61 percent of the total interstate net migrants coming from the North Central region. About 19 percent of the interstate net migrants came from the Southern region, and nearly half of these were from four counties in Arkansas. The MSA lost 2,000 net migrants to the "Undefined Region."

There was considerable turnover during 1983 to 1986 as the MSA continued to attract migrants from the North Central region but lost to all other regions (Table 15). During this period there were 67,000 interstate inmigrants, 39 percent of whom came from the Southern region and 23 percent

from the North Central region. About 74,000 persons from the Tulsa MSA migrated to other states, primarily to the Southern region (46 percent), with Dallas county accounting for the largest percentage. The greatest loss (8,000) was to the Southern region, in particular to Texas. The MSA gained nearly 3,000 net migrants from the "Undefined Region."

Intrastate Migration

From 1975 to 1986 the Tulsa MSA had a net gain of 4,000 persons through intrastate migration. It lost population to the rest of the state, however, between 1975 and 1983. During 1983 to 1986 the trend reversed, resulting in an intrastate gain caused somewhat by migration out of the western part of the state. Intrastate inmigrants came primarily from proximate counties, Kay (2,000) and Muskogee (3,000); a major population center, Oklahoma (6,000); and a major university county, Payne (3,000). Important destination counties for outmigrants included counties with major universities, Cleveland (3,000) and Payne (5,000); a major metropolitan county, Oklahoma (4,000); and proximate county, Okmulgee (3,000). The MSA gained net migrants from 33 counties and lost to 38 counties.

Between 1980 and 1983 there were 36,000 intrastate inmigrants (Table 14). Many of these inmigrants came from three proximate counties (Muskogee, Okmulgee, and Washington), counties having two major universities (Cleveland and Payne), and Oklahoma county. The 38,000 intrastate outmigrants primarily went to proximate counties (Mayes, Okmulgee, and Pawnee). The Tulsa MSA gained population through migration from 19 counties and lost to 28 counties.

During 1983 to 1986 there were 39,000 intrastate inmigrants into the Tulsa MSA, many of whom came from two proximate counties (Muskogee and Washington) and from Oklahoma county (Table 15). Of the 31,000 intrastate outmigrants, proximate counties–Mayes, Muskogee, Okmulgee, and Washington received 2,000 each. The MSA gained population from 32 counties and lost population to 16 counties.

Table 13

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, TULSA MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	287	0.2%	377	0.4%	-90	-0.2%
Alfalfa	53	0.0%	93	0.1%	-40	-0.1%
Atoka	113	0.1%	179	0.2%	-66	-0.1%
Beaver	0	0.0%	21	0.0%	-21	0.0%
Beckham	40	0.0%	165	0.2%	-125	-0.3%
Blaine	56	0.0%	184	0.2%	-128	-0.3%
Bryan	340	0.3%	219	0.2%	121	0.3%
Caddo	257	0.2%	76	0.1%	181	0.4%
Canadian	350	0.3%	531	0.6%	-181	-0.4%
Carter	312	0.2%	354	0.4%	-42	-0.1%
Cherokee	1,550	1.2%	1,855	2.1%	-305	-0.7%
Choctaw	238	0.2%	67	0.1%	171	0.4%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	29	0.0%	-29	-0.1%
Cleveland	1,898	1.4%	2,532	2.8%	-634	-1.4%
Coal	50	0.0%	68	0.1%	-18	0.0%
Comanche	563	0.4%	473	0.5%	90	0.2%
Cotton	0	0.0%	23	0.0%	-23	-0.1%
Craig	441	0.3%	673	0.8%	-232	-0.5%
Custer	183	0.1%	159	0.2%	24	0.1%
Delaware	878	0.7%	1,127	1.3%	-249	-0.6%
Dewey	0	0.0%	40	0.0%	-40	-0.1%
Ellis	24	0.0%	13	0.0%	11	0.0%
Garfield	719	0.5%	476	0.5%	243	0.5%
Garvin	88	0.1%	257	0.3%	-169	-0.4%
Grady	136	0.1%	23	0.0%	113	0.3%
Grant	53	0.0%	16	0.0%	37	0.1%
Greer	12	0.0%	50	0.1%	-38	-0.1%
Harmon	13	0.0%	42	0.0%	-29	-0.1%
Harper	0	0.0%	60	0.1%	-60	-0.1%
Haskell	188	0.1%	158	0.2%	30	0.1%
Hughes	363	0.3%	127	0.1%	236	0.5%
Jackson	272	0.2%	61	0.1%	211	0.5%
Jefferson	30	0.0%	19	0.0%	11	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	66	0.1%	-66	-0.1%
Kay	2,043	1.5%	913	1.0%	1,130	2.6%
Kingfisher	7	0.0%	50	0.1%	-43	-0.1%
Kiowa	55	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	0.1%
Latimer	51	0.0%	78	0.1%	-27	-0.1%
Le Flore	375	0.3%	501	0.6%	-126	-0.3%
Lincoln	511	0.4%	378	0.4%	133	0.3%
Logan	246	0.2%	174	0.2%	72	0.2%
Love	0	0.0%	44	0.0%	-44	-0.1%

Table13 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, TULSA MSA: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	110	0.1%	64	0.1%	46	0.1%
McCurtain	176	0.1%	77	0.1%	99	0.2%
McIntosh	486	0.4%	235	0.3%	251	0.6%
Major	15	0.0%	109	0.1%	-94	-0.2%
Marshall	32	0.0%	29	0.0%	3	0.0%
Mayes	1,491	1.1%	2,257	2.5%	-766	-1.7%
Murray	19	0.0%	68	0.1%	-49	-0.1%
Muskogee	2,681	2.0%	2,209	2.5%	472	1.1%
Noble	168	0.1%	228	0.3%	-60	-0.1%
Nowata	378	0.3%	582	0.6%	-204	-0.5%
Okfuskee	277	0.2%	264	0.3%	13	0.0%
Oklahoma	5,578	4.2%	4,306	4.8%	1,272	2.9%
Okmulgee	1,941	1.4%	2,578	2.9%	-637	-1.4%
Ottawa	735	0.5%	627	0.7%	108	0.2%
Pawnee	1,008	0.8%	1,849	2.1%	-841	-1.9%
Payne	3,248	2.4%	4,726	5.3%	-1,478	-3.3%
Pittsburg	810	0.6%	630	0.7%	180	0.4%
Pontotoc	402	0.3%	264	0.3%	138	0.3%
Pottawatomie	444	0.3%	491	0.5%	-47	-0.1%
Pushmataha	89	0.1%	66	0.1%	23	0.1%
Seminole	272	0.2%	248	0.3%	24	0.1%
Sequoyah	466	0.3%	298	0.3%	168	0.4%
Stephens	158	0.1%	268	0.3%	-110	-0.2%
Texas	224	0.2%	84	0.1%	140	0.3%
Tillman	0	0.0%	12	0.0%	-12	0.0%
Washington	1,810	1.4%	1,632	1.8%	178	0.4%
Washita	28	0.0%	56	0.1%	-28	-0.1%
Woods	113	0.1%	97	0.1%	16	0.0%
Woodward	39	0.0%	88	0.1%	-49	-0.1%
Total in State	**35,993**	**26.9%**	**37,193**	**41.5%**	**-1,200**	**-2.7%**
Total out of State	**98,001**	**73.1%**	**52,531**	**58.5%**	**45,470**	**102.7%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**133,994**	**100.0%**	**89,724**	**100.0%**	**44,270**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table 14

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, TULSA MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	251	0.2%	240	0.2%	11	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	26	0.0%	-26	-0.1%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	48	0.0%	84	0.1%	-36	-0.1%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	179	0.1%	120	0.1%	59	0.2%
Caddo	27	0.0%	69	0.1%	-42	-0.1%
Canadian	273	0.2%	314	0.3%	-41	-0.1%
Carter	230	0.2%	211	0.2%	19	0.1%
Cherokee	1,132	0.9%	1,364	1.4%	-232	-0.7%
Choctaw	48	0.0%	57	0.1%	-9	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,159	0.9%	1,484	1.5%	-325	-1.0%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	475	0.4%	270	0.3%	205	0.6%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	501	0.4%	609	0.6%	-108	-0.3%
Custer	137	0.1%	119	0.1%	18	0.1%
Delaware	516	0.4%	767	0.8%	-251	-0.8%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	617	0.5%	520	0.5%	97	0.3%
Garvin	0	0.0%	46	0.0%	-46	-0.1%
Grady	115	0.1%	123	0.1%	-8	0.0%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	124	0.1%	134	0.1%	-10	0.0%
Hughes	87	0.1%	157	0.2%	-70	-0.2%
Jackson	140	0.1%	94	0.1%	46	0.1%
Kay	1,825	1.4%	1,705	1.7%	120	0.4%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	62	0.1%	-62	-0.2%
Le Flore	323	0.2%	308	0.3%	15	0.0%
Lincoln	457	0.3%	507	0.5%	-50	-0.2%
Logan	104	0.1%	105	0.1%	-1	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	178	0.1%	157	0.2%	21	0.1%

Table 14 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, TULSA MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McIntosh	268	0.2%	338	0.3%	-70	-0.2%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	1,953	1.5%	2,619	2.7%	-666	-2.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	2,934	2.2%	2,817	2.9%	117	0.4%
Noble	49	0.0%	0	0.0%	49	0.1%
Nowata	403	0.3%	323	0.3%	80	0.2%
Okfuskee	242	0.2%	298	0.3%	-56	-0.2%
Oklahoma	4,648	3.5%	4,985	5.1%	-337	-1.0%
Okmulgee	2,235	1.7%	2,943	3.0%	-708	-2.1%
Ottawa	573	0.4%	439	0.4%	134	0.4%
Pawnee	1,871	1.4%	2,341	2.4%	-470	-1.4%
Payne	2,266	1.7%	2,269	2.3%	-3	0.0%
Pittsburg	477	0.4%	544	0.6%	-67	-0.2%
Pontotoc	186	0.1%	177	0.2%	9	0.0%
Pottawatomie	375	0.3%	365	0.4%	10	0.0%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	29	0.0%	-29	-0.1%
Seminole	132	0.1%	260	0.3%	-128	-0.4%
Sequoyah	321	0.2%	452	0.5%	-131	-0.4%
Stephens	166	0.1%	88	0.1%	78	0.2%
Texas	22	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	0.1%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	2,403	1.8%	2,353	2.4%	50	0.2%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	101	0.1%	103	0.1%	-2	0.0%
Same State*	4,934	3.8%	4,843	4.9%	91	0.3%
Total in State	**35,505**	**27.1%**	**38,238**	**39.0%**	**-2,733**	**-8.2%**
Northeast Region	**5,777**	**4.4%**	**3,131**	**3.2%**	**2,646**	**8.0%**
North Central Region	**35,641**	**27.2%**	**13,768**	**14.1%**	**21,873**	**65.9%**
Illinois	888	0.7%	0	0.0%	888	2.7%
Indiana	124	0.1%	0	0.0%	124	0.4%
Chautauqua - KS	200	0.2%	53	0.1%	147	0.4%
Cowley - KS	40	0.0%	23	0.0%	17	0.1%
Sedgewick - KS	1,501	1.1%	786	0.8%	715	2.2%

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 14 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, TULSA MSA: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Other KS Counties	451	0.3%	0	0.0%	451	1.4%
Greene - MO	940	0.7%	178	0.2%	762	2.3%
Jasper - MO	820	0.6%	148	0.2%	672	2.0%
St. Louis - MO	691	0.5%	0	0.0%	691	2.1%
Ohio	28	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	0.1%
Other North Cent Reg	29,299	22.3%	12,580	12.8%	16,719	50.4%
Southern Region	**34,325**	**26.2%**	**27,344**	**27.9%**	**6,981**	**21.0%**
Benton - AR	674	0.5%	0	0.0%	674	2.0%
Pulaski - AR	840	0.6%	0	0.0%	840	2.5%
Sebastian - AR	817	0.6%	0	0.0%	817	2.5%
Washington - AR	1,004	0.8%	211	0.2%	793	2.4%
Dallas - TX	1,760	1.3%	2,159	2.2%	-399	-1.2%
Harris - TX	3,106	2.4%	3,183	3.2%	-77	-0.2%
Tarrant - TX	1,080	0.8%	1,275	1.3%	-195	-0.6%
Other Southern Reg	25,044	19.1%	20,516	20.9%	4,528	13.6%
Western Region	**17,640**	**13.5%**	**12,146**	**12.4%**	**5,494**	**16.6%**
Arizona	533	0.4%	647	0.7%	-114	-0.3%
Los Angeles - CA	1,777	1.4%	1,184	1.2%	593	1.8%
Orange - CA	769	0.6%	436	0.4%	333	1.0%
San Diego - CA	438	0.3%	438	0.4%	0	0.0%
Other CA Counties	39	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	0.1%
Colorado	0	0.0%	439	0.4%	-439	-1.3%
Other Western Reg	14,084	10.7%	9,002	9.2%	5,082	15.3%
Undefined Region*	**628**	**0.5%**	**2,174**	**2.2%**	**-1,546**	**-4.7%**
Foreign	1,611	1.2%	1,145	1.2%	466	1.4%
Total out of State	**95,622**	**72.9%**	**59,708**	**61.0%**	**35,914**	**108.2%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**131,127**	**100.0%**	**97,946**	**100.0%**	**33,181**	**100.0%**

*Undefined Region comprises the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions either singly or in combination.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table 15

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, TULSA MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	125	0.1%	197	0.2%	-72	-6.9%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	108	0.1%	0	0.0%	108	10.3%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	101	0.1%	116	0.1%	-15	-1.4%
Caddo	20	0.0%	23	0.0%	-3	-0.3%
Canadian	344	0.3%	246	0.2%	98	9.4%
Carter	187	0.2%	163	0.2%	24	2.3%
Cherokee	1,027	1.0%	1,159	1.1%	-132	-12.6%
Choctaw	23	0.0%	33	0.0%	-10	-1.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,226	1.2%	1,303	1.3%	-77	-7.4%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	381	0.4%	326	0.3%	55	5.3%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	475	0.5%	471	0.5%	4	0.4%
Custer	142	0.1%	96	0.1%	46	4.4%
Delaware	495	0.5%	656	0.6%	-161	-15.4%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	583	0.6%	328	0.3%	255	24.4%
Garvin	91	0.1%	50	0.0%	1	3.9%
Grady	105	0.1%	98	0.1%	7	0.7%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	99	0.1%	134	0.1%	-35	-3.4%
Hughes	91	0.1%	36	0.0%	55	5.3%
Jackson	69	0.1%	34	0.0%	5	3.4%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	1,231	1.2%	1,267	1.2%	-36	-3.4%
Kingfisher	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	1.8%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	35	0.0%	-35	-3.4%
Le Flore	243	0.2%	258	0.3%	-15	-1.4%
Lincoln	405	0.4%	376	0.4%	29	2.8%
Logan	85	0.1%	80	0.1%	5	0.5%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 15 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, TULSA MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McClain	29	0.0%	0	0.0%	29	2.8%
McCurtain	181	0.2%	78	0.1%	103	9.9%
McIntosh	239	0.2%	213	0.2%	26	2.5%
Major	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	1.8%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	1,839	1.8%	1,768	1.7%	71	6.8%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	2,788	2.7%	2,355	2.3%	433	41.5%
Noble	64	0.1%	24	0.0%	40	3.8%
Nowata	468	0.5%	339	0.3%	129	12.4%
Okfuskee	140	0.1%	201	0.2%	-61	-5.8%
Oklahoma	4,723	4.5%	4,455	4.3%	268	25.7%
Okmulgee	2,079	2.0%	2,084	2.0%	-5	-0.5%
Ottawa	479	0.5%	371	0.4%	108	10.3%
Pawnee	1,766	1.7%	1,862	1.8%	-96	-9.2%
Payne	2,250	2.2%	1,966	1.9%	284	27.2%
Pittsburg	410	0.4%	364	0.4%	46	4.4%
Pontotoc	145	0.1%	115	0.1%	30	2.9%
Pottawatomie	252	0.2%	313	0.3%	-61	-5.8%
Pushmataha	51	0.0%	16	0.0%	35	3.4%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	198	0.2%	162	0.2%	36	3.4%
Sequoyah	248	0.2%	331	0.3%	-83	-8.0%
Stephens	192	0.2%	65	0.1%	127	12.2%
Texas	25	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	2.4%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	8,076	7.8%	2,171	2.1%	5,905	565.6%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	121	0.1%	25	0.0%	96	9.2%
Same State*	4,514	4.2%	4,267	4.0%	247	24.7%
Total in State	**38,971**	**36.6%**	**31,030**	**29.4%**	**7,941**	**794.9%**
Northeast Region	**2,510**	**2.4%**	**2,555**	**2.4%**	**-45**	**-4.5%**
North Central Region	**15,304**	**14.7%**	**13,513**	**13.1%**	**1,791**	**0.0%**
Illinois	734	0.7%	415	0.4%	319	31.9%
Kansas	1,158	1.1%	1,169	1.1%	-11	-1.1%

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Table 15 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY/STATE, TULSA MSA: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Missouri	645	0.6%	907	0.9%	-262	-26.2%
Other North Cent Reg	12,767	12.0%	11,022	10.5%	1,745	174.7%
Southern Region	**26,233**	**25.2%**	**34,144**	**33.2%**	**-7,911**	**0.0%**
Arkansas	1,257	1.2%	232	0.2%	1,025	102.6%
Texas	5,469	5.1%	11,042	10.5%	-5,573	-557.9%
Other Southern Reg	19,507	18.3%	22,870	21.7%	-3,363	-336.6%
Western Region	**8,174**	**7.9%**	**11,394**	**11.1%**	**-3,220**	**0.0%**
Arizona	0	0.0%	1,061	1.0%	-1,061	-106.2%
California	1,155	1.1%	2,582	2.4%	-1,427	-142.8%
Colorado	0	0.0%	697	0.7%	-697	-69.8%
Other Western Reg	7,019	6.6%	7,054	6.7%	-35	-3.5%
Undefined Region*	**14,173**	**13.3%**	**11,611**	**11.0%**	**2,562**	**256.5%**
Foreign	1,087	1.0%	1,206	1.1%	-119	-11.9%
Total out of State	**67,481**	**63.4%**	**74,423**	**70.6%**	**-6,942**	**-694.9%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	106,452	100.0%	105,453	100.0%	999	100.0%

*Undefined Region comprises the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions either singly or in combination.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

IV
Conclusion

This report has estimated migration flow patterns between 1975 and 1986 and has documented major origins and destinations of migrants for the state and four MSAs. There were large inflows and outflows for all three periods: 1975 to 1980, 1980 to 1983, and 1983 to 1986. During 1975 to 1983, the state and its MSAs benefited greatly through migration. With the collapse in oil prices, however, there was significant turnover migration between 1983 and 1986. The state continued to attract migrants from the North Central region during this period even when it lost to all other regions. The Tulsa MSA was the only metropolitan area to gain net inmigrants for all three periods.

Generally the bordering states were the major sources of origins and destinations of Oklahoma migrants over the eleven year period between 1975 and 1986. The largest migration stream for the state was with Texas. In the oil boom years, the state was able to attract a significant number of migrants from the "rust belt" region of the nation. Even though the state lost net migrants to most other states from 1983 to 1986, there were still fairly good migration streams with all the regions of the nation. It has been clearly demonstrated that migration is two-way traffic.

The four metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) had similar patterns of out-of-state migration. All of the four MSAs experienced net gains of out-of-state migrants from 1975 to 1983; however, like the state, they suffered a net loss of population to other states during 1983 to 1986. Two of the four MSAs gained net migrants over the eleven years from 1975 to 1986. All four MSAs lost population to other counties during 1975 to 1980. From 1980 to 1983, only the Oklahoma City MSA had a net gain of population from intrastate migration. Between 1983 and 1986, the two largest MSAs gained migrants from other counties while the Lawton MSA and the Enid MSA lost population. Proximate counties, major metropolitan areas, and university counties were primary sources of migration for all of the four metropolitan areas. The Lawton MSA in particular had large migration flows with foreign countries, the majority of which was probably related to military population.

Governments do not directly control recruitment and location of industries, but industries do directly impact future growth and migration to an area. Local zoning and building codes, highway location, and recreation areas can influence the distribution of population, thereby directly increasing a

population in one area and potentially decreasing it in another. The present study will help in targeting areas for future population distribution within the state.

We are planning to use administrative records, particularly IRS data, to keep up-to-date about the migration component of population growth. This tracking will also help us concentrate our efforts in recruiting manpower, if needed, out of state. Businesses closely watch migration patterns and consider these patterns for expansion and location decisions. The Research and Planning Division of the Oklahoma Department of Commerce will continue to provide the necessary analyses and trends in demographics for the state and its communities.

APPENDIX
TABLES

Map 2. Counties in Oklahoma

Table A-1

COMPARISON OF 1980 HOUSEHOLD POPULATION AND IRS EXEMPTIONS COUNTIES IN OKLAHOMA

	1980 CENSUS HOUSEHOLD POPULATION	1980 IRS EXEMPTIONS	IRS EXEMPTIONS AS PERCENT OF HOUSEHOLD POPULATION
Adair	18,575	12,735	68.6
Alfalfa	7,077	5,731	81.0
Atoka	12,748	7,644	60.0
Beaver	6,806	5,661	83.2
Beckham	19,243	15,616	81.2
Blaine	13,443	10,787	80.2
Bryan	30,535	21,013	68.8
Caddo	30,905	22,941	74.2
Canadian	56,452	47,388	83.9
Carter	43,610	35,154	80.6
Cherokee	30,684	20,060	65.4
Choctaw	17,203	11,074	64.4
Cimarron	3,648	3,013	82.6
Cleveland	133,173	98,237	73.8
Coal	6,041	4,062	67.2
Comanche	112,456	89,219	79.3
Cotton	7,388	5,395	73.0
Craig	15,014	11,609	77.3
Creek	59,016	46,917	79.5
Custer	25,995	19,479	74.9
Delaware	23,946	15,926	66.5
Dewey	5,922	5,314	89.7
Ellis	5,596	4,455	79.6
Garfield	62,820	53,291	84.8
Garvin	27,856	22,223	79.8
Grady	39,490	31,544	79.9
Grant	6,518	5,616	86.2
Greer	7,028	4,584	65.2
Harmon	4,519	3,150	69.7
Harper	4,715	4,173	88.5
Haskell	11,010	7,877	71.5
Hughes	14,338	10,207	71.2
Jackson	30,356	25,011	82.4
Jefferson	8,294	5,866	70.7
Johnston	10,356	6,700	64.7
Kay	49,852	43,268	86.8
Kingfisher	14,187	12,779	90.1
Kiowa	12,711	9,288	73.1
Latimer	9,840	6,114	62.1
Le Flore	40,698	29,287	72.0
Lincoln	26,601	20,265	76.2
Logan	26,881	18,650	69.4

Table A-1 (Cont.)

COMPARISON OF 1980 HOUSEHOLD POPULATION AND IRS EXEMPTIONS COUNTIES IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	1980 CENSUS HOUSEHOLD POPULATION	1980 IRS EXEMPTIONS	IRS EXEMPTIONS AS PERCENT OF HOUSEHOLD POPULATION
Love	7,469	5,742	76.9
Major	8,772	7,270	82.9
Marshall	10,550	7,341	69.6
Mayes	32,261	25,014	77.5
McClain	20,291	16,043	79.1
McCurtain	36,151	25,019	69.2
McIntosh	15,562	10,658	68.5
Murray	12,147	8,829	72.7
Muskogee	67,033	52,521	78.4
Noble	11,573	9,222	79.7
Nowata	11,486	8,723	75.9
Okfuskee	11,125	7,980	71.7
Oklahoma	568,933	492,671	86.6
Okmulgee	39,169	27,977	71.4
Osage	39,327	26,096	66.4
Ottawa	32,870	25,728	78.3
Pawnee	15,310	12,120	79.2
Payne	62,435	40,990	65.7
Pittsburg	40,524	29,171	72.0
Pontotoc	32,598	24,015	73.7
Pottawatomie	55,239	43,378	78.5
Pushmataha	11,773	7,053	59.9
Roger Mills	4,799	3,565	74.3
Rogers	46,436	39,219	84.5
Seminole	27,473	19,940	72.6
Sequoyah	30,749	23,058	75.0
Stephens	43,419	35,842	82.5
Texas	17,727	15,151	85.5
Tillman	12,398	8,780	70.8
Tulsa	470,593	407,635	86.6
Wagoner	41,801	31,156	74.5
Washington	48,113	44,162	91.8
Washita	13,798	10,793	78.2
Woods	10,923	8,237	75.4
Woodward	21,172	18,130	85.6
TOTAL	**3,025,545**	**2,418,552**	**79.9**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *1980 General Population Characteristics: Oklahoma*, 1982; Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-2

COUNTY INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	OUTMIGRANTS	NET MIGRANTS
Adair	2,782	2,151	631
Alfalfa	1,372	1,423	-51
Atoka	2,386	1,863	523
Beaver	1,929	1,706	223
Beckham	5,056	3,401	1,655
Blaine	2,971	2,258	713
Bryan	7,291	5,840	1,451
Caddo	5,024	6,008	-984
Canadian	19,149	9,761	9,388
Carter	8,330	7,542	788
Cherokee	8,167	5,088	3,079
Choctaw	3,159	2,692	467
Cimarron	630	1,418	-788
Cleveland	56,948	31,329	25,619
Coal	1,058	929	129
Comanche	44,908	34,565	10,343
Cotton	1,812	1,242	570
Craig	3,328	2,668	660
Creek	13,979	8,543	5,436
Custer	8,527	6,326	2,201
Delaware	6,111	4,463	1,648
Dewey	999	1,474	-475
Ellis	1,094	1,104	-10
Garfield	14,988	13,129	1,859
Garvin	5,257	6,070	-813
Grady	11,021	6,112	4,909
Grant	1,296	1,488	-192
Greer	1,506	1,683	-177
Harmon	664	714	-50
Harper	1,080	1,211	-131
Haskell	1,678	1,636	42
Hughes	2,597	2,737	-140
Jackson	10,768	10,952	-184
Jefferson	1,849	1,444	405
Johnston	2,650	1,776	874
Kay	10,470	10,313	157
Kingfisher	3,058	2,167	891
Kiowa	2,535	2,386	149
Latimer	2,647	2,174	473
Le Flore	7,530	6,760	770
Lincoln	6,183	4,576	1,607
Logan	7,889	4,470	3,419

Table A-2 (Cont.)

COUNTY INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	OUTMIGRANTS	NET MIGRANTS
Love	1,477	1,257	220
McClain	6,192	3,616	2,576
McCurtain	5,580	5,224	356
McIntosh	3,502	2,157	1,345
Major	2,305	2,110	195
Marshall	3,129	1,801	1,328
Mayes	7,843	4,507	3,336
Murray	3,126	2,661	465
Muskogee	13,387	10,673	2,714
Noble	2,601	1,731	870
Nowata	2,856	1,856	1,000
Okfuskee	2,637	2,016	621
Oklahoma	116,866	125,958	-9,092
Okmulgee	9,659	7,317	2,342
Osage	11,129	6,991	4,138
Ottawa	6,659	5,451	1,208
Pawnee	4,294	3,006	1,288
Payne	27,646	19,028	8,618
Pittsburg	8,664	6,953	1,711
Pontotoc	7,542	6,267	1,275
Pottawatomie	14,192	9,039	5,153
Pushmataha	2,581	1,876	705
Roger Mills	1,020	590	430
Rogers	15,961	8,680	7,281
Seminole	5,632	5,259	373
Sequoyah	5,608	4,915	693
Stephens	10,008	5,411	4,597
Texas	4,396	5,384	-988
Tillman	2,175	2,085	90
Tulsa	11,912	95,561	16,351
Wagoner	16,452	5,428	11,024
Washington	13,197	8,723	4,474
Washita	3,640	2,472	1,168
Woods	2,473	2,766	-293
Woodward	6,875	3,858	3,017
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**765,892**	**608,219**	**157,673**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-3

COUNTY INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	OUTMIGRANTS	NET MIGRANTS
Adair	3,457	2,921	536
Alfalfa	1,682	1,455	227
Atoka	2,365	1,904	461
Beaver	2,527	2,111	416
Beckham	11,355	6,926	4,429
Blaine	4,187	3,578	609
Bryan	6,189	5,348	841
Caddo	8,131	5,963	2,168
Canadian	22,845	16,308	6,537
Carter	10,624	8,424	2,200
Cherokee	6,671	5,433	1,238
Choctaw	3,307	3,373	-66
Cimarron	1,244	904	340
Cleveland	49,667	39,075	10,592
Coal	1,294	1,182	112
Comanche	52,418	53,816	-1,398
Cotton	1,488	1,435	53
Craig	3,415	2,999	416
Creek	17,901	13,170	4,731
Custer	11,403	7,827	3,576
Delaware	7,914	5,455	2,459
Dewey	2,461	1,974	487
Ellis	1,871	1,459	412
Garfield	19,045	17,508	1,537
Garvin	7,677	5,876	1,801
Grady	12,970	9,412	3,558
Grant	1,507	1,340	167
Greer	1,625	1,290	335
Harmon	692	796	-104
Harper	1,282	1,237	45
Haskell	2,492	1,889	603
Hughes	3,301	2,828	473
Jackson	11,719	11,869	-150
Jefferson	2,192	1,976	216
Johnston	2,219	1,810	409
Kay	11,802	10,203	1,599
Kingfisher	4,935	3,629	1,306
Kiowa	2,857	2,265	592
Latimer	2,556	2,262	294
Le Flore	9,734	8,313	1,421
Lincoln	7,937	6,089	1,848
Logan	7,430	5,604	1,826

Table A-3 (Cont.)

COUNTY INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	OUTMIGRANTS	NET MIGRANTS
Love	1,817	1,520	297
McClain	8,096	5,878	2,218
McCurtain	5,501	5,530	-29
McIntosh	4,296	3,280	1,016
Major	2,714	2,167	547
Marshall	2,615	2,034	581
Mayes	8,152	6,240	1,912
Murray	3,137	2,335	802
Muskogee	13,859	11,846	2,013
Noble	2,939	2,634	305
Nowata	2,714	2,278	436
Okfuskee	2,606	2,169	437
Oklahoma	143,409	119,993	23,416
Okmulgee	9,100	7,556	1,544
Osage	12,514	10,598	1,916
Ottawa	6,399	5,447	952
Pawnee	5,138	3,967	1,171
Payne	16,217	15,217	1,000
Pittsburg	8,825	7,113	1,712
Pontotoc	7,488	6,093	1,395
Pottawatomie	14,836	11,209	3,627
Pushmataha	2,582	2,372	210
Roger Mills	1,912	1,363	549
Rogers	17,534	12,870	4,664
Seminole	6,730	5,548	1,182
Sequoyah	6,321	5,250	1,071
Stephens	10,738	9,288	1,450
Texas	4,407	4,610	-203
Tillman	2,060	2,168	-108
Tulsa	117,722	99,273	18,449
Wagoner	17,010	13,589	3,421
Washington	12,828	10,318	2,510
Washita	6,980	5,377	1,603
Woods	2,493	2,316	177
Woodward	8,780	7,709	1,071
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**848,857**	**706,391**	**142,466**

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-4

COUNTY INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	OUTMIGRANTS	NET MIGRANTS
Adair	2,766	2,535	231
Alfalfa	1,048	1,244	-196
Atoka	1,865	1,903	-38
Beaver	1,894	1,756	138
Beckham	4,680	7,717	-3,037
Blaine	2,275	3,023	-748
Bryan	5,606	5,198	408
Caddo	4,936	6,286	-1,350
Canadian	21,132	17,451	3,681
Carter	7,925	8,179	-254
Cherokee	5,809	5,210	599
Choctaw	2,515	2,613	-98
Cimarron	1,268	1,366	-98
Cleveland	44,748	42,352	2,396
Coal	971	1,063	-92
Comanche	47,861	55,701	-7,840
Cotton	1,100	1,230	-130
Craig	2,881	2,853	28
Creek	18,753	15,085	3,668
Custer	6,358	8,588	-2,230
Delaware	5,881	5,153	728
Dewey	1,215	1,537	-322
Ellis	868	1,405	-537
Garfield	11,686	16,082	-4,396
Garvin	5,461	5,927	-466
Grady	9,531	9,971	-440
Grant	1,089	1,249	-160
Greer	1,016	1,197	-181
Harmon	476	632	-156
Harper	828	1,104	-276
Haskell	1,969	1,878	91
Hughes	2,275	2,484	-209
Jackson	10,314	12,748	-2,434
Jefferson	1,271	1,822	-551
Johnston	1,819	1,793	26
Kay	8,480	9,783	-1,303
Kingfisher	2,884	3,618	-734
Kiowa	1,829	2,542	-713
Latimer	2,074	1,831	243
Le Flore	7,528	6,888	640
Lincoln	6,475	6,403	72
Logan	6,208	6,036	172

Table A-4 (Cont.)

COUNTY INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	OUTMIGRANTS	NET MIGRANTS
Love	1,450	1,453	-3
McClain	7,133	6,020	1,113
McCurtain	4,326	5,120	-794
McIntosh	3,611	3,254	357
Major	1,738	2,187	-449
Marshall	2,203	1,912	291
Mayes	5,829	6,006	-177
Murray	2,318	2,512	-194
Muskogee	10,759	11,944	-1,185
Noble	2,110	2,335	-225
Nowata	1,663	2,112	-449
Okfuskee	2,033	2,124	-91
Oklahoma	116,316	131,618	-15,302
Okmulgee	6,361	7,436	-1,075
Osage	8,939	9,647	-708
Ottawa	5,327	5,436	-109
Pawnee	3,831	3,691	140
Payne	13,253	15,361	-2,108
Pittsburg	6,893	6,805	88
Pontotoc	5,563	5,949	-386
Pottawatomie	11,989	11,792	197
Pushmataha	1,991	1,964	27
Roger Mills	898	1,320	-422
Rogers	14,028	12,562	1,466
Seminole	4,791	5,068	-277
Sequoyah	6,025	4,806	1,219
Stephens	6,993	9,313	-2,320
Texas	3,618	4,423	-805
Tillman	1,754	2,258	-504
Tulsa	97,187	102,768	-5,581
Wagoner	15,446	13,292	2,154
Washington	5,783	14,841	-9,058
Washita	2,297	4,668	-2,371
Woods	1,667	2,215	-548
Woodward	4,121	6,555	-2,434
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**667,812**	**724,203**	**-56,391**

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Map 3. Regional Economic Development Organizations, Oklahoma



I. Central Economic Development Region
II. East Central Economic Development Region
III. North Central Economic Development Region
IV. Northeast Economic Development Region
V. Northwest Economic Development Region
VI. South Central Economic Development Region
VII. Southeast Economic Development Region
VIII. Southwest Economic Development Region
IX. West Central Economic Development Region

Table A-5

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	39	0.0%	79	0.1%	-40	-0.1%
Alfalfa	129	0.1%	163	0.1%	-34	-0.1%
Atoka	363	0.2%	386	0.3%	-23	-0.1%
Beaver	104	0.1%	102	0.1%	2	0.0%
Beckham	645	0.4%	384	0.3%	261	0.7%
Blaine	633	0.4%	312	0.2%	321	0.9%
Bryan	737	0.4%	747	0.6%	-10	0.0%
Caddo	1,561	0.9%	1,474	1.1%	87	0.2%
Carter	1,153	0.7%	1,095	0.8%	58	0.2%
Cherokee	313	0.2%	523	0.4%	-210	-0.6%
Choctaw	181	0.1%	298	0.2%	-117	-0.3%
Cimarron	82	0.0%	36	0.0%	46	0.1%
Coal	128	0.1%	41	0.0%	87	0.2%
Comanche	3,917	2.3%	1,436	1.1%	2,481	6.7%
Cotton	141	0.1%	109	0.1%	32	0.1%
Craig	110	0.1%	142	0.1%	-32	-0.1%
Creek	544	0.3%	617	0.5%	-73	-0.2%
Custer	1,441	0.9%	1,190	0.9%	251	0.7%
Delaware	110	0.1%	152	0.1%	-42	-0.1%
Dewey	239	0.1%	169	0.1%	70	0.2%
Ellis	0	0.0%	104	0.1%	-104	-0.3%
Garfield	2,066	1.2%	1,993	1.5%	73	0.2%
Garvin	2,300	1.4%	1,325	1.0%	975	2.6%
Grant	233	0.1%	71	0.1%	162	0.4%
Greer	273	0.2%	313	0.2%	-40	-0.1%
Harmon	154	0.1%	87	0.1%	67	0.2%
Harper	155	0.1%	86	0.1%	69	0.2%
Haskell	52	0.0%	171	0.1%	-119	-0.3%
Hughes	360	0.2%	416	0.3%	-56	-0.2%
Jackson	672	0.4%	323	0.2%	349	0.9%
Jefferson	206	0.1%	156	0.1%	50	0.1%
Johnston	91	0.1%	151	0.1%	-60	-0.2%
Kay	1,324	0.8%	767	0.6%	557	1.5%
Kingfisher	636	0.4%	867	0.7%	-231	-0.6%
Kiowa	206	0.1%	271	0.2%	-65	-0.2%
Latimer	434	0.3%	312	0.2%	122	0.3%
Le Flore	592	0.4%	307	0.2%	285	0.8%
Lincoln	1,199	0.7%	2,045	1.6%	-846	-2.3%
Love	243	0.1%	126	0.1%	117	0.3%
McCurtain	370	0.2%	560	0.4%	-190	-0.5%
McIntosh	201	0.1%	787	0.6%	-586	-1.6%
Major	128	0.1%	179	0.1%	-51	-0.1%

Table A-5 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	251	0.1%	619	0.5%	-368	-1.0%
Mayes	386	0.2%	316	0.2%	70	0.2%
Murray	369	0.2%	504	0.4%	-135	-0.4%
Muskogee	804	0.5%	1,114	0.9%	-310	-0.8%
Noble	101	0.1%	363	0.3%	-262	-0.7%
Nowata	60	0.0%	11	0.0%	49	0.1%
Okfuskee	440	0.3%	636	0.5%	-196	-0.5%
Okmulgee	457	0.3%	593	0.5%	-136	-0.4%
Osage	258	0.2%	415	0.3%	-157	-0.4%
Ottawa	174	0.1%	192	0.1%	-18	0.0%
Pawnee	71	0.0%	212	0.2%	-141	-0.4%
Payne	2,977	1.8%	4,325	3.3%	-1,348	-3.7%
Pittsburg	1,199	0.7%	1,434	1.1%	-235	-0.6%
Pontotoc	1,274	0.8%	921	0.7%	353	1.0%
Pottawatomie	2,628	1.6%	4,386	3.4%	-1,758	-4.8%
Pushmataha	73	0.0%	297	0.2%	-224	-0.6%
Roger Mills	74	0.0%	68	0.1%	6	0.0%
Rogers	248	0.1%	306	0.2%	-58	-0.2%
Seminole	1,002	0.6%	1,490	1.1%	-488	-1.3%
Sequoyah	226	0.1%	317	0.2%	-91	-0.2%
Stephens	1,351	0.8%	1,830	1.4%	-479	-1.3%
Texas	611	0.4%	166	0.1%	445	1.2%
Tillman	279	0.2%	114	0.1%	165	0.4%
Tulsa	6,459	3.9%	6,743	5.2%	-284	-0.8%
Wagoner	121	0.1%	237	0.2%	-116	-0.3%
Washington	359	0.2%	597	0.5%	-238	-0.6%
Washita	200	0.1%	498	0.4%	-298	-0.8%
Woods	232	0.1%	77	0.1%	155	0.4%
Woodward	550	0.3%	717	0.5%	-167	-0.5%
Total in State	**47,699**	**28.5%**	**50,370**	**38.6%**	**-2,671**	**-7.3%**
Total out of State	**119,735**	**71.5%**	**80,245**	**61.4%**	**39,490**	**107.3%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**167,434**	**100.0%**	**130,615**	**100.0%**	**36,819**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-6

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	19	0.0%	-19	-0.9%
Alfalfa	48	0.1%	0	0.0%	48	2.3%
Atoka	175	0.3%	220	0.4%	-45	-2.1%
Beaver	0	0.0%	17	0.0%	-17	-0.8%
Beckham	962	1.8%	855	1.6%	107	5.1%
Blaine	570	1.1%	565	1.1%	5	0.2%
Bryan	333	0.6%	335	0.6%	-2	-0.1%
Caddo	1,509	2.8%	1,741	3.4%	-232	-11.0%
Carter	1,239	2.3%	1,170	2.3%	69	3.3%
Cherokee	304	0.6%	312	0.6%	-8	-0.4%
Choctaw	227	0.4%	159	0.3%	68	3.2%
Cimarron	20	0.0%	19	0.0%	1	0.0%
Coal	30	0.1%	129	0.2%	-99	-4.7%
Comanche	2,514	4.7%	1,639	3.2%	875	41.4%
Cotton	21	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	1.0%
Craig	37	0.1%	0	0.0%	37	1.8%
Creek	482	0.9%	466	0.9%	16	0.8%
Custer	1,181	2.2%	1,141	2.2%	40	1.9%
Delaware	86	0.2%	120	0.2%	-34	-1.6%
Dewey	119	0.2%	94	0.2%	25	1.2%
Ellis	37	0.1%	0	0.0%	37	1.8%
Garfield	2,033	3.8%	1,504	2.9%	529	25.0%
Garvin	2,002	3.7%	1,887	3.6%	115	5.4%
Grant	43	0.1%	15	0.0%	28	1.3%
Greer	83	0.2%	129	0.2%	-46	-2.2%
Harmon	16	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0.8%
Harper	68	0.1%	0	0.0%	68	3.2%
Haskell	87	0.2%	163	0.3%	-76	-3.6%
Hughes	321	0.6%	355	0.7%	-34	-1.6%
Jackson	597	1.1%	320	0.6%	277	13.1%
Jefferson	20	0.0%	37	0.1%	-17	-0.8%
Johnston	143	0.3%	146	0.3%	-3	-0.1%
Kay	90	1.7%	769	1.5%	134	6.3%
Kingfisher	1,167	2.2%	1,133	2.2%	34	1.6%
Kiowa	133	0.2%	168	0.3%	-35	-1.7%
Latimer	199	0.4%	191	0.4%	8	0.4%
Le Flore	445	0.8%	418	0.8%	27	1.3%
Lincoln	1,519	2.8%	2,341	4.5%	-822	-38.9%
Love	42	0.1%	39	0.1%	3	0.1%
McCurtain	416	0.8%	279	0.5%	137	6.5%
McIntosh	306	0.6%	585	1.1%	-279	-13.2%
Major	88	0.2%	64	0.1%	24	1.1%

Table A-6 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	166	0.3%	310	0.6%	-144	-6.8%
Mayes	170	0.3%	127	0.2%	43	2.0%
Murray	295	0.5%	418	0.8%	-123	-5.8%
Muskogee	810	1.5%	734	1.4%	76	3.6%
Noble	306	0.6%	267	0.5%	39	1.8%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	301	0.6%	340	0.7%	-39	-1.8%
Okmulgee	546	1.0%	436	0.8%	110	5.2%
Osage	223	0.4%	276	0.5%	-53	-2.5%
Ottawa	206	0.4%	120	0.2%	86	4.1%
Pawnee	132	0.2%	49	0.1%	83	3.9%
Payne	2,144	4.0%	1,914	3.7%	230	10.9%
Pittsburg	843	1.6%	872	1.7%	-29	-1.4%
Pontotoc	943	1.7%	687	1.3%	256	12.1%
Pottawatomie	3,537	6.6%	4,846	9.3%	-1,309	-62.0%
Pushmataha	245	0.5%	171	0.3%	74	3.5%
Roger Mills	20	0.0%	59	0.1%	-39	-1.8%
Rogers	340	0.6%	204	0.4%	136	6.4%
Seminole	908	1.7%	1,049	2.0%	-141	-6.7%
Sequoyah	295	0.5%	197	0.4%	98	4.6%
Stephens	1,564	2.9%	1,395	2.7%	169	8.0%
Texas	181	0.3%	82	0.2%	99	4.7%
Tillman	164	0.3%	59	0.1%	105	5.0%
Tulsa	5,706	10.6%	5,104	9.8%	602	28.5%
Wagoner	260	0.5%	249	0.5%	11	0.5%
Washington	580	1.1%	364	0.7%	216	10.2%
Washita	342	0.6%	261	0.5%	81	3.8%
Woods	135	0.3%	66	0.1%	69	3.3%
Woodward	1,001	1.9%	515	1.0%	486	23.0%
Same State*	5,577	10.3%	6,041	11.6%	-464	-22.0%
Total in State	**48,465**	**89.8%**	**46,756**	**90.1%**	**1,709**	**80.9%**
Total out of State	**5,532**	**10.2%**	**5,129**	**9.9%**	**403**	**19.1%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**53,997**	**100.0%**	**51,885**	**100.0%**	**2,112**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-7

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	42	0.1%	20	0.0%	22	0.5%
Alfalfa	50	0.1%	0	0.0%	50	1.2%
Atoka	68	0.1%	131	0.2%	-63	-1.5%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	1,026	1.4%	349	0.5%	677	15.7%
Blaine	522	0.7%	329	0.5%	193	4.5%
Bryan	284	0.4%	279	0.4%	5	0.1%
Caddo	1,632	2.2%	1,328	1.9%	304	7.0%
Carter	1,100	1.5%	881	1.3%	219	5.1%
Cherokee	213	0.3%	196	0.3%	17	0.4%
Choctaw	124	0.2%	124	0.2%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	17	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	0.4%
Coal	32	0.0%	87	0.1%	-55	-1.3%
Comanche	2,110	2.9%	1,357	2.0%	753	17.4%
Cotton	21	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	0.5%
Craig	47	0.1%	0	0.0%	47	1.1%
Creek	512	0.7%	474	0.7%	38	0.9%
Custer	1,274	1.7%	714	1.0%	560	13.0%
Delaware	72	0.1%	94	0.1%	-22	-0.5%
Dewey	63	0.1%	29	0.0%	34	0.8%
Ellis	87	0.1%	0	0.0%	87	2.0%
Garfield	1,663	2.3%	1,179	1.7%	484	11.2%
Garvin	1,582	2.2%	1,691	2.5%	-109	-2.5%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	90	0.1%	38	0.1%	52	1.2%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	64	0.1%	99	0.1%	-35	-0.8%
Hughes	202	0.3%	198	0.3%	4	0.1%
Jackson	620	0.8%	319	0.5%	301	7.0%
Jefferson	75	0.1%	41	0.1%	34	0.8%
Johnston	93	0.1%	78	0.1%	15	0.3%
Kay	810	1.1%	520	0.8%	290	6.7%
Kingfisher	1,058	1.4%	911	1.3%	147	3.4%
Kiowa	188	0.3%	72	0.1%	116	2.7%
Latimer	125	0.2%	127	0.2%	-2	0.0%
Le Flore	359	0.5%	334	0.5%	25	0.6%
Lincoln	1,783	2.4%	2,138	3.1%	-355	-8.2%
Love	20	0.0%	37	0.1%	-17	-0.4%
McCurtain	279	0.4%	214	0.3%	65	1.5%
McIntosh	261	0.4%	431	0.6%	-170	-3.9%
Major	60	0.1%	0	0.0%	60	1.4%

Table A-7 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	151	0.2%	304	0.4%	-153	-3.5%
Mayes	118	0.2%	123	0.2%	-5	-0.1%
Murray	240	0.3%	267	0.4%	-27	-0.6%
Muskogee	746	1.0%	615	0.9%	131	3.0%
Noble	226	0.3%	211	0.3%	15	0.3%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	287	0.4%	316	0.5%	-29	-0.7%
Okmulgee	483	0.7%	300	0.4%	183	4.2%
Osage	253	0.3%	115	0.2%	138	3.2%
Ottawa	214	0.3%	149	0.2%	65	1.5%
Pawnee	90	0.1%	96	0.1%	-6	-0.1%
Payne	2,158	2.9%	1,642	2.4%	516	11.9%
Pittsburg	611	0.8%	785	1.1%	-174	-4.0%
Pontotoc	745	1.0%	656	1.0%	89	2.1%
Pottawatomie	4,065	5.5%	4,531	6.6%	-466	-10.8%
Pushmataha	133	0.2%	122	0.2%	11	0.3%
Roger Mills	31	0.0%	0	0.0%	31	0.7%
Rogers	278	0.4%	234	0.3%	44	1.0%
Seminole	684	0.9%	733	1.1%	-49	-1.1%
Sequoyah	201	0.3%	245	0.4%	-44	-1.0%
Stephens	1,254	1.7%	1,040	1.5%	214	5.0%
Texas	133	0.2%	69	0.1%	64	1.5%
Tillman	90	0.1%	72	0.1%	18	0.4%
Tulsa	4,855	6.6%	5,432	7.9%	-577	-13.4%
Wagoner	284	0.4%	257	0.4%	27	0.6%
Washington	367	0.5%	89	0.1%	278	6.4%
Washita	386	0.5%	108	0.2%	278	6.4%
Woods	152	0.2%	0	0.0%	152	3.5%
Woodward	662	0.9%	304	0.4%	358	8.3%
Same State*	5,447	7.4%	5,784	8.4%	-337	-7.8%
Total in State	**43,972**	**60.0%**	**39,418**	**57.2%**	**4,554**	**105.4%**
Total out of State	**29,300**	**40.0%**	**29,534**	**42.8%**	**-234**	**-5.4%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**73,272**	**100.0%**	**68,952**	**100.0%**	**4,320**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-8

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, EAST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	16	0.1%	0	0.0%	16	0.2%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	5	0.0%	-5	-0.1%
Atoka	25	0.1%	15	0.1%	10	0.1%
Beaver	10	0.0%	9	0.0%	1	0.0%
Beckham	55	0.2%	61	0.3%	-6	-0.1%
Blaine	89	0.3%	96	0.5%	-7	-0.1%
Bryan	54	0.2%	91	0.4%	-37	-0.5%
Caddo	160	0.6%	54	0.3%	106	1.4%
Canadian	365	1.3%	225	1.1%	140	1.8%
Carter	196	0.7%	64	0.3%	132	1.7%
Cherokee	21	0.1%	125	0.6%	-104	-1.4%
Choctaw	24	0.1%	47	0.2%	-23	-0.3%
Cimarron	46	0.2%	0	0.0%	46	0.6%
Cleveland	1,043	3.7%	1,208	6.0%	-165	-2.2%
Coal	24	0.1%	52	0.3%	-28	-0.4%
Comanche	238	0.9%	223	1.1%	15	0.2%
Cotton	12	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.2%
Craig	36	0.1%	11	0.1%	25	0.3%
Creek	267	1.0%	516	2.5%	-249	-3.3%
Custer	25	0.1%	161	0.8%	-136	-1.8%
Delaware	21	0.1%	66	0.3%	-45	-0.6%
Dewey	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	-3	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	21	0.1%	-21	-0.3%
Garfield	236	0.8%	102	0.5%	134	1.8%
Garvin	219	0.8%	188	0.9%	31	0.4%
Grady	175	0.6%	142	0.7%	33	0.4%
Grant	0	0.0%	11	0.1%	-11	-0.1%
Greer	147	0.5%	29	0.1%	118	1.5%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	20	0.1%	-20	-0.3%
Haskell	60	0.2%	113	0.6%	-53	-0.7%
Jackson	124	0.4%	20	0.1%	104	1.4%
Jefferson	16	0.1%	21	0.1%	-5	-0.1%
Johnston	41	0.1%	120	0.6%	-79	-1.0%
Kay	121	0.4%	62	0.3%	59	0.8%
Kingfisher	43	0.2%	4	0.0%	39	0.5%
Kiowa	15	0.1%	0	0.0%	15	0.2%
Latimer	0	0.0%	44	0.2%	-44	-0.6%
Le Flore	47	0.2%	77	0.4%	-30	-0.4%
Logan	94	0.3%	127	0.6%	-33	-0.4%
Love	0	0.0%	12	0.1%	-12	-0.2%
McClain	108	0.4%	182	0.9%	-74	-1.0%

Table A-8 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, EAST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McCurtain	127	0.5%	65	0.3%	62	0.8%
McIntosh	239	0.9%	168	0.8%	71	0.9%
Major	0	0.0%	9	0.0%	-9	-0.1%
Marshall	0	0.0%	25	0.1%	-25	-0.3%
Mayes	17	0.1%	24	0.1%	-7	-0.1%
Murray	62	0.2%	108	0.5%	-46	-0.6%
Muskogee	52	0.2%	337	1.7%	-285	-3.7%
Noble	45	0.2%	51	0.3%	-6	-0.1%
Nowata	23	0.1%	5	0.0%	18	0.2%
Oklahoma	7,188	25.8%	3,745	18.5%	3,443	45.2%
Okmulgee	738	2.6%	454	2.2%	284	3.7%
Osage	76	0.3%	74	0.4%	2	0.0%
Ottawa	77	0.3%	24	0.1%	53	0.7%
Pawnee	95	0.3%	0	0.0%	95	1.2%
Payne	475	1.7%	891	4.4%	-416	-5.5%
Pittsburg	225	0.8%	663	3.3%	-438	-5.8%
Pontotoc	690	2.5%	843	4.2%	-153	-2.0%
Pushmataha	47	0.2%	105	0.5%	-58	-0.8%
Roger Mills	22	0.1%	0	0.0%	22	0.3%
Rogers	11	0.0%	173	0.9%	-162	-2.1%
Sequoyah	58	0.2%	61	0.3%	-3	0.0%
Stephens	178	0.6%	32	0.2%	146	1.9%
Texas	11	0.0%	4	0.0%	7	0.1%
Tillman	0	0.0%	12	0.1%	-12	-0.2%
Tulsa	1,154	4.1%	991	4.9%	163	2.1%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	113	0.6%	-113	-1.5%
Washington	43	0.2%	21	0.1%	22	0.3%
Washita	21	0.1%	0	0.0%	21	0.3%
Woods	26	0.1%	0	0.0%	26	0.3%
Woodward	13	0.0%	46	0.2%	-33	-0.4%
Total in State	**15,886**	**57.0%**	**13,371**	**66.0%**	**2,515**	**33.0%**
Total out of State	**11,980**	**43.0%**	**6,881**	**34.0%**	**5,099**	**67.0%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**27,866**	**100.0%**	**20,252**	**100.0%**	**7,614**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-9

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, EAST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	38	0.2%	0	0.0%	38	0.7%
Canadian	348	1.5%	209	1.1%	139	2.6%
Carter	90	0.4%	33	0.2%	57	1.1%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,652	7.0%	1,393	7.6%	259	4.8%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	94	0.4%	84	0.5%	10	0.2%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	496	2.1%	446	2.4%	50	0.9%
Custer	0	0.0%	58	0.3%	-58	-1.1%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	121	0.5%	27	0.1%	94	1.7%
Garvin	92	0.4%	107	0.6%	-15	-0.3%
Grady	0	0.0%	65	0.4%	-65	-1.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	60	0.3%	27	0.1%	33	0.6%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	106	0.4%	100	0.5%	6	0.1%

Table A-9 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, EAST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	28	0.2%	-28	-0.5%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	76	0.3%	91	0.5%	-15	-0.3%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	6,765	28.5%	4,792	26.2%	1,973	36.4%
Okmulgee	436	1.8%	391	2.1%	45	0.8%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	800	3.4%	964	5.3%	-164	-3.0%
Pittsburg	304	1.3%	241	1.3%	63	1.2%
Pontotoc	646	2.7%	692	3.8%	-46	-0.8%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	1,091	4.6%	847	4.6%	244	4.5%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Same State*	4,411	18.6%	4,170	22.8%	241	4.4%
Total in State	**17,626**	**74.4%**	**14,765**	**80.8%**	**2,861**	**52.7%**
Total out of State	**6,073**	**25.6%**	**3,510**	**19.2%**	**2,563**	**47.3%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**23,699**	**100.0%**	**18,275**	**100.0%**	**5,424**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-10

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, EAST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	229	1.1%	165	0.8%	64	47.8%
Carter	25	0.1%	37	0.2%	-12	-9.0%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,364	6.8%	1,362	6.9%	2	1.5%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	32	0.2%	23	0.1%	9	6.7%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	358	1.8%	299	1.5%	59	44.0%
Custer	23	0.1%	0	0.0%	23	17.2%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	33	0.2%	27	0.1%	6	4.5%
Garvin	0	0.0%	68	0.3%	-68	-50.7%
Grady	101	0.5%	48	0.2%	53	39.6%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	23	0.1%	-23	-17.2%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	102	0.5%	71	0.4%	31	23.1%

Table A-10 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, EAST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	24	0.1%	-24	-17.9%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	28	0.1%	68	0.3%	-40	-29.9%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	6,120	30.7%	5,352	27.0%	768	573.1%
Okmulgee	256	1.3%	309	1.6%	-53	-39.6%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	622	3.1%	704	3.6%	-82	-61.2%
Pittsburg	132	0.7%	229	1.2%	-97	-72.4%
Pontotoc	677	3.4%	677	3.4%	0	0.0%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	45	0.2%	0	0.0%	45	33.6%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	730	3.7%	787	4.0%	-57	-42.5%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	42	0.2%	0	0.0%	42	31.3%
Same State*	4,203	21.1%	4,050	20.5%	153	114.2%
Total in State	**15,122**	**75.9%**	**14,323**	**72.4%**	**799**	**596.3%**
Total out of State	**4,808**	**24.1%**	**5,473**	**27.6%**	**-665**	**-496.3%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**19,930**	**100.0%**	**19,796**	**100.0%**	**134**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-11

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	11	0.0%	89	0.2%	-78	-0.6%
Atoka	33	0.1%	0	0.0%	33	0.2%
Beaver	101	0.2%	60	0.1%	41	0.3%
Beckham	79	0.1%	27	0.1%	52	0.4%
Blaine	248	0.4%	280	0.6%	-32	-0.2%
Bryan	55	0.1%	64	0.1%	-9	-0.1%
Caddo	157	0.3%	168	0.4%	-11	-0.1%
Canadian	584	1.0%	699	1.6%	-115	-0.9%
Carter	120	0.2%	138	0.3%	-18	-0.1%
Cherokee	200	0.3%	144	0.3%	56	0.4%
Choctaw	45	0.1%	79	0.2%	-34	-0.3%
Cimarron	65	0.1%	0	0.0%	65	0.5%
Cleveland	857	1.5%	1,427	3.2%	-570	-4.2%
Coal	30	0.1%	30	0.1%	0	0.0%
Comanche	588	1.0%	338	0.7%	250	1.9%
Cotton	21	0.0%	28	0.1%	-7	-0.1%
Craig	96	0.2%	9	0.0%	87	0.6%
Creek	855	1.5%	624	1.4%	231	1.7%
Custer	400	0.7%	357	0.8%	43	0.3%
Delaware	180	0.3%	56	0.1%	124	0.9%
Dewey	125	0.2%	38	0.1%	87	0.6%
Ellis	51	0.1%	73	0.2%	-22	-0.2%
Garvin	127	0.2%	122	0.3%	5	0.0%
Grady	207	0.4%	161	0.4%	46	0.3%
Greer	78	0.1%	14	0.0%	64	0.5%
Harmon	0	0.0%	10	0.0%	-10	-0.1%
Harper	167	0.3%	39	0.1%	128	1.0%
Haskell	127	0.2%	92	0.2%	35	0.3%
Hughes	24	0.0%	70	0.2%	-46	-0.3%
Jackson	231	0.4%	91	0.2%	140	1.0%
Jefferson	15	0.0%	40	0.1%	-25	-0.2%
Johnston	55	0.1%	50	0.1%	5	0.0%
Kiowa	55	0.1%	170	0.4%	-115	-0.9%
Latimer	122	0.2%	27	0.1%	95	0.7%
Le Flore	191	0.3%	59	0.1%	132	1.0%
Lincoln	470	0.8%	426	0.9%	44	0.3%
Logan	580	1.0%	786	1.7%	-206	-1.5%
Love	31	0.1%	0	0.0%	31	0.2%
McClain	111	0.2%	87	0.2%	24	0.2%
McCurtain	190	0.3%	87	0.2%	103	0.8%
McIntosh	131	0.2%	35	0.1%	96	0.7%
Major	665	1.1%	562	1.2%	103	0.8%

Table A-11 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	25	0.0%	26	0.1%	-1	-0.0%
Mayes	143	0.2%	168	0.4%	-25	-0.2%
Murray	121	0.2%	71	0.2%	50	0.4%
Muskogee	257	0.4%	320	0.7%	-63	-0.5%
Nowata	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	52	0.1%	23	0.1%	29	0.2%
Oklahoma	6,422	11.0%	4,377	9.7%	2,045	15.2%
Okmulgee	474	0.8%	242	0.5%	232	1.7%
Osage	989	1.7%	1,756	3.9%	-767	-5.7%
Ottawa	392	0.7%	145	0.3%	247	1.8%
Pittsburg	295	0.5%	181	0.4%	114	0.8%
Pontotoc	151	0.3%	136	0.3%	15	0.1%
Pottawatomie	337	0.6%	330	0.7%	7	0.1%
Pushmataha	31	0.1%	27	0.1%	4	0.0%
Roger Mills	26	0.0%	46	0.1%	-20	-0.1%
Rogers	276	0.5%	189	0.4%	87	0.6%
Seminole	243	0.4%	166	0.4%	77	0.6%
Sequoyah	157	0.3%	42	0.1%	115	0.9%
Stephens	272	0.5%	285	0.6%	-13	-0.1%
Texas	274	0.5%	151	0.3%	123	0.9%
Tillman	104	0.2%	59	0.1%	45	0.3%
Tulsa	6,000	10.2%	4,476	9.9%	1,524	11.3%
Wagoner	231	0.4%	254	0.6%	-23	-0.2%
Washington	745	1.3%	567	1.3%	178	1.3%
Washita	151	0.3%	130	0.3%	21	0.2%
Woods	646	1.1%	498	1.1%	148	1.1%
Woodward	398	0.7%	440	1.0%	-42	-0.3%
Total in State	**27,694**	**47.2%**	**22,765**	**50.4%**	**4,929**	**36.7%**
Total out of State	**30,938**	**52.8%**	**22,427**	**49.6%**	**8,511**	**63.3%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**58,632**	**100.0%**	**45,192**	**100.0%**	**13,440**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-12

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	121	0.4%	-121	-17.9%
Blaine	190	0.7%	176	0.6%	14	2.1%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	50	0.2%	-50	-7.4%
Canadian	658	2.3%	731	2.6%	-73	-10.8%
Carter	78	0.3%	116	0.4%	-38	-5.6%
Cherokee	19	0.1%	46	0.2%	-27	-4.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	677	2.4%	780	2.8%	-103	-15.3%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	304	1.1%	248	0.9%	56	8.3%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	1,160	4.1%	1,196	4.3%	-36	-5.3%
Custer	189	0.7%	257	0.9%	-68	-10.1%
Delaware	0	0.0%	25	0.1%	-25	-3.7%
Dewey	0	0.0%	29	0.1%	-29	-4.3%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garvin	0	0.0%	29	0.1%	-29	-4.3%
Grady	101	0.4%	228	0.8%	-127	-18.8%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	86	0.3%	0	0.0%	86	12.7%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	110	0.4%	89	0.3%	21	3.1%
Lincoln	714	2.5%	621	2.2%	93	13.8%
Logan	774	2.7%	924	3.3%	-150	-22.2%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	51	0.2%	0	0.0%	51	7.6%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	561	2.0%	592	2.1%	-31	-4.6%

Table A-12 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	42	0.1%	0	0.0%	42	6.2%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	135	0.5%	292	1.0%	-157	-23.3%
Nowata	27	0.1%	0	0.0%	27	4.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	3,441	12.0%	4,113	14.7%	-672	-99.6%
Okmulgee	162	0.6%	71	0.3%	91	13.5%
Osage	1,867	6.5%	1,866	6.7%	1	0.1%
Ottawa	145	0.5%	56	0.2%	89	13.2%
Pittsburg	100	0.3%	78	0.3%	22	3.3%
Pontotoc	96	0.3%	115	0.4%	-19	-2.8%
Pottawatomie	180	0.6%	257	0.9%	-77	-11.4%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	199	0.7%	48	0.2%	151	22.4%
Seminole	97	0.3%	43	0.2%	54	8.0%
Sequoyah	35	0.1%	0	0.0%	35	5.2%
Stephens	178	0.6%	124	0.4%	54	8.0%
Texas	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	3.6%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	3,569	12.5%	3,443	12.3%	126	18.7%
Wagoner	40	0.1%	75	0.3%	-35	-5.2%
Washington	359	1.3%	289	1.0%	70	10.4%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	475	1.7%	311	1.1%	164	24.3%
Woodward	309	1.1%	296	1.1%	13	1.9%
Same State*	6,459	22.6%	6,781	24.3%	-322	-47.7%
Total in State	**23,611**	**82.5%**	**24,516**	**87.7%**	**-905**	**-134.1%**
Total out of State	**5,011**	**17.5%**	**3,431**	**12.3%**	**1,580**	**234.1%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**28,622**	**100.0%**	**27,947**	**100.0%**	**675**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-13

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	35	0.1%	-35	0.9%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blaine	181	0.7%	172	0.6%	9	-0.2%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	515	1.9%	743	2.4%	-228	5.6%
Carter	74	0.3%	60	0.2%	14	-0.3%
Cherokee	40	0.2%	0	0.0%	40	-1.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	474	1.8%	729	2.4%	-255	6.2%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	113	0.4%	161	0.5%	-48	1.2%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	42	0.2%	0	0.0%	42	-1.0%
Creek	1,113	4.2%	1,215	4.0%	-102	2.5%
Custer	155	0.6%	142	0.5%	13	-0.3%
Delaware	0	0.0%	20	0.1%	-20	0.5%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garvin	0	0.0%	27	0.1%	-27	0.7%
Grady	119	0.4%	154	0.5%	-35	0.9%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	25	0.1%	26	0.1%	-1	0.0%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	60	0.2%	0	0.0%	60	-1.5%
Lincoln	573	2.2%	501	1.6%	72	-1.8%
Logan	659	2.5%	626	2.0%	33	-0.8%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	19	0.1%	-19	0.5%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	397	1.5%	423	1.4%	-26	0.6%

Table A-13 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	57	0.2%	17	0.1%	40	-1.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	98	0.4%	156	0.5%	-58	1.4%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	2,792	10.5%	3,784	12.4%	-992	24.3%
Okmulgee	127	0.5%	21	0.1%	106	-2.6%
Osage	1,390	5.2%	1,254	4.1%	136	-3.3%
Ottawa	96	0.4%	21	0.1%	75	-1.8%
Pittsburg	51	0.2%	53	0.2%	-2	0.0%
Pontotoc	61	0.2%	25	0.1%	36	-0.9%
Pottawatomie	158	0.6%	154	0.5%	4	-0.1%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	134	0.5%	91	0.3%	43	-1.1%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	112	0.4%	53	0.2%	59	-1.4%
Texas	0	0.0%	30	0.1%	-30	0.7%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	2,759	10.4%	3,291	10.7%	-532	13.0%
Wagoner	51	0.2%	62	0.2%	-11	0.3%
Washington	190	0.7%	29	0.1%	161	-3.9%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	232	0.9%	323	1.1%	-91	2.2%
Woodward	284	1.1%	186	0.6%	98	-2.4%
Same State*	5,720	21.6%	6,021	19.7%	-301	7.4%
Total in State	**18,852**	**71.0%**	**20,624**	**67.4%**	**-1,772**	**43.4%**
Total out of State	**7,687**	**29.0%**	**9,996**	**32.6%**	**-2,309**	**56.6%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**26,539**	**100.0%**	**30,620**	**100.0%**	**-4,081**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-14

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Alfalfa	126	0.1%	123	0.1%	3	0.0%
Atoka	159	0.1%	248	0.2%	-89	-0.1%
Beaver	146	0.1%	59	0.1%	87	0.1%
Beckham	130	0.1%	197	0.2%	-67	-0.1%
Blaine	101	0.1%	302	0.3%	-201	-0.3%
Bryan	380	0.2%	259	0.2%	121	0.2%
Caddo	353	0.2%	108	0.1%	245	0.4%
Canadian	619	0.4%	736	0.7%	-117	-0.2%
Carter	465	0.3%	457	0.4%	8	0.0%
Choctaw	350	0.2%	71	0.1%	279	0.4%
Cimarron	43	0.0%	29	0.0%	14	0.0%
Cleveland	2,434	1.4%	3,145	2.9%	-711	-1.1%
Coal	73	0.0%	82	0.1%	-9	0.0%
Comanche	1,011	0.6%	629	0.6%	382	0.6%
Cotton	37	0.0%	44	0.0%	-7	0.0%
Custer	335	0.2%	237	0.2%	98	0.2%
Dewey	8	0.0%	43	0.0%	-35	-0.1%
Ellis	69	0.0%	13	0.0%	56	0.1%
Garfield	926	0.5%	709	0.7%	217	0.3%
Garvin	183	0.1%	269	0.3%	-86	-0.1%
Grady	218	0.1%	161	0.2%	57	0.1%
Grant	62	0.0%	58	0.1%	4	0.0%
Greer	17	0.0%	56	0.1%	-39	-0.1%
Harmon	13	0.0%	125	0.1%	-112	-0.2%
Harper	8	0.0%	60	0.1%	-52	-0.1%
Haskell	310	0.2%	196	0.2%	114	0.2%
Hughes	534	0.3%	276	0.3%	258	0.4%
Jackson	378	0.2%	138	0.1%	240	0.4%
Jefferson	40	0.0%	45	0.0%	-5	0.0%
Johnston	69	0.0%	66	0.1%	3	0.0%
Kay	2,325	1.4%	1,313	1.2%	1,012	1.5%
Kingfisher	68	0.0%	119	0.1%	-51	-0.1%
Kiowa	68	0.0%	43	0.0%	25	0.0%
Latimer	200	0.1%	216	0.2%	-16	0.0%
Le Flore	764	0.4%	786	0.7%	-22	0.0%
Lincoln	559	0.3%	522	0.5%	37	0.1%
Logan	425	0.2%	329	0.3%	96	0.1%
Love	8	0.0%	44	0.0%	-36	-0.1%
McClain	259	0.2%	64	0.1%	195	0.3%
McCurtain	269	0.2%	166	0.2%	103	0.2%
McIntosh	868	0.5%	1,078	1.0%	-210	-0.3%
Major	25	0.0%	109	0.1%	-84	-0.1%

Table A-14 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	54	0.0%	140	0.1%	-86	-0.1%
Murray	59	0.0%	79	0.1%	-20	0.0%
Noble	214	0.1%	292	0.3%	-78	-0.1%
Okfuskee	637	0.4%	633	0.6%	4	0.0%
Oklahoma	8,082	4.7%	6,007	5.6%	2,075	3.2%
Pawnee	1,205	0.7%	1,940	1.8%	-735	-1.1%
Payne	4,117	2.4%	6,299	5.9%	-2,182	-3.3%
Pittsburg	1,132	0.7%	1,071	1.0%	61	0.1%
Pontotoc	541	0.3%	379	0.4%	162	0.2%
Pottawatomie	663	0.4%	734	0.7%	-71	-0.1%
Pushmataha	145	0.1%	111	0.1%	34	0.1%
Roger Mills	33	0.0%	44	0.0%	-11	0.0%
Seminole	541	0.3%	387	0.4%	154	0.2%
Sequoyah	1,209	0.7%	830	0.8%	379	0.6%
Stephens	256	0.1%	384	0.4%	-128	-0.2%
Texas	540	0.3%	163	0.2%	377	0.6%
Tillman	12	0.0%	93	0.1%	-81	-0.1%
Washita	124	0.1%	115	0.1%	9	0.0%
Woods	191	0.1%	144	0.1%	47	0.1%
Woodward	43	0.0%	111	0.1%	-68	-0.1%
Total in State	**35,233**	**20.5%**	**33,686**	**31.6%**	**1,547**	**2.4%**
Total out of State	**136,727**	**79.5%**	**72,952**	**68.4%**	**63,775**	**97.6%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**171,960**	**100.0%**	**106,638**	**100.0%**	**65,322**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-15

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	26	0.1%	-26	0.8%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	48	0.1%	84	0.2%	-36	1.1%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	179	0.4%	120	0.2%	59	-1.7%
Caddo	27	0.1%	69	0.1%	-42	1.2%
Canadian	273	0.6%	345	0.7%	-72	2.1%
Carter	230	0.5%	211	0.4%	19	-0.6%
Choctaw	48	0.1%	57	0.1%	-9	0.3%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,503	3.2%	2,111	4.1%	-608	17.9%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	631	1.3%	295	0.6%	336	-9.9%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	137	0.3%	119	0.2%	18	-0.5%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	728	1.5%	640	1.3%	88	-2.6%
Garvin	0	0.0%	46	0.1%	-46	1.4%
Grady	115	0.2%	123	0.2%	-8	0.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	271	0.6%	301	0.6%	-30	0.9%
Hughes	213	0.4%	237	0.5%	-24	0.7%
Jackson	140	0.3%	94	0.2%	46	-1.4%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	20	0.0%	-20	0.6%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	2,019	4.3%	1,775	3.5%	244	-7.2%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	62	0.1%	-62	1.8%
Le Flore	428	0.9%	398	0.8%	30	-0.9%
Lincoln	457	1.0%	507	1.0%	-50	1.5%
Logan	127	0.3%	105	0.2%	22	-0.6%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	178	0.4%	157	0.3%	21	-0.6%
McIntosh	1,082	2.3%	1,095	2.2%	-13	0.4%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-15 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	42	0.1%	0	0.0%	42	6.2%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	135	0.5%	292	1.0%	-157	-23.3%
Nowata	27	0.1%	0	0.0%	27	4.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	3,441	12.0%	4,113	14.7%	-672	-99.6%
Okmulgee	162	0.6%	71	0.3%	91	13.5%
Osage	1,867	6.5%	1,866	6.7%	1	0.1%
Ottawa	145	0.5%	56	0.2%	89	13.2%
Pittsburg	100	0.3%	78	0.3%	22	3.3%
Pontotoc	96	0.3%	115	0.4%	-19	-2.8%
Pottawatomie	180	0.6%	257	0.9%	-77	-11.4%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	199	0.7%	48	0.2%	151	22.4%
Seminole	97	0.3%	43	0.2%	54	8.0%
Sequoyah	35	0.1%	0	0.0%	35	5.2%
Stephens	178	0.6%	124	0.4%	54	8.0%
Texas	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	3.6%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	3,569	12.5%	3,443	12.3%	126	18.7%
Wagoner	40	0.1%	75	0.3%	-35	-5.2%
Washington	359	1.3%	289	1.0%	70	10.4%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	475	1.7%	311	1.1%	164	24.3%
Woodward	309	1.1%	296	1.1%	13	1.9%
Same State*	6,459	22.6%	6,781	24.3%	-322	-47.7%
Total in State	**23,611**	**82.5%**	**24,516**	**87.7%**	**-905**	**-134.1%**
Total out of State	**5,011**	**17.5%**	**3,431**	**12.3%**	**1,580**	**234.1%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**28,622**	**100.0%**	**27,947**	**100.0%**	**675**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-16

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	108	0.2%	0	0.0%	108	2.3%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	101	0.2%	116	0.2%	-15	-0.3%
Caddo	20	0.0%	23	0.0%	-3	-0.1%
Canadian	344	0.5%	277	0.4%	67	1.4%
Carter	187	0.3%	163	0.3%	24	0.5%
Choctaw	23	0.0%	33	0.1%	-10	-0.2%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,426	2.1%	1,840	2.9%	-414	-8.9%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	436	0.6%	379	0.6%	57	1.2%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	142	0.2%	96	0.2%	46	1.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	639	0.9%	364	0.6%	275	5.9%
Garvin	91	0.1%	50	0.1%	41	0.9%
Grady	105	0.2%	98	0.2%	7	0.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	207	0.3%	219	0.3%	-12	-0.3%
Hughes	123	0.2%	103	0.2%	20	0.4%
Jackson	69	0.1%	34	0.1%	35	0.8%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	1,231	1.8%	1,289	2.1%	-58	-1.3%
Kingfisher	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	0.4%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	35	0.1%	-35	-0.8%
Le Flore	428	0.6%	320	0.5%	108	2.3%
Lincoln	405	0.6%	376	0.6%	29	0.6%
Logan	85	0.1%	80	0.1%	5	0.1%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	29	0.0%	0	0.0%	29	0.6%
McCurtain	181	0.3%	78	0.1%	103	2.2%
McIntosh	929	1.4%	998	1.6%	-69	-1.5%
Major	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	0.4%

Table A-16 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Noble	64	0.1%	24	0.0%	40	0.9%
Okfuskee	355	0.5%	390	0.6%	-35	-0.8%
Oklahoma	6,109	9.1%	6,189	9.9%	-80	-1.7%
Pawnee	1,766	2.6%	1,862	3.0%	-96	-2.1%
Payne	2,493	3.7%	2,558	4.1%	-65	-1.4%
Pittsburg	676	1.0%	507	0.8%	169	3.6%
Pontotoc	145	0.2%	150	0.2%	-5	-0.1%
Pottawatomie	320	0.5%	341	0.5%	-21	-0.5%
Pushmataha	51	0.1%	16	0.0%	35	0.8%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	260	0.4%	162	0.3%	98	2.1%
Sequoyah	748	1.1%	845	1.3%	-97	-2.1%
Stephens	192	0.3%	65	0.1%	127	2.7%
Texas	25	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	0.5%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	121	0.2%	25	0.0%	96	2.1%
Same State*	11,444	17.0%	10,935	17.4%	509	11.0%
Total in State	**32,116**	**47.7%**	**31,040**	**49.5%**	**1,076**	**23.2%**
Total out of State	**35,212**	**52.3%**	**31,649**	**50.5%**	**3,563**	**76.8%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**67,328**	**100.0%**	**62,689**	**100.0%**	**4,639**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-17

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	3	0.0%	8	0.0%	-5	-0.7%
Alfalfa	307	1.7%	200	1.1%	107	14.3%
Atoka	0	0.0%	20	0.1%	-20	-2.7%
Beckham	99	0.5%	402	2.3%	-303	-40.4%
Blaine	193	1.0%	544	3.1%	-351	-46.8%
Bryan	12	0.1%	0	0.0%	12	1.6%
Caddo	177	1.0%	186	1.1%	-9	-1.2%
Canadian	125	0.7%	119	0.7%	6	0.8%
Carter	133	0.7%	162	0.9%	-29	-3.9%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	146	0.8%	-146	-19.5%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	309	1.7%	308	1.7%	1	0.1%
Coal	2	0.0%	4	0.0%	-2	-0.3%
Comanche	71	0.4%	129	0.7%	-58	-7.7%
Cotton	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.5%
Craig	14	0.1%	33	0.2%	-19	-2.5%
Creek	26	0.1%	86	0.5%	-60	-8.0%
Custer	310	1.7%	632	3.6%	-322	-42.9%
Delaware	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	-4	-0.5%
Garfield	887	4.8%	1,007	5.7%	-120	-16.0%
Garvin	255	1.4%	45	0.3%	210	28.0%
Grady	61	0.3%	154	0.9%	-93	-12.4%
Grant	96	0.5%	65	0.4%	31	4.1%
Greer	87	0.5%	60	0.3%	27	3.6%
Harmon	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.5%
Haskell	0	0.0%	36	0.2%	-36	-4.8%
Hughes	23	0.1%	13	0.1%	10	1.3%
Jackson	84	0.5%	136	0.8%	-52	-6.9%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	-8	-1.1%
Johnston	11	0.1%	48	0.3%	-37	-4.9%
Kay	237	1.3%	243	1.4%	-6	-0.8%
Kingfisher	83	0.5%	118	0.7%	-35	-4.7%
Kiowa	28	0.2%	66	0.4%	-38	-5.1%
Latimer	0	0.0%	55	0.3%	-55	-7.3%
Le Flore	63	0.3%	6	0.0%	57	7.6%
Lincoln	3	0.0%	11	0.1%	-8	-1.1%
Logan	10	0.1%	46	0.3%	-36	-4.8%
Love	4	0.0%	8	0.0%	-4	-0.5%
McClain	35	0.2%	63	0.4%	-28	-3.7%
McCurtain	24	0.1%	11	0.1%	13	1.7%
McIntosh	29	0.2%	12	0.1%	17	2.3%
Marshall	13	0.1%	71	0.4%	-58	-7.7%

Table A-17 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	25	0.1%	20	0.1%	5	0.7%
Noble	35	0.2%	100	0.6%	-65	-8.7%
Nowata	0	0.0%	167	0.9%	-167	-22.3%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	1,096	5.9%	1,411	8.0%	-315	-42.0%
Okmulgee	110	0.6%	118	0.7%	-8	-1.1%
Osage	46	0.2%	60	0.3%	-14	-1.9%
Ottawa	16	0.1%	59	0.3%	-43	-5.7%
Pawnee	26	0.1%	0	0.0%	26	3.5%
Payne	190	1.0%	759	4.3%	-569	-75.9%
Pittsburg	67	0.4%	12	0.1%	55	7.3%
Pontotoc	11	0.1%	155	0.9%	-144	-19.2%
Pottawatomie	54	0.3%	82	0.5%	-28	-3.7%
Pushmataha	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	14	1.9%
Roger Mills	44	0.2%	110	0.6%	-66	-8.8%
Rogers	68	0.4%	15	0.1%	53	7.1%
Seminole	32	0.2%	0	0.0%	32	4.3%
Sequoyah	59	0.3%	0	0.0%	59	7.9%
Stephens	15	0.1%	55	0.3%	-40	-5.3%
Tillman	22	0.1%	12	0.1%	10	0.0%
Tulsa	372	2.0%	188	1.1%	184	24.5%
Wagoner	29	0.2%	66	0.4%	-37	-4.9%
Washington	22	0.1%	103	0.6%	-81	-10.8%
Washita	6	0.0%	67	0.4%	-61	-8.1%
Total in State	**6,181**	**33.6%**	**8,824**	**49.9%**	**-2,643**	**-352.4%**
Total out of State	**12,240**	**66.4%**	**8,847**	**50.1%**	**3,393**	**452.4%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**18,421**	**100.0%**	**17,671**	**100.0%**	**750**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-18

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	276	1.4%	307	1.6%	-31	-8.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	27	0.1%	227	1.2%	-200	-51.5%
Blaine	519	2.7%	462	2.4%	57	14.7%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	103	0.5%	358	1.9%	-255	-65.7%
Carter	0	0.0%	31	0.2%	-31	-8.0%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	35	0.2%	183	1.0%	-148	-38.1%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	22	0.1%	0	0.0%	22	5.7%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	204	1.0%	498	2.6%	-294	-75.8%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	902	4.6%	828	4.3%	74	19.1%
Garvin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grady	0	0.0%	74	0.4%	-74	-19.1%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	65	0.3%	-65	-16.8%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-18 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	719	3.7%	1,034	5.4%	315	-81.2%
Okmulgee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	50	0.3%	16	0.9%	-119	-30.7%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pontotoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pottawatomie	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	81	0.4%	63	0.3%	18	4.6%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	103	0.5%	123	0.6%	-20	-5.2%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	175	0.9%	-175	-45.1%
Same State*	4,797	24.5%	5,171	27.0%	-374	-96.4%
Total in State	**7,838**	**40.1%**	**9,768**	**51.0%**	**-1,930**	**-497.4%**
Total out of State	**11,704**	**59.9%**	**9,386**	**49.0%**	**2,318**	**597.4%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**19,542**	**100.0%**	**19,154**	**100.0%**	**388**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-19

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	242	1.8%	107	0.6%	135	-2.5%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	71	0.5%	123	0.7%	-52	1.0%
Blaine	311	2.3%	334	1.8%	-23	0.4%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	81	0.6%	120	0.6%	-39	0.7%
Carter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	45	0.3%	127	0.7%	-82	1.5%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	132	1.0%	261	1.4%	-129	2.4%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	656	4.9%	734	3.9%	-78	1.5%
Garvin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grady	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-19 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, NORTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	276	2.1%	927	5.0%	-651	12.2%
Okmulgee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	64	0.5%	72	0.4%	-8	0.2%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pontotoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pottawatomie	0	0.0%	42	0.2%	-42	0.8%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	40	0.3%	24	0.1%	16	-0.3%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	25	0.2%	165	0.9%	-140	2.6%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Same State*	4,000	30.1%	4,926	26.5%	-926	17.4%
Total in State	**5,943**	**44.7%**	**7,962**	**42.8%**	-2,019	**38.0%**
Total out of State	**7,347**	**55.3%**	**10,647**	**57.2%**	-3,300	**62.0%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**13,290**	**100.0%**	**18,609**	**100.0%**	-5,319	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-20

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	88	0.3%	0	0.0%	88	1.5%
Alfalfa	33	0.1%	16	0.1%	17	0.3%
Atoka	602	1.8%	387	1.4%	215	3.8%
Beaver	16	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0.3%
Beckham	112	0.3%	101	0.4%	11	0.2%
Blaine	19	0.1%	115	0.4%	-96	-1.7%
Caddo	188	0.6%	172	0.6%	16	0.3%
Canadian	105	0.3%	431	1.5%	-326	-5.7%
Cherokee	12	0.0%	23	0.1%	-11	-0.2%
Choctaw	228	0.7%	169	0.6%	59	1.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Cleveland	1,324	3.9%	1,463	5.2%	-139	-2.4%
Comanche	476	1.4%	433	1.5%	43	0.8%
Cotton	36	0.1%	20	0.1%	16	0.3%
Craig	12	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.2%
Creek	193	0.6%	77	0.3%	116	2.0%
Custer	62	0.2%	211	0.8%	-149	-2.6%
Delaware	4	0.0%	29	0.1%	-25	-0.4%
Dewey	12	0.0%	12	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	45	0.1%	59	0.2%	-14	-0.2%
Garfield	156	0.5%	66	0.2%	90	1.6%
Grady	391	1.2%	1,019	3.6%	-28	-11.0%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	30	0.1%	9	0.0%	21	0.4%
Harmon	61	0.2%	0	0.0%	61	1.1%
Harper	15	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	0.3%
Haskell	122	0.4%	38	0.1%	84	1.5%
Hughes	380	1.1%	304	1.1%	76	1.3%
Jackson	349	1.0%	66	0.2%	283	5.0%
Jefferson	223	0.7%	280	1.0%	-57	-1.0%
Kay	85	0.3%	71	0.3%	14	0.2%
Kingfisher	39	0.1%	59	0.2%	-20	-0.3%
Kiowa	60	0.2%	22	0.1%	38	0.7%
Latimer	207	0.6%	47	0.2%	160	2.8%
Le Flore	168	0.5%	180	0.6%	-12	-0.2%
Lincoln	155	0.5%	76	0.3%	79	1.4%
Logan	107	0.3%	89	0.3%	18	0.3%
McClain	398	1.2%	611	2.2%	-213	-3.7%
McCurtain	394	1.2%	240	0.9%	154	2.7%
McIntosh	11	0.0%	30	0.1%	-19	-0.3%
Major	0	0.0%	89	0.3%	-89	-1.6%
Mayes	8	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.1%

Table A-20 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Muskogee	142	0.4%	268	1.0%	-126	-2.2%
Noble	0	0.0%	90	0.3%	-90	-1.6%
Nowata	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.1%
Okfuskee	89	0.3%	28	0.1%	61	1.1%
Oklahoma	3,204	9.5%	2,933	10.4%	271	4.7%
Okmulgee	75	0.2%	231	0.8%	-156	-2.7%
Osage	109	0.3%	156	0.6%	-47	-0.8%
Ottawa	7	0.0%	38	0.1%	-31	-0.5%
Pawnee	32	0.1%	0	0.0%	32	0.6%
Payne	292	0.9%	413	1.5%	-121	-2.1%
Pittsburg	424	1.3%	500	1.8%	-76	-1.3%
Pottawatomie	595	1.8%	507	1.8%	88	1.5%
Pushmataha	260	0.8%	71	0.3%	189	3.3%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	102	0.3%	15	0.1%	87	1.5%
Seminole	284	0.8%	371	1.3%	-87	-1.5%
Sequoyah	49	0.1%	84	0.3%	-35	-0.6%
Stephens	469	1.4%	875	3.1%	-406	-7.1%
Texas	131	0.4%	12	0.0%	119	2.1%
Tillman	121	0.4%	11	0.0%	110	1.9%
Tulsa	955	2.8%	776	2.8%	179	3.1%
Wagoner	10	0.0%	219	0.8%	-209	-3.7%
Washington	54	0.2%	0	0.0%	54	0.9%
Washita	21	0.1%	123	0.4%	-102	-1.8%
Woods	97	0.3%	0	0.0%	97	1.7%
Woodward	177	0.5%	269	1.0%	-92	-1.6%
Total in State	**14,629**	**43.2%**	**15,004**	**53.4%**	**-375**	**-6.6%**
Total out of State	**19,201**	**56.8%**	**13,109**	**46.6%**	**6,092**	**106.6%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**33,830**	**100.0%**	**28,113**	**100.0%**	**5,717**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-21

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	312	1.2%	223	0.9%	89	7.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	34	0.1%	0	0.0%	34	2.7%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	40	0.2%	0	0.0%	40	3.1%
Canadian	199	0.8%	291	1.2%	-92	-7.2%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	169	0.7%	115	0.5%	54	4.2%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	927	3.7%	1,158	4.8%	-231	-18.2%
Comanche	187	0.7%	170	0.7%	17	1.3%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	27	0.1%	-27	-2.1%
Custer	35	0.1%	39	0.2%	-4	-0.3%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	105	0.4%	64	0.3%	41	3.2%
Grady	422	1.7%	379	1.6%	43	3.4%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	264	1.0%	231	1.0%	33	2.6%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	428	1.7%	418	1.7%	10	0.8%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	40	0.2%	0	0.0%	40	3.1%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	24	0.1%	-24	-1.9%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	578	2.3%	686	2.9%	-108	-8.5%
McCurtain	147	0.6%	68	0.3%	79	6.2%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-21 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Muskogee	22	0.1%	0	0.0%	22	1.7%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	2,995	11.8%	2,679	11.1%	316	24.8%
Okmulgee	23	0.1%	0	0.0%	23	1.8%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	155	0.6%	110	0.5%	45	3.5%
Pittsburg	273	1.1%	153	0.6%	120	9.4%
Pottawatomie	256	1.0%	318	1.3%	-62	-4.9%
Pushmataha	29	0.1%	0	0.0%	29	2.3%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	312	1.2%	255	1.1%	57	4.5%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	835	3.3%	818	3.4%	17	1.3%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	554	2.2%	568	2.4%	-14	-1.1%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	32	0.1%	0	0.0%	32	2.5%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	31	0.1%	0	0.0%	31	2.4%
Same State*	7,321	28.9%	6,819	28.4%	502	39.5%
Total in State	**16,725**	**66.1%**	**15,613**	**64.9%**	**1,112**	**87.4%**
Total out of State	**8,589**	**33.9%**	**8,429**	**35.1%**	**160**	**12.6%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**25,314**	**100.0%**	**24,042**	**100.0%**	**1,272**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-22

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 -1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	379	1.5%	270	1.1%	109	-28.5%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	231	0.9%	154	0.6%	77	-20.2%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	91	0.4%	80	0.3%	11	-2.9%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	687	2.8%	952	3.8%	-265	69.4%
Comanche	109	0.4%	151	0.6%	-42	11.0%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	45	0.2%	0	0.0%	45	-11.8%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	27	0.1%	48	0.2%	-21	5.5%
Grady	329	1.3%	288	1.2%	41	-10.7%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	202	0.8%	216	0.9%	-14	3.7%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	354	1.4%	162	0.7%	192	-50.3%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	34	0.1%	-34	8.9%
Lincoln	25	0.1%	47	0.2%	-22	5.8%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	551	2.2%	490	2.0%	61	-16.0%
McCurtain	113	0.5%	62	0.2%	51	-13.4%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-22 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTH CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Muskogee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	26	0.1%	-26	6.8%
Oklahoma	2,482	10.1%	2,363	9.5%	119	-31.2%
Okmulgee	35	0.1%	0	0.0%	35	-9.2%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	85	0.3%	87	0.3%	-2	0.5%
Pittsburg	141	0.6%	158	0.6%	-17	4.5%
Pottawatomie	266	1.1%	243	1.0%	23	-6.0%
Pushmataha	30	0.1%	0	0.0%	30	-7.9%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	289	1.2%	170	0.7%	119	-31.2%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	547	2.2%	525	2.1%	22	-5.8%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	444	1.8%	524	2.1%	-80	20.9%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Same State*	6,825	27.8%	6,180	24.8%	645	-168.8%
Total in State	**14,287**	**58.2%**	**13,230**	**53.1%**	**1,057**	**-276.7%**
Total out of State	**10,246**	**41.8%**	**11,685**	**46.9%**	**-1,439**	**376.7%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**24,533**	**100.0%**	**24,915**	**100.0%**	**-382**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-23

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	153	0.4%	64	0.2%	89	1.3%
Alfalfa	37	0.1%	8	0.0%	29	0.4%
Beaver	21	0.1%	0	0.0%	21	0.3%
Beckham	97	0.3%	47	0.2%	50	0.7%
Blaine	22	0.1%	53	0.2%	-31	-0.4%
Bryan	472	1.3%	1,059	3.5%	-587	-8.3%
Caddo	133	0.4%	63	0.2%	70	1.0%
Canadian	107	0.3%	94	0.3%	13	0.2%
Carter	277	0.7%	159	0.5%	118	1.7%
Cherokee	326	0.9%	484	1.6%	-158	-2.2%
Cimarron	20	0.1%	0	0.0%	20	0.3%
Cleveland	493	1.3%	1,035	3.4%	-542	-7.6%
Coal	212	0.6%	120	0.4%	92	1.3%
Comanche	335	0.9%	346	1.1%	-11	-0.2%
Cotton	24	0.1%	9	0.0%	15	0.2%
Craig	40	0.1%	57	0.2%	-17	-0.2%
Creek	112	0.3%	130	0.4%	-18	-0.3%
Custer	111	0.3%	109	0.4%	2	0.0%
Delaware	100	0.3%	0	0.0%	100	1.4%
Dewey	55	0.1%	18	0.1%	37	0.5%
Ellis	12	0.0%	21	0.1%	-9	-0.1%
Garfield	77	0.2%	93	0.3%	-16	-0.2%
Garvin	102	0.3%	97	0.3%	5	0.1%
Grady	392	1.0%	68	0.2%	324	4.6%
Grant	82	0.2%	0	0.0%	82	1.2%
Greer	23	0.1%	30	0.1%	-7	-0.1%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	10	0.0%	-10	-0.1%
Hughes	434	1.1%	113	0.4%	321	4.5%
Jackson	186	0.5%	31	0.1%	155	2.2%
Jefferson	8	0.0%	24	0.1%	-16	-0.2%
Johnston	177	0.5%	239	0.8%	-62	-0.9%
Kay	110	0.3%	71	0.2%	39	0.6%
Kingfisher	8	0.0%	160	0.5%	-152	-2.1%
Kiowa	37	0.1%	38	0.1%	-1	0.0%
Lincoln	279	0.7%	42	0.1%	237	3.3%
Logan	37	0.1%	22	0.1%	15	0.2%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	50	0.1%	115	0.4%	-65	-0.9%
Major	0	0.0%	49	0.2%	-49	-0.7%
Marshall	30	0.1%	36	0.1%	-6	-0.1%
Mayes	160	0.4%	163	0.5%	-3	0.0%

Table A-23 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Murray	199	0.5%	99	0.3%	100	1.4%
Muskogee	1,176	3.1%	1,017	3.3%	159	2.2%
Noble	5	0.0%	8	0.0%	-3	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	117	0.3%	121	0.4%	-4	-0.1%
Oklahoma	3,790	10.0%	2,357	7.7%	1,433	20.2%
Okmulgee	354	0.9%	486	1.6%	-132	-1.9%
Osage	89	0.2%	152	0.5%	-63	-0.9%
Ottawa	68	0.2%	55	0.2%	13	0.2%
Pawnee	73	0.2%	131	0.4%	-58	-0.8%
Payne	237	0.6%	851	2.8%	-614	-8.7%
Pontotoc	277	0.7%	656	2.1%	-379	-5.3%
Pottawatomie	293	0.8%	301	1.0%	-8	-0.1%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	12	0.0%	-12	-0.2%
Rogers	173	0.5%	353	1.2%	-180	-2.5%
Seminole	235	0.6%	275	0.9%	-40	-0.6%
Stephens	120	0.3%	129	0.4%	-9	-0.1%
Texas	12	0.0%	56	0.2%	-44	-0.6%
Tillman	16	0.0%	20	0.1%	-4	-0.1%
Tulsa	1,809	4.8%	2,156	7.0%	-347	-4.9%
Wagoner	106	0.3%	201	0.7%	-95	-1.3%
Washington	107	0.3%	88	0.3%	19	0.3%
Washita	0	0.0%	59	0.2%	-59	-0.8%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	32	0.1%	102	0.3%	-70	-1.0%
Total in State	**14,639**	**38.8%**	**14,962**	**48.8%**	**-323**	**-4.6%**
Total out of State	**23,120**	**61.2%**	**15,712**	**51.2%**	**7,408**	**104.6%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**37,759**	**100.0%**	**30,674**	**100.0%**	**7,085**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-24

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	125	0.5%	98	0.3%	27	-1.8%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	42	0.2%	0	0.0%	42	-2.7%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	423	1.6%	544	1.9%	-121	7.9%
Caddo	30	0.1%	39	0.1%	-9	0.6%
Canadian	46	0.2%	90	0.3%	-44	2.9%
Carter	0	0.0%	132	0.5%	-132	8.6%
Cherokee	163	0.6%	162	0.6%	1	-0.1%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	330	1.2%	444	1.6%	-114	7.5%
Coal	38	0.1%	70	0.2%	-32	2.1%
Comanche	48	0.2%	0	0.0%	48	-3.1%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	36	0.1%	-36	2.4%
Custer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	37	0.1%	142	0.5%	-105	6.9%
Garvin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grady	34	0.1%	0	0.0%	34	-2.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	193	0.7%	183	0.6%	10	-0.7%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	32	0.1%	-32	2.1%

Table A-24 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	1,210	4.5%	1,370	4.8%	-160	10.5%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	2,845	10.5%	2,704	9.5%	141	-9.2%
Okmulgee	424	1.6%	405	1.4%	19	-1.2%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	130	0.5%	154	0.5%	-24	1.6%
Pontotoc	98	0.4%	224	0.8%	-126	8.2%
Pottawatomie	4	0.2%	86	0.3%	-38	2.5%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	53	0.2%	-53	3.5%
Seminole	28	0.1%	35	0.1%	-7	0.5%
Stephens	0	0.0%	23	0.1%	-23	1.5%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	2,028	7.5%	1,573	5.5%	455	-29.8%
Wagoner	79	0.3%	77	0.3%	2	-0.1%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Same State*	8,380	31.1%	8,238	28.9%	142	-9.3%
Total in State	**16,779**	**62.2%**	**16,914**	**59.3%**	-135	**8.8%**
Total out of State	**10,200**	**37.8%**	**11,593**	**40.7%**	-1,393	**91.2%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**26,979**	**100.0%**	**28,507**	**100.0%**	-1,528	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-25

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0
Beckham	108	0.2%	0	0.0%	108	2.3%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	101	0.2%	116	0.2%	-15	-0.3%
Caddo	20	0.0%	23	0.0%	-3	-0.1%
Canadian	344	0.5%	277	0.4%	67	1.4%
Carter	187	0.3%	163	0.3%	24	0.5%
Choctaw	23	0.0%	33	0.1%	-10	-0.2%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	1,426	2.1%	1,840	2.9%	-414	-8.9%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	436	0.6%	379	0.6%	57	1.2%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	142	0.2%	96	0.2%	46	1.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	639	0.9%	364	0.6%	275	5.9%
Garvin	91	0.1%	50	0.1%	41	0.9%
Grady	105	0.2%	98	0.2%	7	0.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	207	0.3%	219	0.3%	-12	-0.3%
Hughes	123	0.2%	103	0.2%	20	0.4%
Jackson	69	0.1%	34	0.1%	35	0.8%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	1,231	1.8%	1,289	2.1%	-58	-1.3%
Kingfisher	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	0.4%
Kiowa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	35	0.1%	-35	-0.8%
Le Flore	428	0.6%	320	0.5%	108	2.3%
Lincoln	405	0.6%	376	0.6%	29	0.6%
Logan	85	0.1%	80	0.1%	5	0.1%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	29	0.0%	0	0.0%	29	0.6%
McCurtain	181	0.3%	78	0.1%	103	2.2%
McIntosh	929	1.4%	998	1.6%	-69	-1.5%
Major	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	0.4%

Table A-25 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHEAST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Noble	64	0.1%	24	0.0%	40	0.9%
Okfuskee	355	0.5%	390	0.6%	-35	-0.8%
Oklahoma	6,109	9.1%	6,189	9.9%	-80	-1.7%
Pawnee	1,766	2.6%	1,862	3.0%	-96	-2.1%
Payne	2,493	3.7%	2,558	4.1%	-65	-1.4%
Pittsburg	676	1.0%	507	0.8%	169	3.6%
Pontotoc	145	0.2%	150	0.2%	-5	-0.1%
Pottawatomie	320	0.5%	341	0.5%	-21	-0.5%
Pushmataha	51	0.1%	16	0.0%	35	0.8%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	260	0.4%	162	0.3%	98	2.1%
Sequoyah	748	1.1%	845	1.3%	-97	-2.1%
Stephens	192	0.3%	65	0.1%	127	2.7%
Texas	25	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	0.5%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	121	0.2%	25	0.0%	96	2.1%
Same State*	11,444	17.0%	10,935	17.4%	509	11.0%
Total in State	**32,116**	**47.7%**	**31,040**	**49.5%**	**1,076**	**23.2%**
Total out of State	**35,212**	**52.3%**	**31,649**	**50.5%**	**3,563**	**76.8%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**67,328**	**100.0%**	**62,689**	**100.0%**	**4,639**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-26

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	9	0.0%	8	0.0%	1	0.0%
Alfalfa	34	0.0%	23	0.0%	11	0.1%
Atoka	22	0.0%	73	0.1%	-51	-0.3%
Beaver	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%
Beckham	260	0.4%	497	0.9%	-237	-1.5%
Blaine	156	0.2%	72	0.1%	84	0.5%
Bryan	278	0.4%	119	0.2%	159	1.0%
Caddo	1,152	1.6%	308	0.6%	844	5.4%
Canadian	264	0.4%	260	0.5%	4	0.0%
Carter	586	0.8%	376	0.7%	210	1.3%
Cherokee	43	0.1%	114	0.2%	-71	-0.5%
Choctaw	24	0.0%	93	0.2%	-69	-0.4%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	16	0.0%	-16	-0.1%
Cleveland	677	1.0%	1,966	3.5%	-1,289	-8.2%
Coal	11	0.0%	8	0.0%	3	0.0%
Craig	20	0.0%	41	0.1%	-21	-0.1%
Creek	148	0.2%	62	0.1%	86	0.5%
Custer	275	0.4%	862	1.6%	-587	-3.7%
Delaware	86	0.1%	120	0.2%	-34	-0.2%
Dewey	20	0.0%	4	0.0%	16	0.1%
Ellis	12	0.0%	4	0.0%	8	0.1%
Garfield	186	0.3%	270	0.5%	-84	-0.5%
Garvin	253	0.4%	178	0.3%	75	0.5%
Grady	686	1.0%	1,032	1.9%	-346	-2.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	28	0.1%	-28	-0.2%
Harper	0	0.0%	37	0.1%	-37	-0.2%
Haskell	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%
Hughes	35	0.0%	55	0.1%	-20	-0.1%
Johnston	132	0.2%	37	0.1%	95	0.6%
Kay	205	0.3%	82	0.1%	123	0.8%
Kingfisher	119	0.2%	19	0.0%	100	0.6%
Latimer	43	0.1%	0	0.0%	43	0.3%
Le Flore	89	0.1%	96	0.2%	-7	0.0%
Lincoln	32	0.0%	135	0.2%	-103	-0.7%
Logan	215	0.3%	146	0.3%	69	0.4%
Love	39	0.1%	62	0.1%	-23	-0.1%
McClain	139	0.2%	129	0.2%	10	0.1%
McCurtain	170	0.2%	30	0.1%	140	0.9%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	105	0.2%	-105	-0.7%
Major	55	0.1%	0	0.0%	55	0.3%
Marshall	75	0.1%	244	0.4%	-169	-1.1%
Mayes	13	0.0%	76	0.1%	-63	-0.4%

Table A-26 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Murray	52	0.1%	460	0.8%	-408	-2.6%
Muskogee	184	0.3%	179	0.3%	5	0.0%
Noble	4	0.0%	62	0.1%	-58	-0.4%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	18	0.0%	30	0.1%	-12	-0.1%
Oklahoma	2,658	3.7%	3,666	6.6%	-1,008	-6.4%
Okmulgee	152	0.2%	127	0.2%	25	0.2%
Osage	112	0.2%	66	0.1%	46	0.3%
Ottawa	59	0.1%	10	0.0%	49	0.3%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	86	0.2%	-86	-0.5%
Payne	487	0.7%	794	1.4%	-307	-2.0%
Pittsburg	129	0.2%	61	0.1%	68	0.4%
Pontotoc	290	0.4%	341	0.6%	-51	-0.3%
Pottawatomie	61	0.1%	336	0.6%	-275	-1.7%
Pushmataha	46	0.1%	57	0.1%	-11	-0.1%
Roger Mills	9	0.0%	36	0.1%	-27	-0.2%
Rogers	38	0.1%	83	0.1%	-45	-0.3%
Seminole	191	0.3%	174	0.3%	17	0.1%
Sequoyah	100	0.1%	234	0.4%	-134	-0.9%
Texas	58	0.1%	25	0.0%	33	0.2%
Tulsa	630	0.9%	759	1.4%	-129	-0.8%
Wagoner	20	0.0%	133	0.2%	-113	-0.7%
Washington	43	0.1%	54	0.1%	-11	-0.1%
Washita	186	0.3%	434	0.8%	-248	-1.6%
Woods	159	0.2%	41	0.1%	118	0.7%
Woodward	156	0.2%	185	0.3%	-29	-0.2%
Total in State	**12,415**	**17.4%**	**16,223**	**29.3%**	**-3,808**	**-24.2%**
Total out of State	**58,777**	**82.6%**	**39,226**	**70.7%**	**19,551**	**124.2%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**71,192**	**100.0%**	**55,449**	**100.0%**	**15,743**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-27

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	270	1.5%	481	2.3%	-211	8.7%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	23	0.1%	-23	1.0%
Caddo	673	3.7%	738	3.5%	-65	2.7%
Canadian	74	0.4%	145	0.7%	-71	2.9%
Carter	957	5.2%	941	4.5%	16	-0.7%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	28	0.1%	-28	1.2%
Choctaw	23	0.1%	0	0.0%	23	-1.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	603	3.3%	936	4.5%	-333	13.8%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	305	1.7%	522	2.5%	-217	9.0%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	185	1.0%	241	1.2%	-56	2.3%
Garvin	246	1.3%	204	1.0%	42	-1.7%
Grady	807	4.4%	880	4.2%	-73	3.0%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	60	0.3%	-60	2.5%
Kingfisher	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	40	0.2%	-40	1.7%
McClain	0	0.0%	26	0.1%	-26	1.1%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-27 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Murray	77	0.4%	140	0.7%	-63	2.6%
Muskogee	0	0.0%	45	0.2%	-45	1.9%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	2,263	12.3%	3,125	15.0%	-862	35.6%
Okmulgee	45	0.2%	83	0.4%	-38	1.6%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	25	0.1%	0	0.0%	25	-1.0%
Payne	162	0.9%	267	1.3%	-105	4.3%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	48	0.2%	-48	2.0%
Pontotoc	126	0.7%	102	0.5%	24	-1.0%
Pottawatomie	84	0.5%	94	0.5%	-10	0.4%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	452	2.5%	781	3.8%	-329	13.6%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	431	2.3%	422	2.0%	9	-0.4%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	22	0.1%	-22	0.9%
Same State*	5,710	31.1%	6,033	29.0%	-323	13.3%
Total in State	**13,518**	**73.5%**	**16,427**	**78.9%**	**-2,909**	**120.2%**
Total out of State	**4,870**	**26.5%**	**4,381**	**21.1%**	**489**	**-20.2%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**18,388**	**100.0%**	**20,808**	**100.0%**	**-2,420**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-28

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beckham	159	0.7%	117	0.4%	42	-0.7%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caddo	651	2.8%	548	1.9%	103	-1.8%
Canadian	96	0.4%	128	0.4%	-32	0.6%
Carter	572	2.4%	743	2.6%	-171	3.0%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	461	2.0%	895	3.1%	-434	7.7%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Custer	112	0.5%	263	0.9%	-151	2.7%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	104	0.4%	27	0.1%	77	-1.4%
Garvin	157	0.7%	166	0.6%	-9	0.2%
Grady	671	2.9%	690	2.4%	-19	0.3%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	34	0.1%	0	0.0%	34	-0.6%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Major	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-28 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, SOUTHWEST ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Murray	82	0.4%	31	0.1%	51	-0.9%
Muskogee	29	0.1%	55	0.2%	-26	0.5%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	1,711	7.3%	2,735	9.4%	-1,024	18.2%
Okmulgee	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	-0.4%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	102	0.4%	223	0.8%	-121	2.2%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pontotoc	27	0.1%	70	0.2%	-43	0.8%
Pottawatomie	23	0.1%	77	0.3%	-54	1.0%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roger Mills	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	425	1.8%	642	2.2%	-217	3.9%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washita	261	1.1%	160	0.6%	101	-1.8%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Same State*	4,971	21.3%	5,561	19.2%	-590	10.5%
Total in State	**10,672**	**45.7%**	**13,131**	**45.3%**	**-2,459**	**43.7%**
Total out of State	**12,699**	**54.3%**	**15,866**	**54.7%**	**-3,167**	**56.3%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**23,371**	**100.0%**	**28,997**	**100.0%**	**-5,626**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-29

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, WEST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	2	0.0%	36	0.2%	-34	-0.7%
Alfalfa	16	0.1%	18	0.1%	-2	0.0%
Atoka	45	0.2%	30	0.2%	15	0.3%
Beaver	56	0.3%	49	0.3%	7	0.1%
Bryan	59	0.3%	20	0.1%	39	0.8%
Canadian	713	3.2%	893	5.2%	-180	-3.5%
Carter	164	0.7%	84	0.5%	80	1.5%
Cherokee	28	0.1%	106	0.6%	-78	-1.5%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	54	0.2%	28	0.2%	26	0.5%
Cleveland	314	1.4%	758	4.4%	-444	-8.6%
Coal	25	0.1%	0	0.0%	25	0.5%
Comanche	505	2.3%	883	5.1%	-378	-7.3%
Cotton	59	0.3%	8	0.0%	51	1.0%
Craig	48	0.2%	0	0.0%	48	0.9%
Creek	77	0.3%	129	0.8%	-52	-1.0%
Delaware	0	0.0%	25	0.1%	-25	-0.5%
Dewey	364	1.6%	134	0.8%	230	4.4%
Ellis	138	0.6%	52	0.3%	86	1.7%
Garfield	308	1.4%	314	1.8%	-6	-0.1%
Garvin	156	0.7%	127	0.7%	29	0.6%
Grady	601	2.7%	756	4.4%	-155	-3.0%
Grant	66	0.3%	59	0.3%	7	0.1%
Greer	213	1.0%	114	0.7%	99	1.9%
Harmon	107	0.5%	0	0.0%	107	2.1%
Harper	94	0.4%	29	0.2%	65	1.3%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	12	0.1%	15	0.1%	-3	-0.1%
Jackson	257	1.1%	285	1.7%	-28	-0.5%
Jefferson	5	0.0%	34	0.2%	-29	-0.6%
Johnston	15	0.1%	17	0.1%	-2	0.0%
Kay	180	0.8%	155	0.9%	25	0.5%
Kingfisher	159	0.7%	82	0.5%	77	1.5%
Kiowa	704	3.1%	309	1.8%	395	7.6%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	78	0.3%	136	0.8%	-58	-1.1%
Lincoln	137	0.6%	117	0.7%	20	0.4%
Logan	16	0.1%	103	0.6%	-87	-1.7%
Love	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	66	0.3%	36	0.2%	30	0.6%
McCurtain	12	0.1%	44	0.3%	-32	-0.6%
McIntosh	9	0.0%	13	0.1%	-4	-0.1%

Table A-29 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, WEST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1975 - 1980

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Major	521	2.3%	162	0.9%	359	6.9%
Marshall	32	0.1%	17	0.1%	15	0.3%
Mayes	9	0.0%	14	0.1%	-5	-0.1%
Murray	145	0.6%	59	0.3%	86	1.7%
Muskogee	38	0.2%	71	0.4%	-33	-0.6%
Noble	24	0.1%	12	0.1%	12	0.2%
Nowata	4	0.0%	45	0.3%	-41	-0.8%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	73	0.4%	-73	-1.4%
Oklahoma	2,216	9.9%	2,008	11.7%	208	4.0%
Okmulgee	101	0.5%	186	1.1%	-85	-1.6%
Osage	26	0.1%	32	0.2%	-6	-0.1%
Ottawa	89	0.4%	0	0.0%	89	1.7%
Pawnee	39	0.2%	7	0.0%	32	0.6%
Payne	216	1.0%	414	2.4%	-198	-3.8%
Pittsburg	76	0.3%	71	0.4%	5	0.1%
Pontotoc	122	0.5%	74	0.4%	48	0.9%
Pottawatomie	211	0.9%	163	0.9%	48	0.9%
Pushmataha	16	0.1%	10	0.1%	6	0.1%
Rogers	18	0.1%	67	0.4%	-49	-0.9%
Seminole	12	0.1%	4	0.0%	8	0.2%
Sequoyah	107	0.5%	59	0.3%	48	0.9%
Stephens	128	0.6%	327	1.9%	-199	-3.8%
Texas	242	1.1%	36	0.2%	206	4.0%
Tillman	231	1.0%	78	0.5%	153	3.0%
Tulsa	539	2.4%	340	2.0%	199	3.8%
Wagoner	24	0.1%	0	0.0%	24	0.5%
Washington	0	0.0%	25	0.1%	-25	-0.5%
Woods	90	0.4%	54	0.3%	36	0.7%
Woodward	382	1.7%	285	1.7%	97	1.9%
Total in State	**11,524**	**51.5%**	**10,695**	**62.2%**	**829**	**16.0%**
Total out of State	**10,858**	**48.5%**	**6,504**	**37.8%**	**4,354**	**84.0%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**22,382**	**100.0%**	**17,199**	**100.0%**	**5,183**	**100.0%**

Data: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Geographical Mobility for States and the Nation*, 1985.

Table A-30

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, WEST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	928	3.3%	1,070	4.4%	-142	-3.6%
Carter	0	0.0%	75	0.3%	-75	-1.9%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	402	1.4%	444	1.8%	-42	-1.1%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	874	3.1%	743	3.1%	131	3.3%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	505	1.8%	465	1.9%	40	1.0%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	402	1.4%	201	0.8%	201	5.1%
Garvin	39	0.1%	66	0.3%	-27	-0.7%
Grady	866	3.1%	992	4.1%	-126	-3.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	160	0.6%	79	0.3%	81	2.1%
Harmon	26	0.1%	0	0.0%	26	0.7%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	383	1.4%	231	0.9%	152	3.9%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	29	0.1%	0	0.0%	29	0.7%
Kingfisher	52	0.2%	87	0.4%	-35	-0.9%
Kiowa	465	1.6%	478	2.0%	-13	-0.3%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	0	0.0%	42	0.2%	-42	-1.1%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	31	0.1%	0	0.0%	31	0.8%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-30 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, WEST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1980 - 1983

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Major	223	0.8%	234	-1.0%	-11	-0.3%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	2,395	8.5%	2,078	8.5%	317	8.0%
Okmulgee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	121	0.4%	91	0.4%	30	0.8%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pontotoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pottawatomie	58	0.2%	38	0.2%	20	0.5%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	39	0.1%	30	0.1%	9	0.2%
Stephens	255	0.9%	148	0.6%	107	2.7%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	272	1.0%	212	0.9%	60	1.5%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	697	2.5%	132	0.5%	565	14.3%
Same State*	6,201	21.9%	5,235	21.5%	966	24.5%
Total in State	**15,423**	**54.5%**	**13,171**	**54.1%**	**2,252**	**57.1%**
Total out of State	**12,859**	**45.5%**	**11,164**	**45.9%**	**1,695**	**42.9%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**28,282**	**100.0%**	**24,335**	**100.0%**	**3,947**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

Table A-31

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, WEST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Adair	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Alfalfa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Atoka	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Beaver	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bryan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Canadian	563	3.4%	971	3.7%	-408	4.4%
Carter	0	0.0%	45	0.2%	-45	0.5%
Cherokee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Choctaw	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cimarron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cleveland	231	1.4%	639	2.5%	-408	4.4%
Coal	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Comanche	560	3.4%	649	2.5%	-89	1.0%
Cotton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craig	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Creek	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Delaware	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dewey	271	1.6%	237	0.9%	34	-0.4%
Ellis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Garfield	232	1.4%	154	0.6%	78	-0.8%
Garvin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grady	698	4.2%	806	3.1%	-108	1.2%
Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greer	29	0.2%	33	0.1%	-4	0.0%
Harmon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harper	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Haskell	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hughes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	134	0.8%	141	0.5%	-7	0.1%
Jefferson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kingfisher	55	0.3%	96	0.4%	-41	0.4%
Kiowa	322	1.9%	228	0.9%	94	-1.0%
Latimer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Flore	32	0.2%	0	0.0%	32	-0.3%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Love	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McClain	0	0.0%	36	0.1%	-36	0.4%
McCurtain	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McIntosh	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table A-31 (Cont.)

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INMIGRANTS, OUTMIGRANTS, AND NET MIGRANTS BY COUNTY, WEST CENTRAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REGION: 1983 - 1986

	INMIGRANTS	PERCENT	OUTMIGRANTS	PERCENT	NET MIGRANTS	PERCENT
Major	223	1.3%	181	0.7%	42	-0.5%
Marshall	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mayes	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Muskogee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Noble	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nowata	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Okfuskee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Oklahoma	1,336	8.0%	2,419	9.3%	-1,083	11.8%
Okmulgee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Osage	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ottawa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Payne	27	0.2%	86	0.3%	-59	0.6%
Pittsburg	0	0.0%	27	0.1%	-27	0.3%
Pontotoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pottawatomie	0	0.0%	23	0.1%	-23	0.2%
Pushmataha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rogers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Seminole	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sequoyah	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stephens	43	0.3%	132	0.5%	-89	1.0%
Texas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulsa	119	0.7%	270	1.0%	-151	1.6%
Wagoner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woods	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Woodward	248	1.5%	136	0.5%	112	-1.2%
Same State*	4,387	26.3%	5,256	20.3%	-869	9.4%
Total in State	**9,510**	**57.0%**	**12,565**	**48.5%**	**-3,055**	**33.2%**
Total out of State	**7,180**	**43.0%**	**13,333**	**51.5%**	**-6,153**	**66.8%**
TOTAL MIGRANTS	**16,690**	**100.0%**	**25,898**	**100.0%**	**-9,208**	**100.0%**

*Same State consists of counties in Oklahoma not included elsewhere in the table.

Data: Internal Revenue Service, "Yearly County to County Migration Flows" (unpublished data).

P.O. Box 26980
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73126-0980
1-800-443-OKLA or 405-843-9770